

Prompt-Learning for Short Text Classification

Yi Zhu^{1,2}, Xinke Zhou¹, Jipeng Qiang^{1*}, Yun Li¹, Yunhao Yuan¹ and Xindong Wu^{2,3}

¹School of Information Engineering, Yangzhou University, Jiangsu, China

²Key Laboratory of Knowledge Engineering with Big Data (Hefei University of Technology), Ministry of Education, Anhui, China

³Mininglamp Academy of Sciences, Minininglamp, Beijing, China

zhuyi@yzu.edu.cn, zxk_yzu@163.com, {jpqiang, liyun, yhyuan}@yzu.edu.cn, xwu@hfut.edu.cn

Abstract

In the short text, the extreme short length, feature sparsity and high ambiguity pose huge challenge to classification tasks. Recently, as an effective method for tuning Pre-trained Language Models for specific downstream tasks, prompt-learning has attracted vast amount of attention and research. The main intuition behind the prompt-learning is to insert template into the input and convert the text classification tasks into equivalent cloze-style tasks. However, most prompt-learning methods expand label words manually or only consider the class name for knowledge incorporating in cloze-style prediction, which will inevitably incurred omissions and bias in classification tasks. In this paper, we propose a simple short text classification approach that makes use of prompt-learning based on knowledgeable expansion, which can consider both the short text itself and class name during expanding label words space. Specifically, the top N concepts related to the entity in short text are retrieved from the open Knowledge Graph like Probase, and we further refine the expanded label words by the distance calculation between selected concepts and class label. Experimental results show that our approach obtains obvious improvement compared with other fine-tuning, prompt-learning and knowledgeable prompt-tuning methods, outperforming the state-of-the-art by up to 6 Accuracy points on three well-known datasets.

1 Introduction

With the rapid development of web service, short-texts, which are posted at unprecedented rates, accentuate both the importance of learning tasks and the challenges posed by the inherent properties such as the extreme short length, feature sparsity and high ambiguity. The methods based on sole source extend the feature space by the rules or statistical information hidden in the current short texts [Kim, 2014; Lai *et al.*, 2015]. The sole source methods still face the severe data sparsity problem, the methods based on external knowledge

is applied widely in recent years, which extends the feature space by external open knowledge base [Chen *et al.*, 2019; Xu and Cai, 2019]. However, most existing methods based on external knowledge rely on large-scale training instance data to formalize the model, which lead to high costs in collecting eligible training data and performance degradation in few-shot learning.

Recently, Pre-trained Language Models (PLMs) have attained much attention and remarkable improvements in a series of downstream NLP tasks. To adapt the versatile knowledge that contained in PLMs to various NLP tasks, the fine-tuning method with extra classifier has been applied widely to stimulate and exploit rich knowledge in PLMs and has achieved excellent performance in downstream tasks [Xu *et al.*, 2021]. Among all the fine-tuning approaches, inspired by GPT-3 that uses the information provided by the prompts in few-shot learning and achieves substantive results [Brown *et al.*, 2020], prompt-learning fill the input statements into the natural language template and adapt the masked model, which formalized regarded the downstream NLP task as cloze-style tasks. In the prompt-learning, the mapping from label words (e.g. sports, basketball et al.) to the category (e.g. SPORTS) can effectively alleviate the discrepancy between text and label space, which is called automatic selection of label words [Gao *et al.*, 2020] or the verbalizer [Schick *et al.*, 2020]. This strategy of constructing label word mapping has been proved to be effective in achieving more desirable text classification performance [Schick and Schütze, 2020a].

However, most prompt-learning methods either expand label words manually [Schick and Schütze, 2020b] or only consider the class name for knowledge incorporating in cloze-style prediction [Hu *et al.*, 2021]. The manually designed label words is obviously limited with the prior knowledge, which may induced omissions and bias for knowledge expansion. Some other works try to incorporate external knowledge and denoise expanded label words for text classification. However, such knowledgeable method only consider the class name and ignore the entity and concept information in short text, which achieved unsatisfying results in short text classification.

Therefore, we present an intuitive and innovative idea for short text classification in this paper. We exploit recent advances in the prompt-learning model [Ding *et al.*, 2021b] based on knowledgeable expansion in the few-shot scenario.

*Contact Author

The proposed Prompt-Learning approach for Short Text classification (PLST) incorporated external knowledge from open Knowledge Graph like Probase to extend label words space. More specifically, the top N concepts concerning the entities in short text are firstly retrieved from open Knowledge Graph such as Probase. Then the distance are calculated between retrieved concepts and class label in embedding space for label words refinement. The advantage of our method is that it generates more effective label words by considering the short text itself, not just the class name.

Here, we give an example shown in Figure 1 to illustrate the advantage of our method PLST. For one sentence "Ford cuts production while chrysler's sales rise." and template "This topic is about [mask]", the label word space in Prompt-tuning [Ding *et al.*, 2021b] only includes the class name 'business', which refers to that only predicting the word "business" for the [MASK] token is regarded as correct regardless of other relevant words. The expanded label words generated by Knowledgeable Prompt-tuning [Hu *et al.*, 2021] contain the plenty of words as commerce, trade, market, antique, purchase,..., which only related with the class name 'business' without paying attention to the original sentence. The expanded label words generated by our PLST are not related with the class name, but also can expand knowledge from the original sentence. Then, by considering the distance as filter for label words refinement, 'company' and 'manufacturer' are selected as the expansion for class business. In this case, the expanded label words space business, company, manufacturer,... is more accurate and more reliable than other label words space.




Figure 1: Comparison of label words expansion with different approaches. Given one sentence "Ford cuts production while chrysler's sales rise." and template "This topic is about [mask]", the expanded label words are generated by our method PLST and the state-of-the-art two baselines based Prompt-tuning [Ding *et al.*, 2021b] and Knowledgeable Prompt-tuning [Hu *et al.*, 2021].

The contributions of our paper are summarized as follows:

(1) Our PLST is a novel prompt-learning-based method for short text classification, which can take full advantages of prompt-learning to bridge the objective form gap between

pre-training and fine-tuning. Compared with existing methods, our PLST can achieve more desirable performance, since it considers both the short text and class name during expanding label words space.

(2) Our PLST is a simple and effective short text classification method. 1) Simple: many steps used in existing short text classification methods have been eliminated from our method, e.g., co-occurrence words searching and the entire model tuning. 2) Effective: it obtains new state-of-the-art results on three benchmarks.

(3) To our best knowledge, this is the first attempt to apply prompt-learning models on short text classification tasks. The code to reproduce our results is available at <https://github.com/anonymous>.

2 Related Work

In this section, we review the literature pertaining to short text classification, prompt-learning and verbalizer construction respectively.

Short text classification Last decades have witnessed a vast amount of interest and research in short text classification, which has played an import role in many practical applications like knowledge reasoning and intelligent recommendation. Recently, there have already been some effort on devoting sole source and external knowledge techniques to short text classification. For example, Lai *et al.* proposed a recurrent convolutional neural network to capture contextual information and the key components for text classification [Lai *et al.*, 2015]. Chen *et al.* proposed to retrieve knowledge from external knowledge source to enhance the semantic representation of short texts, and attention mechanisms is introduced in this method to acquire the weight of concepts [Chen *et al.*, 2019]. Xu *et al.* proposed a hybrid model to incorporate context-relevant knowledge into a convolutional neural network for short text classification [Xu and Cai, 2019]. Although these methods can obtain fairly good results in short text classification, they all suffer from significant performance degradation in few-shot learning.

Prompt-learning The fine-tuned Pre-trained Language Models have achieved tremendous success in various NLP tasks, such as question answering [Yang *et al.*, 2019], text classification [Minaee *et al.*, 2021], machine translation [Zhu *et al.*, 2020], and lexical simplification [Qiang *et al.*, 2020]. However, the huge gap between pre-training and fine-tuning still prevents downstream tasks from fully utilizing pre-training knowledge. To this end, inspired by GPT-3 [Brown *et al.*, 2020], prompt-learning has been proposed to transfer downstream tasks as some cloze-style objectives and achieved superior performance especially in few-shot learning. Along this line, many hand-crafted prompts have been made in various tasks, such as knowledge probing [Petroni *et al.*, 2019], relation extraction [Han *et al.*, 2021], entity typing [Ding *et al.*, 2021a] and text classification [Hu *et al.*, 2021]. To avoiding time-consuming and labor-intensive prompt design, a series of automatic prompt generation methods have explored recently [Li and Liang, 2021; Lester *et al.*, 2021].

Verbalizer construction The verbalizer, which refers to

Dataset	Label	Label Words Set
AG’s News	<i>BUSINESS</i>	industry, company, manufacturer, investor, provider, giant, fund, insurance, ...
	<i>SPORTS</i>	rivalry, playing, fifa, games, winners, athlete, career, soccer, ...
Snippets	<i>POLITICS</i>	religious, cultural, province, minority, terrorist, nationality, politician, government, ...
	<i>HEALTH</i>	nutrition, care, prevention, cancer, patient, fitness, healthcare, disease, hiv, ...
	<i>COMPUTERS</i>	server, file, editing, software, format, Microsoft, code, advanced, tool, ...
	<i>SPORTS</i>	club, nba, soccer, basketball, players, football, teams, baseball, standings, match, ...

Table 1: Examples of the expanded label words

a projection from label words to the category, has been proven to be a important and effective strategy in prompt-learning [Gao *et al.*, 2020]. The hand-crafted verbalizers have achieved sound performance in text classification and other NLP tasks, however, the manually designed verbalizers is highly impacted by the prior knowledge and incur omissions and bias for knowledge expansion. Some other automatic verbalizer construction methods require enough training and validation sets for optimization [Gao *et al.*, 2020; Schick *et al.*, 2020]. Moreover, synonyms of category name are more likely to be expanded instead of diverse and comprehensive label words in these methods. Some other work also try to denoise expand label words with external knowledge [Hu *et al.*, 2021]. Due to a large amount of useless words are extracted during the stage of verbalizer construction, the verbalizer refinement is difficult and leads to unsatisfied results in few-shot learning. Compared to the previous methods, in this paper, we proposed a prompt-learning strategy to significantly improve the performance of short text classification. Not only class name but also the short text itself are taken into consideration for knowledgeable expansion.

3 Methodology

In this section, we briefly summarize the prompt-learning method, and then label words set construction, cluster and refinement, and text classification are described successively.

3.1 The prompt-learning method

In the prompt-learning method, the input statements are formalized as the natural language template, and the text classification tasks are regarded as the close-style tasks. For example, in topic classification, assuming we need to classify the sentence x : "yukos cuts output to save money" into the label $y_1 = BUSINESS$ or $y_2 = SPORTS$, the template can be noted as:

$$x_p = [\text{CLS}] x, \text{ a } [\text{MASK}] \text{ question}$$

Given the input $x = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, which is classified into a category with label $y \in Y$, the label word set is denoted as $V_y = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, where V_y is a subset of the whole vocabulary V , i.e., $V_y \in V$, and V_y is mapped into a category with label y . In PLMs M , the probability that each word v in V_y is filled in the [MASK] can be shown as $p([\text{MASK}] = v \in V_y | x_p)$. Thus, the text classification tasks can be transferred into a probability calculation problem of

label words, which can be computed as (1):

$$p(y \in Y | x) = p([\text{MASK}] = v \in V_y | x_p) \quad (1)$$

In the above mentioned example, if the calculated probability of $V_1 = \{business\}$ for $y_1 = BUSINESS$ is larger than $V_2 = \{sports\}$ for $y_1 = SPORTS$, it indicates that the sentence x is classified into the category *BUSINESS*.

In the scenario of automatic expansion of label words or verbalizer construction, the V_y related to a special category with label y is expanded, such as $V_1 = \{business\}$ can be expand as $V_1 = \{business, commerce, company, \dots\}$ in the above example, which can obviously improve the short text classification performance with prompt-learning. In this paper, the top N concepts related to the entity in short text are retrieved from the open Knowledge Graph like Probase, and we further refine the expanded label words by the distance calculation between selected concepts and class label. The details are in the following sections.

3.2 Label Words Set Construction

In the short text classification, the crucial problem for label words expansion is the hierarchical label space, which refers to multiple aspects and granularities. For example, "country", "province", and "city" are multi-level and related words, and they may be all fit the predicting masked words in the template of prompt-learning. To this end, we select Probase¹ as the external knowledge source, which is a open Knowledge Graph constructed by Microsoft. Probase specifies the probability of each instance belonging to the concept, and the concepts with relatively small correlations will be abandoned in the process of label words expansion, which reduce the difficulty in verbalizer refinement. The label name y of each topic is utilized as anchor words, and the top N concepts are retrieved from Probase ranked with probabilities, which can be denoted as $N(v)$. Thus, the expanded label words set can be represented as $V_y = \{y\} \cup N(v)$, which is a verbalizer mapping a label words set to a special category, some expanded label words in our PLST are listed in Table 1.

3.3 Cluster and Refinement

Although the expanded label words set is filtered by concepts probabilities firstly, there are still many useless and noise words since the gap between pre-training model and concepts in knowledge graph. Therefore, it is necessary to further refine the label words set for retaining high-quality and removing low-relevance words.

¹<https://concept.research.microsoft.com/>.




Figure 2: The illustration of our PLTS for verbalizer construction. MLM is short for Masked Language Modeling. The left part refers to the input text: "Ford cuts production while chrysler's sales rise." with the template: "This topic is about [mask]". The center and left part is the label words set construction by the short text itself and class name respectively. The red part in Probase refers to the concept node corresponding to 'chrysler' in input text.

In the scenario of few-shot learning, the refinement should consider the possible impact of each expanded label words on the classification. Since the verbalizer is a projection between a label words set and the category label space, in the embedded space, the distance $dist(V_y, y)$ between each expanded label words and each label name y is calculated. In this way, the higher-probability words for classification are clustered into the label name of each topic, which not only consider the hierarchical concept itself, but also can fit the label word of the special category. Finally, we select as verbalizer refinement the top M words from $dist(V_y, y)$ for each category, excluding the morphological derivations of y .

3.4 Text Classification

After the final verbalizer construction, we need to map the predicted probability on each refined label word to special class, which can be noted as a objective function g for verbalizer utilization. Due to that each word in final verbalizer can be assumed to contribute equally for predicting, the average of the predicted scores is used for text classification, i.e., g can be calculated as :

$$\arg \max_{y \in Y} \frac{1}{|V_y|} \sum_{v \in V_y} p([MASK] = v | x_p) \quad (2)$$

Suppose that there is a sentence "Ford cuts production while chrysler's sales rise." and the corresponding topic is *BUSINESS*, we can obtain the label words in verbalizer as {company, manufacturer, industry, investor, competitor, ...}. The whole framework of our proposed PLST is illustrated as

Figure 2, and we can see the expanded label words not only have a strong correlation with the category *BUSINESS*, but also hold hierarchical and multi-granularities properties of the topic. If we adopt the existing state-of-the-art method based on Knowledgeable Prompt-tuning proposed by [Hu *et al.*, 2021], the expanded label words are {commerce, trade, market, antique, purchase,...}. Very obviously, our method generates a better label words set.

4 Experiments

In this section, we conduct experiments on three datasets to evaluate the effectiveness of our proposed method in short text classification.

4.1 Datasets and Templates

We conduct experiments on three short text datasets: AG's News [Zhang *et al.*, 2015], Snippets [Phan *et al.*, 2008] and News Title [Linmei *et al.*, 2019]. Notably, we only pick the news title of AG's News in the experiments. The statistics of each dataset are listed in Table 2.

Dataset	Class	Test Size	Avg.Len
AG news	4	7600	7
Snippets	8	2280	18
News title	7	6521	8

Table 2: The details of all three datasets

In the experiments, the manual templates are used due to the topic templates are simple and effective. To test the influence of different topic templates, further experiments are carried out on all three datasets in Section 4.5.

4.2 Compared Methods and Experiment Settings

The BERT model, fine-tuning, the regular prompt-learning, and Knowledgeable Prompt-tuning methods are conducted to demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed method. Moreover, the state-of-the-art short text classification methods are also included since they have achieved sound performance.

- BERT + Fine-tuning (BERT + FT). This method first obtains the hidden embedding of [CLS] token through Bert, and then input this hidden embedding into the classification layer to predict.
- Regular prompt-learning (PL). The regular prompt-learning fill the input statements into a hand-crafted template, and only the category name is used to form the label word space. For fairness, the hand-crafted templates in PL are consistent with our method.
- Knowledgeable Prompt-tuning method (KPT) [Hu *et al.*, 2021]. KPT method expands the verbalizer in prompt-tuning using the external Knowledge Base. The verbalizer construction, refinement and utilization are used to incorporate external knowledge for predication.

Experiment Settings: In our experiments, we conduct 5, 10, 20-shot as k -shot learning experiments. Specifically, k and 1000 instances of each category are sampled from original training set to form new training set and support set respectively. Note all experimental results are obtained by repeating five experiments and taking the average value. Moreover, accuracy is adopted in all the experiments as the test metric. For the PLMs, we use RoBERTa_{LARGE} [Liu *et al.*, 2019] for all experiments. The OpenPrompt [Ding *et al.*, 2021b] is adopted for the implementation of BERT + FT, PL and KPT.

4.3 Experimental Results

All the experimental results on three datasets are recorded in Table 3. The following insightful observations can be listed from experimental results:

(1) Generally, as the experiments varies from 5-shot to 20-shot, the performance of all fine-tuning methods has improved, which reveals the increase of labeled instances number can improve the results in few-shot learning.

(2) Our method and KPT achieve more desirable performance than other fine-tuning methods in most cases, which indicates the effectiveness of expanding the verbalizer in prompt-tuning with the external Knowledge Base.

(3) The results of the KPT are not stable especially in News Title dataset, which shows that KPT cannot incorporate appropriate knowledge in some dataset. Moreover, we can observe that the performance of KPT is even worse than regular prompt-learning on News Title dataset, it further demonstrates that the expanded label words by KPT may have some bias and do not have enough coverage in short texts.

shot	Methods	AG’s News	Snippets	News Title
5	BERT + FT	32.30	35.30	31.31
	PL	73.20	72.01	66.54
	KPT	77.01	80.64	66.11
	Ours	77.72	80.46	71.18
10	BERT + FT	68.76	66.83	64.61
	PL	76.57	78.06	71.18
	KPT	78.09	81.44	70.56
	Ours	79.02	82.31	74.39
20	BERT + FT	76.63	77.65	71.54
	PL	80.45	82.05	74.33
	KPT	81.03	84.96	74.12
	Ours	81.50	85.67	75.87

Table 3: The results of accuracy on three datasets.

(4) Overall, our PLST performs best on most cases, which validates the effectiveness of incorporating external knowledge for extending label words space to address the inherent problems of short text classification. It should be noted that our method can achieve stable performance in all three datasets, which shows that the effectiveness of knowledgeable expansion with concepts retrieval and refinement.

4.4 Parameter Sensitivity

In this section, we investigate the influence of parameters in our proposed method, including top N in concepts retrieval and the selected top M words in verbalizer refinement. When we change one parameter, the rest others are fixed in the experiment. N and M are sampled from the set $\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ and $\{30,40,50,60,70\}$ respectively. All the results are reported in Figures 3 and Figures 4 respectively, and we set $N = 5$ and $M = 50$ to get best and stable results. It is worth mentioning that the results is declining when $M = 80$, it indicates that more label words with weak relevance incur a performance loss, that is also why KPT achieved unsatisfying result in some dataset.




Figure 3: Parameter Influence of N on all three datasets.

4.5 Impact of Templates

The templates has always been one of the important factors that affect the effectiveness of prompt-learning methods, we list all the templates used in our experiments on Table 4. The 10-shot experimental results of regular prompt-learning (PL), knowledgeable Prompt-tuning method (KPT) and our method with four templates on three datasets are reported in Table




Figure 4: Parameter Influence of M on all three datasets.

5. The results reveal that our method has a significantly better performance on all three datasets than PL and KPT, our method can achieve substantial and consistent performance in all templates. In addition, we have observed that the experimental results of KPT is even worse than PL in Newstitle and Snippets dataset, it shows that KPT cannot keep stable with the change of templates.

Dataset	id	Templates
AG news	1	A [mask] news : X
	2	X This topic is about [mask]
	3	The category of X is [mask]
	4	Topic:[mask] X
Snippets	1	X is about [mask]
	2	X This topic is about [mask]
	3	The category of X is [mask]
	4	The topic of X is [mask]
Newstitle	1	A [mask] news: X
	2	X This topic is about [mask]
	3	X is about [mask]
	4	The topic of X is [mask]

Table 4: The different templates on three datasets

4.6 Impact of Support Set

In our experiments, 1000 instances of each category are sampled from original training set to form support set. In this section, to verify the impact of support set, we altered the size of set from the set $\{0, 256, 512, 752, 1000, 1256, 1512\}$, the 10-shot experimental results with the first template on AG’s News and Snippets dataset can be seen as Figure 5. From the observation of these results, our method keep the encouraging result on different size of support set, which demonstrated the stability and effectiveness of our method.

4.7 Effect of Our Method on Regular Text

To evaluate the effect of our method on regular text, the supplement experiments are conducted on AG’s News with all news title and content. The average results are reported in Figure 6. Although our method is designed for short text classification on few-shot scenario, our method achieved competitive results on regular text. The results show that the proposed method of concepts retrieval and refinement is more suitable for short text.

Methods	Template_id	AG’s News	Snippets	NewsTitle
PL	1	78.8	79.27	74.95
	2	76.52	80.57	71.18
	3	72.99	75.64	69.1
	4	77.95	76.75	69.48
	avg	76.57	78.06	71.18
KPT	1	80.29	81.68	72.6
	2	77.0	82.13	70.98
	3	76.51	80.95	68.98
	4	78.54	80.99	69.68
	avg	78.09	81.44	70.56
ours	1	79.76	83.32	76.59
	2	77.68	82.55	73.82
	3	78.05	81.4	73.24
	4	80.59	81.98	73.92
	avg	79.02	82.31	74.39

Table 5: The 10-shot results of accuracy with different templates on three datasets.




Figure 5: Impact of Support Set on AG’s News and Snippets dataset.




Figure 6: Effect of Our method on regular text in on AG’s News Dataset with all news title and content.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a prompt-learning strategy for short text classification, which retrieve top N concepts from open Knowledge Graph, and refine the expanded label words in embedding space. The experiments show the the effectiveness of our method. We will extend our research work from the following two directions in the future. One is exploring better methods for automatic template construction and verbalizer designment on short text. The other is to incorporate more auxiliary information from external knowledge for some other tasks.

References

- [Brown *et al.*, 2020] Tom B Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. Language models are few-shot learners. In *Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1877–1901, 2020.
- [Chen *et al.*, 2019] Jindong Chen, Yizhou Hu, Jingping Liu, Yanghua Xiao, and Haiyun Jiang. Deep short text classification with knowledge powered attention. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 6252–6259, 2019.
- [Ding *et al.*, 2021a] Ning Ding, Yulin Chen, Xu Han, Guangwei Xu, Pengjun Xie, Hai-Tao Zheng, Zhiyuan Liu, Juanzi Li, and Hong-Gee Kim. Prompt-learning for fine-grained entity typing. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.10604*, 2021.
- [Ding *et al.*, 2021b] Ning Ding, Shengding Hu, Weilin Zhao, Yulin Chen, Zhiyuan Liu, Hai-Tao Zheng, and Maosong Sun. Openprompt: An open-source framework for prompt-learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.01998*, 2021.
- [Gao *et al.*, 2020] Tianyu Gao, Adam Fisch, and Danqi Chen. Making pre-trained language models better few-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2012.15723*, 2020.
- [Han *et al.*, 2021] Xu Han, Weilin Zhao, Ning Ding, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. Ptr: Prompt tuning with rules for text classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.11259*, 2021.
- [Hu *et al.*, 2021] Shengding Hu, Ning Ding, Huadong Wang, Zhiyuan Liu, Juanzi Li, and Maosong Sun. Knowledgeable prompt-tuning: Incorporating knowledge into prompt verbalizer for text classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2108.02035*, 2021.
- [Kim, 2014] Yoon Kim. Convolutional neural networks for sentence classification. *corr abs/1408.5882* (2014). *arXiv preprint arXiv:1408.5882*, 2014.
- [Lai *et al.*, 2015] Siwei Lai, Liheng Xu, Kang Liu, and Jun Zhao. Recurrent convolutional neural networks for text classification. In *AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, 2015.
- [Lester *et al.*, 2021] Brian Lester, Rami Al-Rfou, and Noah Constant. The power of scale for parameter-efficient prompt tuning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.08691*, 2021.
- [Li and Liang, 2021] Xiang Lisa Li and Percy Liang. Prefix-tuning: Optimizing continuous prompts for generation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.00190*, 2021.
- [Linmei *et al.*, 2019] Hu Linmei, Tianchi Yang, Chuan Shi, Houye Ji, and Xiaoli Li. Heterogeneous graph attention networks for semi-supervised short text classification. In *EMNLP-IJCNLP*, pages 4821–4830, 2019.
- [Liu *et al.*, 2019] Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1907.11692*, 2019.
- [Minaee *et al.*, 2021] Shervin Minaee, Nal Kalchbrenner, Erik Cambria, Narjes Nikzad, Meysam Chenaghlu, and Jianfeng Gao. Deep learning-based text classification: A comprehensive review. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 54(3):1–40, 2021.
- [Petroni *et al.*, 2019] Fabio Petroni, Tim Rocktäschel, Patrick Lewis, Anton Bakhtin, Yuxiang Wu, Alexander H Miller, and Sebastian Riedel. Language models as knowledge bases? *arXiv preprint arXiv:1909.01066*, 2019.
- [Phan *et al.*, 2008] Xuan-Hieu Phan, Le-Minh Nguyen, and Susumu Horiguchi. Learning to classify short and sparse text & web with hidden topics from large-scale data collections. In *International Conference on World Wide Web*, pages 91–100, 2008.
- [Qiang *et al.*, 2020] Jipeng Qiang, Yun Li, Yi Zhu, Yunhao Yuan, and Xindong Wu. Lexical simplification with pre-trained encoders. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 34, pages 8649–8656, 2020.
- [Schick and Schütze, 2020a] Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. Exploiting cloze questions for few shot text classification and natural language inference. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.07676*, 2020.
- [Schick and Schütze, 2020b] Timo Schick and Hinrich Schütze. It’s not just size that matters: Small language models are also few-shot learners. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2009.07118*, 2020.
- [Schick *et al.*, 2020] Timo Schick, Helmut Schmid, and Hinrich Schütze. Automatically identifying words that can serve as labels for few-shot text classification. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.13641*, 2020.
- [Xu and Cai, 2019] Jingyun Xu and Yi Cai. Incorporating context-relevant knowledge into convolutional neural networks for short text classification. In *AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 33, pages 10067–10068, 2019.
- [Xu *et al.*, 2021] Han Xu, Zhang Zhengyan, Ding Ning, Gu Yuxian, Liu Xiao, Huo Yuqi, Qiu Jiezhong, Zhang Liang, Han Wentao, Huang Minlie, et al. Pre-trained models: Past, present and future. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.07139*, 2021.
- [Yang *et al.*, 2019] Wei Yang, Yuqing Xie, Luchen Tan, Kun Xiong, Ming Li, and Jimmy Lin. Data augmentation for

bert fine-tuning in open-domain question answering. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.06652*, 2019.

[Zhang *et al.*, 2015] Xiang Zhang, Junbo Zhao, and Yann LeCun. Character-level convolutional networks for text classification. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 28:649–657, 2015.

[Zhu *et al.*, 2020] Jinhua Zhu, Yingce Xia, Lijun Wu, Di He, Tao Qin, Wengang Zhou, Houqiang Li, and Tie-Yan Liu. Incorporating bert into neural machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06823*, 2020.