

# ON MAÑÉ'S CRITICAL VALUE FOR THE TWO-COMPONENT HUNTER-SAXTON SYSTEM AND AN INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL MAGNETIC HOPF–RINOW THEOREM

L. MAIER

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce a nonlinear system of partial differential equations, the magnetic two-component Hunter–Saxton system (M2HS). This system is formulated as a magnetic geodesic equation on an infinite-dimensional Lie group equipped with a right-invariant metric, namely the  $\dot{H}^1$ -metric, which is closely related to the infinite-dimensional Fisher–Rao metric. The magnetic field is given by the exterior derivative of an infinite-dimensional contact-type form.

We define Mañé's critical value for exact magnetic systems on Hilbert manifolds in full generality and compute it explicitly for the (M2HS). Moreover, we establish an infinite-dimensional Hopf–Rinow theorem for this magnetic system, where Mañé's critical value serves as the threshold beyond which the Hopf–Rinow property fails.

This geometric framework enables a detailed analysis of the blow-up behavior of solutions to the (M2HS). Using this insight, we extend solutions beyond blow-up by introducing and proving the existence of global conservative weak solutions. This extension is achieved by extending the Madelung transform from an isometry to a magnetomorphism, thereby embedding the magnetic system into a magnetic system on an infinite-dimensional sphere equipped with the exterior derivative of the standard contact form as the magnetic field.

Crucially, this setting can always be reduced, via a dynamical reduction theorem, to a totally magnetic three-sphere, providing deeper insight into the underlying dynamics.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In his seminal work [7], V. Arnold discovered that the Euler equation in hydrodynamics, which describes the motion of an incompressible and inviscid fluid occupying a fixed domain (with or without boundary), is precisely the geodesic equation on the group of volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of the domain equipped with a right-invariant Riemannian metric, the  $L^2$  metric. Notably, the analytical study of the differential geometry of the incompressible Euler equations began by Ebin–Marsden in [16].

From the perspective of differential geometry, it is natural to ask: If we change the infinite-dimensional Lie group and/or the Riemannian metric, which partial differential equations (PDEs) can also be described as geodesic equations? This has been investigated intensively in the past. For a general overview, we refer to the monograph by Arnold–Khesin [8] and the survey articles [23, 37].

Before we proceed, we would like to highlight an important example relevant to this work: the Hunter–Saxton equation, which is the geodesic equation on the Virasoro group equipped with the  $\dot{H}^1$ -metric. This was discovered by Khesin–Misiolek in [20] and later used in [26, 27] to prove, for instance, the existence of global weak solutions for this PDE.

We now move on to discuss a natural generalization of the geodesic equation from the perspective of Hamiltonian dynamics: Newton's equation. Nowadays, several PDEs from mathematical physics possess a formulation as an infinite-dimensional Newton equation, including the geodesic equation. Notable examples include the barotropic inviscid fluid, fully compressible fluid, magnetohydrodynamics, inviscid Burgers' equation, the Hamilton–Jacobi equation, the Klein–Gordon equation, the

KdV equation, and the Camassa–Holm equation. For a general overview of the landscape of this topic, we refer to [21, 23].

In physical terms, Newton’s equation describes the motion of a particle under the influence of a potential force. Thus, from a physical point of view, the next natural step is to investigate the motion of a charged particle in a magnetic field. In mathematics, this falls under the framework of Hamiltonian dynamics, specifically magnetic systems. Following the pioneering work of V. Arnold [6] in the 1960s, finite-dimensional magnetic systems have garnered significant attention in recent years; see, for example, [2, 9, 14, 15, 19, 29]. It is noteworthy that the trajectories of magnetic systems are called *magnetic geodesics*, which are solutions to the corresponding equation of motion known as the *magnetic geodesic equation*; see Theorem 2.1.

This naturally leads to the following question, arising from the preceding discussion of various PDEs as geodesic or Newton equations:

Are there infinite-dimensional magnetic systems such that the associated magnetic geodesic equation is a PDE?

We provide a positive answer to this question in this article by introducing the *magnetic two-component Hunter–Saxton system* (M2HS). Before stating the main contributions of this paper, let us emphasize the following: A key point of interest is to explore the similarities and differences between standard and magnetic geodesics, in this paper by means of a Hopf–Rinow theorem. According to this pivotal result, every two points on a closed connected finite-dimensional manifold can be connected by a standard geodesic [35]. However, this finding does not generally hold for Hilbert manifolds [10, 17], but it is surprisingly true for half-Lie groups due to the recent work of Bauer–Harms–Michor [11].

Notably, a standard fact in differential geometry ensures that the existence of a connecting geodesic is independent of its speed or energy. Therefore, it is natural to ask whether there exists a magnetic geodesic connecting two given points with a prescribed kinetic energy. An important role in addressing this question is played by the Mañé critical value, which, until now, has been defined only for finite-dimensional magnetic systems [15, 29].

**The main contributions of this article** are as follows:

- **Mañé’s critical value for Hilbert manifolds:** We introduce Mañé’s critical value for exact magnetic systems on Hilbert manifolds (Theorem 2.4).
- **The dynamical reduction theorem:** We compute Mañé’s critical value in Theorem 3.14 for a natural infinite-dimensional magnetic system: the unit sphere in the space of square-integrable complex-valued functions,  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , equipped with the round metric and an infinite-dimensional contact form defined in Theorem 3.3. Using a dynamical reduction theorem (Theorem 3.11), we reduce the dynamics to a totally magnetic three-sphere, as defined in Theorem 2.9, and establish an infinite-dimensional Hopf–Rinow theorem for the magnetic system. The Mañé critical value marks the threshold at which the Hopf–Rinow theorem no longer applies (Theorem 3.15).
- **The Madelung transform as a magnetomorphism:** We extend the Madelung transform from being an isometry of an infinite-dimensional Lie group  $G$ , equipped with a right-invariant metric, to an open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of the unit sphere in the space of complex-valued square-integrable functions. This transform is demonstrated to be a *magnetomorphism* in the sense of Theorem 2.7, as shown in Theorem 5.1. As a special case, we recover the corresponding results in [26, 28]. Our choice of a magnetic field is derived naturally through the lens of infinite-dimensional contact geometry, transforming  $G$  into an infinite-dimensional Boothby–Wang bundle over the cotangent bundle of probability densities on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ , equipped with its standard symplectic form (Theorem 4.3).

- **A PDE as a magnetic geodesic equation:** We provide a positive answer to the question posed earlier by introducing the *magnetic two-component Hunter-Saxton system* (M2HS) and proving in Theorem 5.1 that solutions of (M2HS) are in one-to-one correspondence with the magnetic geodesics of an infinite-dimensional magnetic system. To the best of the author’s knowledge, this is the first instance in the literature where a PDE is shown to be precisely a magnetic geodesic equation on an infinite-dimensional Lie group.
- **Geometry of blow-ups and global conservative weak solutions:** Using the framework of infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian dynamics, we explain the occurrence of blow-ups in the (M2HS)(Theorem 6.1), with visualizations in Figure 2. Leveraging the Madelung transform as a magnetomorphism (Theorem 4.1) and the aforementioned dynamical reduction theorem (Theorem 3.11), we can deduce from the initial data of a solution of (M2HS) whether a blow-up will occur. More intriguingly, this geometric framework enables us to establish a notion of global conservative weak solutions under mild regularity assumptions (Theorem 6.9) and provides a natural explanation for their global existence (Theorem 6.10). Analytical details are provided in [31], and as a special case, we recover results from [26, 27, 38].
- **Mañé’s value for the (M2HS):** We compute Mañé’s critical value for the natural completion  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  of the magnetic system on the Lie group  $G$ , which we have developed in Theorem 6.10. As an illustration, we show that the Mañé critical value serves as the threshold where the Hopf–Rinow theorem ceases to hold for this magnetic system (Theorem 7.1), analogous to Theorem 3.15. Using Theorem 5.1, we provide a Hopf–Rinow theorem for solutions of (M2HS).

A visual representation of the current state of these contributions is provided in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha) & \xrightarrow[\text{Madelung}]{\cong} & (\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) \subseteq (\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 T_{\text{id}}\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1} & \xleftarrow{\text{-----}} & (S^3, g, d\alpha)
 \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

The two arrows between the magnetic system  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  and  $T_{\text{id}}\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  on the left side of (1.1) represent the one-to-one correspondence between magnetic geodesics (respectively, weak magnetic geodesics) in  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  and solutions of (M2HS), as established in Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.10. Starting with a global conservative weak solution  $(u, \rho)$  of (M2HS), which lives in  $T_{\text{id}}\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$ , we obtain a corresponding magnetic geodesic  $(\varphi, \tau)$  in  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  via the aforementioned duality. Using the Madelung transform  $\Phi$  (depicted by the arrow in the diagram), which is a magnetomorphism according to Theorem 4.1,  $\Phi(\varphi, \tau)$  becomes a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . Since  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  is an open subset, it follows that  $\Phi(\varphi, \tau)$  is also a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . By the dynamical reduction theorem (Theorem 3.11), this magnetic geodesic remains confined to a totally magnetic three-sphere, depicted by the embedding arrow on the right side of the diagram in (1.1).

By traversing all the arrows in this order, the diagram provides a means to translate problems concerning the highly nonlinear PDE (M2HS) into questions about the magnetic geodesic flow on a three-sphere. This magnetic geodesic flow is fully understood via the Hopf–Rinow theorem, as established in previous work by Albers, Benedetti, and the author [4].

In summary, we utilize the structure of the magnetic geodesic flow on the three-sphere to address the challenges presented by (M2HS), as indicated by the dashed arrow in the lower row of (1.1).

**Acknowledgments.** The author is indebted to P. Albers and B. Khesin for valuable discussions throughout the project and for their constant interest in his work. The author thanks P. Albers and G. Benedetti for their collaboration in [4], which was a source of inspiration for this work. Appreciation is extended to Ana Chavez Caliz for providing all the figures in this document. The author also acknowledges L. Assele and G. Benedetti for insightful discussions on Mañé’s critical value. Thanks are due to M. Bauer, A. Chavez Caliz, L. Deschamps, K. Modin, S. Preston, and C. Vizman for their helpful comments on an earlier version of this work. The author further acknowledges funding by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 281869850 (RTG 2229), 390900948 (EXC-2181/1) and 281071066 (TRR 191). The author gratefully acknowledges support from the Simons Center for Geometry and Physics, Stony Brook University at which some of the research for this paper was performed during the program *Mathematical Billiards: at the Crossroads of Dynamics, Geometry, Analysis, and Mathematical Physics*. The author thanks also V. Assenza and V. Ramos for their warm hospitality at IMPA in Rio de Janeiro in February 2024 where some of the research for this paper was performed.

## 2. MAGNETIC SYSTEMS AND MAÑÉ CRITICAL VALUE

**2.1. Intermezzo: Magnetic systems.** In the 1960s, the motion of a charged particle in a magnetic field was placed within the framework of modern dynamical systems by V. Arnold in his pioneering work [6]. The motion has the following mathematical description:

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a connected Riemannian Hilbert manifold, and let  $\sigma \in \Omega^2(M)$  be a closed two-form. The form  $\sigma$  is called a *magnetic field*, and the triple  $(M, g, \sigma)$  is called a *magnetic system*. This defines the skew-symmetric bundle endomorphism  $Y: TM \rightarrow TM$ , called the *Lorentz force*, by:

$$g_q(Y_q u, v) = \sigma_q(u, v), \quad \forall q \in M, \forall u, v \in T_q M. \quad (2.1)$$

A smooth curve  $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$  is called a *magnetic geodesic* of  $(M, g, \sigma)$  if it satisfies:

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = Y_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}, \quad (2.2)$$

where  $\nabla$  denotes the Levi-Civita connection of the metric  $g$ .

**Remarks 2.2.**

**Special case: Geodesics.** From (2.2), it is evident that a magnetic geodesic with  $\sigma = 0$  reduces to a standard geodesic of the metric  $g$ . Therefore, (2.2) can be interpreted as a linear perturbation of the geodesic equation. A key point of interest is to explore the similarities and differences between standard and magnetic geodesics.

Since  $Y$  is skew-symmetric, magnetic geodesics have constant kinetic energy  $E(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) := \frac{1}{2}g_{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})$ , and hence constant speed  $|\dot{\gamma}| := \sqrt{g_{\gamma}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})}$ , just like standard geodesics. Energy conservation is a footprint of the Hamiltonian nature of the system. Indeed, let us define the *magnetic geodesic flow* on the tangent bundle by

$$\Phi_{g, \sigma}^t: TM \rightarrow TM, \quad (q, v) \mapsto (\gamma_{q, v}(t), \dot{\gamma}_{q, v}(t)), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\gamma_{q,v}(t)$  is the unique magnetic geodesic with initial values  $(q, v) \in TM$ . By [19],  $\Phi_{g,\sigma}^t$  is the Hamiltonian flow induced by the kinetic energy  $E: TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and the twisted symplectic form

$$\omega_\sigma := d\lambda - \pi_{TM}^* \sigma, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\lambda$  is the metric pullback of the canonical Liouville 1-form from  $T^*M$  to  $TM$  and  $\pi_{TM}: TM \rightarrow M$  is the projection. However, differently from the case of standard geodesics, magnetic geodesics of different speeds are not just reparametrization of unit speed magnetic geodesics. For instance, if  $M = \mathbb{S}^2$ ,  $g$  has constant curvature 1 and  $\sigma$  is the corresponding area form, then magnetic geodesics of kinetic energy  $k$  are geodesic circles of radius  $\arctan(\sqrt{2k})$  traversed counterclockwise, see [12].

**Remark 2.3.**

*A different perspective.* For every  $s > 0$ , a curve  $\gamma$  is a magnetic geodesic of  $(M, g, \sigma)$  with speed  $\frac{1}{s}$  if and only if the unit-speed reparametrization of  $\gamma$  is a magnetic geodesic of  $(M, g, s\sigma)$ . This implies that studying magnetic geodesics of  $(M, g, \sigma)$  with varying speeds is equivalent to studying unit-speed magnetic geodesics of  $(M, g, s\sigma)$  for different values of  $s > 0$ . This approach has the added benefit that:

- For  $s = 0$ , we recover standard geodesics.
- For  $s < 0$ , we recover magnetic geodesics of  $(M, g, \sigma)$  with reversed orientation.

In this context, the parameter  $s$  is referred to as the strength of the magnetic geodesic.

**2.2. Mañé's Critical Value of infinite-dimensional magnetic systems.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Hilbert manifold endowed with a Riemannian metric  $g$ , which may be either weak or strong. Let  $\alpha$  be a one-form on  $M$  and consider the exact magnetic system  $(M, g, d\alpha)$ . In this case, the magnetic geodesic flow  $\Phi_{g,\sigma}$  is the Euler–Lagrange flow  $\Phi_L$  of the magnetic Lagrangian

$$L: TM \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad L(q, v) := \frac{1}{2}|v|^2 - \alpha_q(v), \quad (2.4)$$

see [19]. This means that  $\gamma: [0, T] \rightarrow M$  is a magnetic geodesic if and only if  $\gamma$  is a critical point of the action functional  $S_L$  among all curves  $\delta \in H^1([0, T], M)$  such that  $\delta(0) = \gamma(0)$  and  $\delta(T) = \gamma(T)$ . Here the action functional is defined as

$$S_L: H^1([0, T], M) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : \gamma \mapsto S_L(\gamma) := \int_0^T L(\gamma(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) dt.$$

This variational principle prescribes the length  $T$  of the time interval and leaves free the energy of  $\gamma$ . On the other hand, given  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\gamma$  is a magnetic geodesic with energy  $k$  if and only if  $\gamma$  is a critical point of  $S_{L+k}$  among all curves  $\delta: [0, T'] \rightarrow M$  of Sobolev class  $H^1$  with  $\delta(0) = \gamma(0)$  and  $\delta(T') = \gamma(T)$  for an arbitrary  $T' > 0$ . Now we can define Mañé's critical value of the Lagrangian  $L$ :

**Definition 2.4** (Mañé's critical value). The Mañé's critical value  $c(L) \in \mathbb{R}$  of  $L$  is the smallest energy value such that the free-period action functional with energy  $k$  is bounded from below (by zero) on the space of loops of Sobolev class  $H^1$ :

$$c(L) = \inf \{ k \in \mathbb{R} \mid S_{L+k}(\gamma) \geq 0, \forall T > 0, \forall \gamma \in H^1([0, T], M), \gamma(0) = \gamma(T) \}. \quad (2.5)$$

**Remarks 2.5.**

*Mañé's critical value for exact magnetic systems.* Given an exact magnetic system  $(M, g, d\alpha)$ , we can associate with it a unique Lagrangian  $L$  using (2.4). Thus, we can define the Mañé critical value of the magnetic system  $(M, g, d\alpha)$  through the Mañé critical value of  $L$ , i.e.,

$$c(M, g, d\alpha) := c(L).$$

*Adaptation to general magnetic systems.* Theorem 2.4 can be easily adapted for general magnetic systems in Theorem 2.1, just as it is done for finite-dimensional magnetic systems in the

references [9, 15, 29, 34]. This adaptation will be carried out in an upcoming work by the author [33, 32], along with some illustrations, but is left out at this point for the sake of simplicity.

This value is intrinsically difficult to calculate for general magnetic systems. Furthermore, according to Contreras' result [14], for magnetic systems  $(M, g, d\alpha)$  on closed finite-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, there exists a magnetic geodesic connecting two given points  $p, q \in M$  with a prescribed kinetic energy  $k$  when  $k > c(L)$ . This implies an analogue of the Hopf–Rinow theorem for magnetic geodesics of the system  $(M, g, d\alpha)$  with a kinetic energy exceeding Mañé's critical value. Note, however, that for energy values below Mañé's critical value, even in finite dimensions, the validity of a Hopf–Rinow theorem remains uncertain. Considering the infinite-dimensional counterexamples to the Hopf–Rinow theorem [10, 17] and the positive results on Banach half-Lie groups by Bauer-Harms-Michor [11], this raises a pertinent question:

**Question 2.6.** *For a magnetic system  $(M, g, d\alpha)$  with  $L$  Lagrangian and Mañé's critical value  $c(L)$ , given two points  $p, q \in M$ , does there exist a magnetic geodesic with prescribed kinetic energy  $k$  if  $k > c(L)$ ?*

In this paper, we provide an answer to the question posed for an exact infinite-dimensional magnetic system (see Theorem 7.1). We prove even more: the Mañé critical value is supercritical in the following sense. For each energy value  $k$  below Mañé's critical value  $c(L)$ , i.e.,  $k \leq c(L)$ , there exist at least two points  $p$  and  $q$  such that no magnetic geodesic with prescribed energy  $k$  connects  $p$  and  $q$ . Conversely, for all energy values  $k > c(L)$  and for any points  $p$  and  $q$ , there always exists a magnetic geodesic with prescribed energy  $k$  connecting  $p$  and  $q$ . Even for finite-dimensional magnetic systems on closed manifolds, it remains an open question whether Mañé's critical value is supercritical in the sense described above. In the following, we will reduce the infinite dimensional magnetic systems to finite-dimensional dynamical systems, which are much better understood. This reduction will be made precise through the notion of totally magnetic submanifolds.

**2.3. Magnetomorphism and totally magnetic submanifolds.** We will see that a key feature of the magnetic system  $(G, g, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  is its large group of symmetries and, as a byproduct, a large family of invariant submanifolds. In [4, §6], Albers–Benedetti, and the author introduced a framework to handle these situations in general. For the convenience of the reader, let us recall the key definitions. The symmetries of a general magnetic system  $(M, g, \sigma)$  are defined by so-called *magnetomorphisms*  $F: M \rightarrow M$ , which are diffeomorphisms that preserve both  $g$  and  $\sigma$ . To be precise:

**Definition 2.7.** [4, Def. 6.1] Let  $(M_1, g_1, \sigma_1)$  and  $(M_2, g_2, \sigma_2)$  be magnetic systems. A *magnetomorphism*  $\Phi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  is a diffeomorphism such that

$$\Phi^*g_2 = g_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi^*\sigma_2 = \sigma_1.$$

The *group of magnetomorphisms* of a magnetic system  $(M, g, \sigma)$  is defined as

$$\text{Mag}(M, g, \sigma) := \{\Phi: M \rightarrow M \mid \Phi^*g = g, \Phi^*\sigma = \sigma\}.$$

**Remark 2.8.** [4, Rmk. 6.3.] *If  $\Phi: (M_1, g_1, \sigma_1) \rightarrow (M_2, g_2, \sigma_2)$  is a magnetomorphism and  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  represent the Lorentz forces of the two magnetic systems, then*

$$d\Phi \cdot Y^1 = Y_\Phi^2 \cdot d\Phi. \tag{2.6}$$

As a consequence, magnetomorphisms map magnetic geodesics to magnetic geodesics with the same energy, see [4, Prop. 6.4]. On the other hand, invariant submanifolds are characterized by so-called *totally magnetic submanifolds*  $N \subset M$ , which ensure that any magnetic geodesic tangent to  $N$  remains locally contained within  $N$ . To elaborate:

**Definition 2.9.** [4, Def. 6.5] Let  $(M, g_M, \sigma_M)$  be a magnetic system. An embedded submanifold  $N \subset M$  is called totally magnetic if, for all magnetic geodesics  $\gamma: I \rightarrow M$  such that  $\gamma(0) \in N$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) \in T_{\gamma(0)}N$ , there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\gamma((-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)) \subset N$ .

Thus, magnetomorphisms send totally magnetic submanifolds to totally magnetic submanifolds. When  $\sigma = 0$ , totally magnetic submanifolds revert to the classical notion of totally geodesic submanifolds. In Riemannian geometry, there are many ways to define a totally geodesic submanifold. In particular, a submanifold is totally geodesic if and only if its second fundamental form  $\text{II}$  vanishes. To provide a similar statement for magnetic subsystems, we introduce the *magnetic second fundamental form*  $\text{II}^{\text{mag}}$ , which combines the second fundamental form  $\text{II}$  with the difference between the intrinsic and extrinsic Lorentz forces. Precisely:

**Definition 2.10.** [4, Def. 6.9.] The tensor

$$\text{II}_q^{\text{mag}}: T_p N \rightarrow T_q N^\perp, \quad \text{II}_q^{\text{mag}}(v) := \text{II}_q(v) + Y_q^M v - Y_q^N v \quad \forall q \in N,$$

is called the *magnetic second fundamental form* of the submanifold  $N$  within the magnetic system  $(M, g_M, \sigma_M)$ .

Now, we can transform the local definition of totally magnetic submanifolds into an infinitesimal characterization and provide several equivalent definitions of totally magnetic submanifolds:

**Theorem 2.11.** [4, Thm. 1.4] *Let  $(M, g_M, \sigma_M)$  be a magnetic system. Let  $N \subset M$  be a closed, embedded submanifold. Denote by  $\iota: N \rightarrow M$  the inclusion map and by  $g_N := \iota^* g_M$  and  $\sigma_N := \iota^* \sigma_M$  the pullback metric and magnetic field. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The submanifold  $N$  is totally magnetic in  $(M, g_M, \sigma_M)$ .*
- (2) *If  $\gamma$  is a magnetic geodesic in  $(N, g_N, \sigma_N)$  then  $\iota \circ \gamma$  is a magnetic geodesic in  $(M, g_M, \sigma_M)$ .*
- (3) *The magnetic second fundamental form of  $(N, g_N, \sigma_N)$  vanishes identically:*

$$\text{II}_q^{\text{mag}}(v) = 0 \quad \forall (q, v) \in TN.$$

- (4) *The second fundamental form of  $(N, g_N)$  vanishes identically*

$$\text{II}_q(v) = 0 \quad \forall (q, v) \in TN.$$

and one of the following three equivalent conditions holds

- (a) *the Lorentz force  $Y^M$  of  $(M, g_M, \sigma_M)$  along  $N$  is equal to the Lorentz force  $Y^N$  of  $(N, g_N, \sigma_N)$ :*

$$Y_q^N v = Y_q^M v \quad \forall (q, v) \in TN;$$

- (b) *the Lorentz force  $Y^M$  leaves the tangent bundle of  $N$  invariant:*

$$Y_q^M v \in TN \quad \forall (q, v) \in TN;$$

- (c) *the  $g_M$ -orthogonal of  $TN$  is contained in the  $\sigma_M$ -orthogonal of  $TN$ :*

$$(\sigma_M)_q(u, v) = 0 \quad \forall q \in N, u \in T_q N, v \in T_q N^\perp.$$

### 3. THE MAGNETIC SYSTEM ON $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$

In this section, we will investigate the magnetic system on an infinite-dimensional sphere in full depth. We compute its Mañé critical value and prove that it is supercritical in the sense described at the end of Section 2.2. Consequently, we obtain a Hopf–Rinow type theorem for this infinite-dimensional magnetic system, which is contingent upon whether the prescribed energy is above Mañé’s critical value. The theorem fails if the prescribed energy is below Mañé’s critical value. To prove this, we provide a dynamical reduction theorem to a totally magnetic three-sphere. The magnetic system on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is fully understood by [4]. We recall the following all results that are important to us:

**3.1. Toy model: the magnetic system on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .** Let us begin with introducing the setting in detail. Here the manifold  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is the sphere of radius 1 in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with standard Hermitian product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , the metric  $g = \text{Re}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the restriction of the Euclidean metric to  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , and the magnetic potential  $\alpha$  is the standard contact form on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , that is,  $\alpha_z = \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}\langle iz, \cdot \rangle$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{S}^3$ . The Reeb vector field of  $\alpha$  is the unique vector field  $R$  on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  such that  $d\alpha(R, \cdot) = 0$  and  $\alpha(R) = 1$ . In this case, we get  $R_z = 2iz$ . The trajectories of the flow

$$\Phi_R^t(z) = e^{2it}z, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{S}^3 \quad (3.1)$$

are the fibers of the Hopf map  $\pi: \mathbb{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^1$ , which sends each point on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  to the complex line through it. This is the simplest example of a Zoll Reeb flow, where all orbits of the Reeb vector field are periodic and with the same minimal period [1, 5]. Finally,  $\ker \alpha$  is the contact distribution of  $\alpha$  and, in our case, coincides with the orthogonal of  $R$ , for more detail on contact geometry we refer to [18]. Now we are in a position to state a special case of the main theorem in [4], which computes the Mañé critical value of the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$  and proves that it is supercritical:

**Proposition 3.1.** [4, Thm. 1.1] *The Mañé's critical value of the system is*

$$c(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}\|\alpha\|_\infty^2 = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Let  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  be two points on  $\mathbb{S}^3$  and denote by  $\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle$  their Hermitian product. For every  $k > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1)$  be the set of magnetic geodesics with energy  $k$  connecting  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . We have the following three cases

- (1) if  $k > \frac{1}{8}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (2) if  $k = \frac{1}{8}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle \neq 0$ ;
- (3) if  $k < \frac{1}{8}$ , we have the following three subcases
  - (a) if  $|\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle| > \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$ ;
  - (b) if  $|\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle| = \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then there are  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $b_k > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle = e^{i(a_k + mb_k)}\sqrt{1 - 8k}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;
  - (c) if  $|\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle| < \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) = \emptyset$ .

**Remarks 3.2.** *Indeed, the Mañé critical value is supercritical in the sense of the end of Section 2.2, i.e., the magnetic system  $(M, g, d\alpha)$  satisfies a Hopf–Rinow theorem if and only if the energy value is greater than the Mañé critical value.*

Before we move on, let us mention that the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$  is particularly complex because it encapsulates all magnetic systems on  $\mathbb{S}^2$  at once, as shown in [4, Thm. 1.12, Cor. 1.14]. This makes it significantly more complicated than the classical magnetic system on the round two-sphere. The implications of this complexity and the meaning of Theorem 3.1 are illustrated in Figure 1.

**3.2. The magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ .** In this subsection, the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$  is generalized to an infinite-dimensional setting, and a dynamical reduction theorem is provided in the sense of totally magnetic submanifolds. This allows for the reduction of the dynamics of the infinite-dimensional system to  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$ . The setting is introduced in detail as follows: The construction of the  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$  magnetic system relies on the fact that  $\mathbb{S}^3$  is a hypersurface in the ambient space  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , which constitutes a complex Hilbert space. Leveraging the Hilbert space structure of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ , one can define the round metric and the standard contact form on  $\mathbb{S}^3$ , as detailed in section 3.1.

Consequently, it is logical to replace the Hilbert space  $\mathbb{C}^2$  with the classical Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ , consisting of square integrable complex-valued functions. This space is equipped with the standard  $L^2$  product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$ , which induces the  $L^2$  norm denoted by  $\|\cdot\|_{L^2}$ . The sphere of radius one in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$  is denoted by  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , defined as

$$\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty := \{f \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{L^2} = 1\}.$$




FIGURE 1. This picture illustrates [4, Thm. 1.12] and the fact that we can connect the Reeb orbit  $\{z_2 = 0\}$  and the Reeb orbit  $\{z_1 = 0\}$  with a unit speed magnetic geodesic of prescribed energy  $k$  if and only if  $k > \frac{1}{8}$ , see Theorem 3.1. (Picture by Ana Chavez Caliz.)

Again, the round metric  $g = \operatorname{Re}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the restriction of the Euclidean metric on  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$  to  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . Before defining the magnetic potential, it should be noted that, as in the finite-dimensional setting, the tangent space of  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  at any point  $f$  is given by

$$T_f \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty = \{F \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C}) : \operatorname{Re}\langle f, F \rangle_{L^2} = 0\}.$$

Utilizing the Hilbert space structure of  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ , the magnetic potential is defined similarly to the case of the toy model:

**Definition 3.3.** The standard contact form  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  is defined as the linear functional:

$$\alpha_f : T_f \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Re}\langle if, F \rangle_{L^2} \quad \forall (f, F) \in T \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty.$$

**Remark 3.4.** By its definition  $\alpha_f$  is a bounded linear operator in each point  $f \in \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , thus it is indeed a one-form on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . For more details on differential forms on Hilbert manifolds, we refer to [25].

**Remark 3.5.** To the best of the authors knowledge, there is no uniform definition of a contact form in the infinite-dimensional setting. We use a naive approach by defining a tangent distribution  $\xi := \ker \alpha$  and demonstrate that this distribution behaves similarly to that in the finite-dimensional setting. Alternatively, one could use the approach involving a so-called Liouville vector field, as described by Abbondandolo-Majer [3].

Note before we move on that as in the finite dimensional setting, the derivative of the standard contact form on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  is equal to the restriction of  $\operatorname{Im}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  to the sphere, here  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . There exist a unique vector field  $R$  defined through  $d\alpha(R, \cdot) = 0$  and  $\alpha(R) = 1$ , called the *Reeb vector field* of  $\alpha$ .

It's an exercise left to the reader that in this case we get  $R_f = 2if$ . The trajectories of the flow of  $R$

$$\Phi_R^t(f) = e^{2it} \cdot f \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$$

are the fibers of the infinite dimensional Hopfmap  $\pi : \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{CP}^\infty$ , which sends each point on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  to the complex line through it. As in the finite dimensional setting we define

**Definition 3.6.** The distribution  $\xi := \ker \alpha$  is called the *standard contact structure* on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ .

In order to see that this definition is well-defined we have to prove

**Proposition 3.7.** *The contact distribution has codimension one in  $T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  as a bundle and  $T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  possesses the orthogonal splitting*

$$T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty = \xi \oplus \langle R \rangle_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

*Proof.* By its definition the image  $\text{im}(\alpha_f)$  is of dimension one at each  $f \in \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , since  $\alpha(R) = 1$ . So  $\alpha_f$  is surjective at each  $f \in \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  and since  $\xi = \ker \alpha$  is closed by definition so by the fundamental homomorphism theorem in the category of Hilbert spaces we get the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_f\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty & \xrightarrow{\alpha_f} & \mathbb{R} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \cong \\ & & T_f\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty/\xi_f \end{array}$$

commutes for all  $f \in \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

We now aim to describe the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  in more detail. Following the approach of [4, §3], we can derive the magnetic geodesic equation of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . As in [4], the Levi-Civita connection evaluated along a unit speed magnetic geodesic is given by

$$\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} = \ddot{\gamma} + \gamma. \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, the Lorentz force is explicitly given by a rotation of 90 degrees of the orthogonal projection  $\pi_\xi$  of the velocity to the contact distribution, i.e.,

$$Y_f(F) = i\pi_\xi(h) = i \cdot (F - \text{Re}\langle if, F \rangle_{L^2} \cdot if) \quad \forall (f, F) \in T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty. \quad (3.3)$$

By (2.2), (3.2), and (3.3) specifically, a curve  $\gamma$  is a magnetic geodesic on  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  with unit speed and of magnetic strength  $s$  if and only if  $\gamma$  satisfies

$$\ddot{\gamma} - is\dot{\gamma} + (1 - s \cos \psi) \gamma = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\cos \psi$  is a conserved quantity representing the angle between  $\dot{\gamma}$  and  $R$ , given by

$$\cos \psi = \text{Re}\langle i\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma} \rangle_{L^2}. \quad (3.5)$$

**Remark 3.8.** *The derivation of the magnetic geodesic equation in  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , as referred to in (3.4), is left as an exercise for the interested reader, note that it suffices to replace  $\mathbb{S}^{2n+1}$  with  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  in [4, §3].*

**3.3. Dynamical reduction from  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  to  $\mathbb{S}^3$ .** The aim of this subsection is to provide a dynamical reduction theorem, i.e., the dynamics of the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  can be reduced to the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$ . More precisely, we prove that the dynamics of the infinite-dimensional sphere can always be reduced to a totally magnetic three-dimensional sphere. This result represents a special case of a much more general perspective, as it follows directly from the classification of totally magnetic submanifolds of the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ :

**Proposition 3.9.** *The group of magnetomorphisms of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  is the group  $\mathcal{U}_{L^2}$  of unitary operators of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ , that is,*

$$\text{Mag}(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) = \mathcal{U}_{L^2}.$$

*The lift of the magnetomorphism group to  $T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  is Hamiltonian with respect to the twisted symplectic form  $\omega_{d\alpha}$ , defined as in (2.3). Its moment map is given by*

$$\mu: T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}^*, \quad \mu(f, F)[A] := \mathcal{G}_f^{L^2}(Af, F) - \alpha_f(Af), \quad \forall A \in \mathfrak{u}, \forall (f, F) \in T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty,$$

*where  $\mathfrak{u}^*$  denotes the dual of the Lie Algebra  $\mathfrak{u} := \text{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_{L^2})$*

*A closed connected submanifold  $N$  of  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  with positive dimension is totally magnetic if and only if  $N = \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty \cap V$ , where  $V$  is a complex linear subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ . In this case,  $N$  is magnetomorphic to the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}(V)$  of the Hilbert space  $V$ , that is,*

$$(N, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) \cong (\mathbb{S}(V), \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha).$$

*Proof.* The proof follows directly along the lines of [4, Cor. 1.4], and the details are left to the interested reader.  $\square$

**Remark 3.10.** *Theorem 3.9 illustrates the difference between totally magnetic submanifolds and totally geodesic submanifolds. Indeed, every unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}(H)$  of a real subspace  $H \subset L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$  is totally geodesic in  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , while only spheres obtained from the intersection of  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  with complex subspaces  $V$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$  lead to totally magnetic submanifolds.*

As a direct corollary of Theorem 3.9, we obtain the aforementioned dynamical reduction theorem:

**Corollary 3.11.** *Each magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  with initial values  $(f, F) \in T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  is contained in a three-dimensional sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3(f, F)$ , obtained by intersecting  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  with the complex plane  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{f, F\}$ .*

With this dynamical reduction theorem in mind, it should be no surprise that we can generalize the interpolation property from the magnetic geodesic flow on  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$  to the infinite-dimensional setting. Recall that the contact angle  $\psi$  ((3.5)) is a conserved quantity. For every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the magnetic geodesics of strength  $s$  with  $\psi = 0$ , respectively  $\psi = \pi$ , are exactly the orbits of the Reeb vector field with the positive, respectively negative, orientation. Magnetic geodesics with  $\psi = \frac{\pi}{2}$  are tangent to the contact distribution  $\ker \alpha$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 3.11 and [4, Cor. 1.8], we obtain:

**Corollary 3.12.** *The magnetic geodesic flow  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  is an interpolation between the sub-Riemannian geodesic flow on  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, \ker \alpha)$  and the Reeb flow of  $\alpha$  on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ , where the interpolation parameter is the contact angle  $\psi$ .*  $\square$

Before moving on, we illustrate how we can use the dynamical reduction in the sense of Theorem 3.11 to show that the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  possesses infinitely many conserved quantities:

**Corollary 3.13.** *The magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  possesses infinitely many conserved quantities.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.11, we can reduce the magnetic system to  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$ . This reduced system is, by [13, Appendix A], totally integrable. In addition, the orthogonal projection of the flow of the magnetic system on  $T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  to  $T\mathbb{S}^{3\perp}$  provides infinitely many integrals of motion, as  $T\mathbb{S}^{3\perp}$  is invariant under the magnetic flow, after choosing initial conditions in  $T\mathbb{S}^3$ , and is infinite-dimensional.  $\square$

In the next subsection, we illustrate the importance of Theorem 3.11 in providing a generalization of Theorem 3.1 to the infinite-dimensional magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ .

**3.4. Mané's Critical Value and a Hopf–Rinow Theorem for  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ .** In this subsection, we compute Mané's critical value for the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  and illustrate how it can be used to obtain a Hopf–Rinow theorem for  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  as a generalization of Theorem 3.1.

We begin by computing Mané's critical value for the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ :

**Lemma 3.14.** *Mané's critical value for the magnetic system is*

$$c(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) = \frac{1}{8}.$$

*Proof.* By the definition of Mané's critical value (Theorem 2.4), it suffices to prove that, first, one can always find a periodic orbit with negative action  $S_{L+k}$  and prescribed energy below  $\frac{1}{8}$ , and second, the action  $S_{L+k}$  is positive for all energies above  $\frac{1}{8}$ . The proof proceeds by verifying these two conditions. First, one can verify that for all  $k < \frac{1}{8}$ , the curve  $\gamma(t) = \text{id}_{\mathbb{S}^1} \cdot e^{it\sqrt{k}}$  is a periodic orbit with negative action. Additionally, we can bound the magnetic Lagrangian from below as follows:

$$L(f, F) + \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\langle F, F \rangle_{L^2} - \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\langle if, F \rangle_{L^2} + \frac{1}{8} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left( \|F\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $S_{L+k} \geq 0$  for all  $k \geq \frac{1}{8}$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

Now we are in the position to generalize Theorem 3.1 to the infinite-dimensional setting as a combination of Theorem 3.11 and Theorem 3.1. That is, we will prove an infinite-dimensional Hopf–Rinow theorem for magnetic flows.

**Theorem 3.15.** *Mané's critical value of the system is*

$$c(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha\|_\infty^2 = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Let  $q_0$  and  $q_1$  be two points on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  and denote by  $\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle_{L^2}$  their  $L^2$ -Hermitian product. For every  $k > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1)$  be the set of magnetic geodesics with energy  $k$  connecting  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . We have the following three cases:

- (1) If  $k > \frac{1}{8}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) If  $k = \frac{1}{8}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle \neq 0$ .
- (3) If  $k < \frac{1}{8}$ , we have the following three subcases:
  - (a) If  $|\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle| > \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$ .
  - (b) If  $|\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle| = \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then there are  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $b_k > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle = e^{i(a_k + mb_k)} \sqrt{1 - 8k}$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - (c) If  $|\langle q_0, q_1 \rangle| < \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) = \emptyset$ .

**Remark 3.16.** *This theorem allows us to compare the magnetic geodesic flow and the geodesic flow by means of a Hopf–Rinow theorem, showing that a value of the energy is supercritical if and only if all pairs of points on the sphere can be connected by a magnetic geodesic with that value of energy, where Mané's critical value serves as a threshold.*

*Proof.* This follows directly from Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.11, and Theorem 3.14.  $\square$

To continue our discussion, it is convenient to switch to the description of magnetic geodesics of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  with energy  $k$  as magnetic geodesics of unit speed for the family of systems  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, s d\alpha)$ , where  $s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}$  is now referred to as the strength of the magnetic geodesic. In this description, Mané's critical value corresponds to

$$s_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\frac{1}{8}}} = 2.$$

Before proceeding, let us mention that, as a corollary of Theorem 3.11, we can generalize the results of [4, Thm. 1.12, Cor. 1.14] to the infinite-dimensional setting. In other words, one can recover all magnetic systems on  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  simultaneously through the magnetic system on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . Here,  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  denotes the infinite-dimensional projective space, the projectivization of  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ , equipped with the Fubini-Study form  $\omega^{FS}$  and the Fubini-Study metric  $\mathcal{G}^{FS}$ ; this serves as the prototype of an infinite-dimensional Kähler manifold (see [22, §2]).

**Corollary 3.17.** *If  $\gamma$  is a unit-speed magnetic geodesic on  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, s d\alpha)$ ,  $s \geq 0$ , with contact angle  $\psi \in [0, \pi]$ , then, up to orientation-preserving reparametrization,  $\pi(\gamma)$  is a unit-speed magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{FS}, a_s(\psi)\omega^{FS})$ , where*

$$a_s(\psi) = \frac{2 \cos \psi - s}{\sin \psi}, \quad \psi \in (0, \pi). \quad (3.6)$$

*In particular, the projected curve  $\pi(\gamma)$  is a geodesic circle with radius*

$$r_s(\psi) := \frac{1}{a_s(\psi)} \in \left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right].$$

We observe that the function  $a_s$  is a bijection if and only if  $0 \leq s < 2$ . This fact can be summarized in the following statement:

**Corollary 3.18.** *For a fixed  $s \in [0, 2)$ , the unit-speed magnetic geodesic flow on  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, s d\alpha)$  covers all magnetic systems  $(\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{FS}, r\omega^{FS})$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Remark 3.19.** *Here, Mané's critical value provides a natural explanation for the threshold of the magnetic strength in Theorem 3.18.*

#### 4. THE MADELUNG TRANSFORM AS MAGNETOMORPHISM

The aim of this section is to prove that we extend the *Madelung transform* as an isometry between an infinite-dimensional Lie group and the open subset of nowhere-vanishing functions on the  $L^2$ -sphere to a magnetomorphism.

We begin by introducing the setting in detail. Let  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  denote the unit circle, and let  $\text{Diff}_0^m(\mathbb{S}^1)$  represent the half-Lie group of diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  of Sobolev with smooth right multiplication and only continuous left multiplication; see [11] for the notion of a half-Lie group. This group consists of all diffeomorphisms of  $\mathbb{S}^1$  of Sobolev class  $H^m$  that fix a designated point on  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . Unless stated otherwise, we assume  $m > \frac{5}{2}$ . Note that  $\text{Diff}_0^m(\mathbb{S}^1) \cong \text{Dens}^m(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , where  $\text{Dens}^m(\mathbb{S}^1)$  denotes the space of probability densities on  $\mathbb{S}^1$  of Sobolev class  $H^m$ , as discussed in [16, §5] and [20]. We further denote by  $\mathbb{S}_{4\pi}^1$  the circle of length  $4\pi$ , and by  $H^m(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}_{4\pi}^1)$  the space of maps of Sobolev class  $H^m$ . The half-Lie group  $G^m$  is then defined as

$$G^m = \text{Diff}_0^m(\mathbb{S}^1) \times H^{m-1}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{S}_{4\pi}^1), \quad (4.1)$$

where the explicit group product structure is described in [28, §2]. This group carries a bi-invariant metric, the  $\dot{H}^1$ -metric, defined at the identity  $(\text{id}, 0)$  by

$$\mathcal{G}_{(\text{id},0)}^{\dot{H}^1}((u, \rho), (v, \psi)) := \langle (u, \rho), (v, \psi) \rangle_{(\text{id},0)}^{\dot{H}^1} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} u_x v_x + \rho \psi \, dx, \quad (4.2)$$

where the tangent space at the identity is  $T_{(\text{id},0)}G^m \cong H_0^m(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}) \times H^{m-1}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$ . Here,  $H_0^m(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$  denotes the space of all functions of Sobolev class  $H^m$  with zero mean. We can extend the metric in (4.2) by right invariance to all points  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$  by

$$\mathcal{G}_{(\varphi,\tau)}^{\dot{H}^1}((U_1, U_2), (V_1, V_2)) := \langle (U_1, U_2), (V_1, V_2) \rangle_{(\varphi,\tau)}^{\dot{H}^1} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{U_{1x} V_{1x}}{\varphi_x} + U_2 V_2 \varphi_x \, dx, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $(U_1, U_2), (V_1, V_2) \in T_{(\varphi,\tau)}G^m \cong H_0^m(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}) \times H^{m-1}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$ . For more details, we refer again to [28, §2].

Furthermore, we denote by  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^{\infty,m}$  the  $L^2$ -unit sphere of all functions of Sobolev class  $H^m$ , and the open subset of nowhere-vanishing functions in  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^{\infty,m}$  by

$$\mathcal{U}^m := \{f \in \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^{\infty,m} : f(x) \neq 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{S}^1\},$$

which naturally carries, as an open subset of  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^{\infty}$ , a Riemannian metric obtained by restricting the round metric  $\mathcal{G}^{L^2}(\cdot, \cdot) := \text{Re}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$  to  $U^m$ .

Lennels proved in [28, Thm. 3.1] that the Madelung transform  $\Phi$  is an isometry between  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1})$  and  $(\mathcal{U}^{m-1}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2})$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi : (G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{U}^{m-1}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}) : (\varphi, \tau) \mapsto \sqrt{\varphi_x} e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}} \quad (4.4)$$

is an isometry for all  $m > \frac{5}{2}$ . By restricting the magnetic system  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^{\infty}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  to the open subset  $U^m$ , we naturally obtain, by a slight abuse of notation, the magnetic system  $(U^m, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . By pulling back the magnetic field  $d\alpha$  with the Madelung transform  $\Phi$  (see (4.4)), we naturally obtain the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ . Next, we prove that the Madelung transform is indeed a magnetomorphism:

**Theorem 4.1.** *The Madelung transform  $\Phi$ , see (4.4), is a magnetomorphism, in the sense of Theorem 2.7, between the magnetic systems  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{U}^{m-1}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  for all  $m > \frac{5}{2}$ .*

**Remark 4.2.** *In particular, Theorem 4.1 also proves that the Madelung transform  $\Phi$  defines a magnetomorphism between the two above-mentioned magnetic systems in the category of inverse limit Hilbert manifolds or tame Fréchet manifolds. See [16] and [23], along with the references therein, for an explanation of these notions of smoothness.*

*Proof.* Follows directly from Theorem 2.7 in combination with the fact that the Madelung transform, (4.4), is an isometry, as established by [28, Thm. 3.1].  $\square$

Before moving on, we note that the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  arises naturally through the lens of infinite-dimensional differential geometry. Here, we work in the smooth category and denote by  $G$  the  $C^\infty$  version of  $G^m$  in (4.1). This is a regular Lie group, following the notation in [24]. First, the infinite-dimensional Hopf fibration  $\pi : \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^{\infty} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}P^\infty$  is, by definition, a so-called *Boothby-Wang bundle* or *prequantization bundle* in the sense of [18, §7]. Here,  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  denotes the infinite-dimensional projective space, the projectivization of  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ , equipped with the Fubini-Study form  $\omega^{FS}$ ; see [22, §2] for more details. If we denote by  $\mathbb{P}U$  the projectivization of  $U$ , the restriction of the Hopf fibration to  $U$  also defines a Boothby-Wang bundle. Note that the

magnetic system  $(\mathbb{P}U, \mathcal{G}^{FS}, \omega^{FS})$  is, as a special case of the beautiful construction by Khesin-Misiolek-Modin in [21, 22], Kähler isomorphic to the cotangent bundle of the space of probability densities  $T^*\text{Dens}(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , equipped with the so-called Sasaki-Fisher-Rao metric  $\mathcal{G}^{Rao}$  and the canonical symplectic form  $d\lambda$ . This Kähler isomorphism is exactly the Madelung transform. Again, we refer to [22] for an explanation. In summary, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 4.3.** *The tame Fréchet Lie group  $(G, \Phi^*\alpha)$  equipped with its natural contact form is a Boothby-Wang bundle over the cotangent bundle of the space of probability densities  $(T^*\text{Dens}(\mathbb{S}^1), d\lambda)$  equipped with the canonical symplectic form in the category of tame Fréchet manifolds. In particular, we obtain the commutative diagram, here,  $\cong$  indicates magnetomorphic:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (G, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha) & \xrightarrow[\Phi=\text{Madelung}]{\cong} & (U, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) \subseteq (S^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Hopf} \\ (T^*\text{Dens}(\mathbb{S}^1), \mathcal{G}^{Rao}, d\lambda) & \xrightarrow[\Phi=\text{Madelung}]{\cong} & (\mathbb{P}U, \mathcal{G}^{FS}, \omega^{FS}) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}P^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{FS}, \omega^{FS}) \end{array}$$

**Remark 4.4.** *Using the fact that the diagram in Theorem 4.3 is commutative and that  $\Phi$  is a magnetomorphism, we can apply Theorem 3.18 to deduce that, for a fixed  $s \in [0, 2)$ , the unit-speed magnetic geodesic flow on  $(G, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, s \cdot \Phi^*d\alpha)$  covers all magnetic systems  $(T^*\text{Dens}(\mathbb{S}^1), \mathcal{G}^{Rao}, r \cdot d\lambda)$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

## 5. THE (M2HS) AS A MAGNETIC GEODESIC EQUATION

The aim of this section is to establish that the solutions of the highly nonlinear system of partial differential equations introduced as the **magnetic two-component Hunter–Saxton system** (M2HS) are in one-to-one correspondence with the magnetic geodesics of the magnetic system  $(G, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$ . Before going into detail, we provide a brief overview of this section.

In Section 5.1, we state the main theorem of this section, namely the above-mentioned duality result. The subsequent sections, Section 5.2–Section 5.4, are devoted to the proof of Theorem 5.1. In Section 5.2, we derive the Lorentz force associated with the magnetic system appearing in Theorem 5.1. In Section 5.3, we derive the corresponding magnetic geodesic equation. Finally, in Section 5.4, we complete the proof of Theorem 5.1 by combining the results of the previous sections. We conclude the section in Section 5.5 by proving a statement of independent interest, namely that (M2HS) possesses infinitely many conserved quantities.

**5.1. Statement of the Duality Theorem.** To prepare for this, we introduce the following notation. For a curve in  $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , we denote its time and spatial derivatives by  $\varphi_t$  and  $\varphi_x$ , respectively. The same convention applies to vector fields  $u$ , where  $\varphi$  is replaced by  $u$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *A  $C^2$ -curve  $(\varphi, \tau) : [0, T) \rightarrow G^m$ , where  $T > 0$  is the maximal existence time, is a magnetic geodesic of the system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  with  $m > \frac{5}{2}$  if and only if*

$$(u = \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \rho = \tau_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \in C([0, T), T_{(\text{id}, 0)}G^m) \cap C^1([0, T), T_{(\text{id}, 0)}G^{m-1})$$

*is a solution of the magnetic two-component Hunter-Saxton system:*

$$\begin{cases} u_{tx} = -\frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - u \cdot u_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - (s\rho + 2(c^2 - s\delta)), \\ \rho_t = -(\rho u)_x + su_x, \end{cases} \quad (\text{M2HS})$$

where  $c^2$ , the  $\dot{H}^1$ -energy of the system, is a conserved quantity (integral of motion) given by

$$c^2 = \mathfrak{G}_{(\varphi, \tau)}^{\dot{H}^1}((\varphi_t, \tau_t), (\varphi_t, \tau_t)) = \langle (u, \rho), (u, \rho) \rangle_{\dot{H}^1} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} u_x^2 + \rho^2 \, dx.$$

Additionally, the contact angle  $\delta$  is another conserved quantity, given by

$$\delta = \left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \Phi(\varphi, \tau), i \cdot \Phi(\varphi, \tau) \right\rangle_{L^2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho \, dx.$$

**Remarks 5.2.**

**Novelty:** The (M2HS) is explicitly described as an infinite-dimensional magnetic geodesic equation.

**Special case ( $s = 0$ ):** When  $s = 0$ , the system reduces to the two-component Hunter-Saxton system (2HS). As shown in [28], the (2HS) corresponds precisely to the geodesic equation on  $(G, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1})$ .

**Geometric insight:** The (M2HS) represents a linear deformation in  $(u_x, \rho)$  of the (2HS). This deformation reflects the geometry of the equations, as the magnetic geodesic equation is itself a linear deformation of the geodesic equation in the velocity field; see (2.2).

**Special case ( $\rho \equiv s$ ):** By choosing  $\rho \equiv s$  and differentiating the resulting partial differential equation once with respect to  $x$ , we recover the Hunter-Saxton equation; see [20, 28].

**Maximal existence time:** The maximal existence time is independent of the Sobolev order  $H^m$ , following exactly the lines of [28, §4].

**Tangent space at identity:** For the convenience of the reader, we recall that  $T_{(\text{id}, 0)} G^m \cong H_0^m(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}) \times H^{m-1}(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$ .

**(M2HS) as a magnetic Euler–Arnold equation.** In [30], the author introduces a broader framework known as the magnetic Euler–Arnold equation. The system (M2HS) admits a formulation within this framework; however, its derivation—carried out in this chapter—is essential to rigorously establish that (M2HS) indeed fits into the magnetic Euler–Arnold setting.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 consists of several steps. First, we need to explicitly express the pullback  $\Phi^* d\alpha$  to use (2.1) to compute the Lorentz force of the magnetic system  $(G, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ . Along the way, we prove that the magnetic field  $\Phi^* d\alpha$  is right invariant under the group action of  $G$ . This allows us to pull back the magnetic geodesic equation of  $(G, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  to the identity, which then leads to Theorem 5.1.

**5.2. The Lorentz force.** We begin with the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.3.** *The magnetic field  $\Phi^* d\alpha \in \Omega^2(G^m)$  is given at  $(\varphi, \alpha) \in G^m$  by*

$$(\Phi^* d\alpha)_{(\varphi, \alpha)}((U_1, U_2), (V_1, V_2)) = \text{Im} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{1}{4|\varphi_x|} (V_{1x}U_2 - U_{1x}V_2) \varphi_x \, dx$$

for all  $(U_1, U_2), (V_1, V_2) \in T_{(\varphi, \alpha)} G^m$ . Furthermore,  $\Phi^* d\alpha$  is right invariant with respect to the right action of  $G^m$ , i.e.,

$$(\Phi^* d\alpha)_{(\varphi, \alpha)}((U_1, U_2), (V_1, V_2)) = (\Phi^* d\alpha)_{(\text{id}, 0)}((U_1, U_2) \circ \varphi^{-1}, (V_1, V_2) \circ \varphi^{-1})$$

holds for all  $(\varphi, \alpha) \in G^m$  and all  $(U_1, U_2), (V_1, V_2) \in T_{(\varphi, \alpha)} G^m$ .

*Proof.* Recall that the pullback of  $d\alpha$  is formally defined as

$$\Phi_{(\cdot)}^* d\alpha(\cdot, \cdot) = d\alpha_{\Phi(\cdot)}(D\Phi(\cdot), D\Phi(\cdot)), \tag{5.1}$$

where the derivative of the Madelung transform is, by [28, Thm. 3.1], at the point  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$  given by

$$(D\Phi)_{(\varphi, \alpha)}(U_1, U_2) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varphi_x}} (U_{1x} + iU_2\varphi_x) \quad \forall (U_1, U_2) \in T_{(\varphi, \alpha)} G^m. \tag{5.2}$$

Recall from the paragraph following Theorem 3.3 that the derivative of the standard contact form is given as the restriction of  $\text{Im}\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2}$  to  $T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . This, in combination with (5.1) and (5.2), gives the expression of  $\Phi^*d\alpha$  we want to prove.

In addition, a computation that follows exactly the lines of the fact that  $\mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}$ , see [28, §2], is a right-invariant Riemannian metric on  $G^m$  proves that  $\Phi^*d\alpha$  is right invariant.  $\square$

The Lorentz force of the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}$  and  $\Phi^*d\alpha$ . From (4.3) and Theorem 5.3, it can be directly derived that the Lorentz force of the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$ , analogous to (3.3), is given by

$$Y^{\dot{H}^1}(\varphi, \tau)(U_1, U_2) = (J_{\dot{H}^1})_{(\varphi, \tau)} \circ (\pi_{\xi_{\dot{H}^1}})_{(\varphi, \tau)}(U_1, U_2), \quad (5.3)$$

for all  $((\varphi, \tau), (U_1, U_2)) \in TG^m$ .

Here,  $J^{\dot{H}^1}$  is an almost complex structure defined on the infinite-dimensional contact-type distribution  $\xi_{\dot{H}^1} = \ker \Phi^*\alpha$ . Additionally,  $\pi_{\xi_{\dot{H}^1}}$  denotes the  $\mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}$ -orthogonal projection of  $TG^m$  onto  $\xi_{\dot{H}^1}$ , which will be defined and computed in full detail later.

Following the computation in Theorem 5.3, the pullback of the contact form  $\alpha$  with respect to  $\Phi$  on  $G^m$  is given by

$$(\Phi^*\alpha)_{(\varphi, \sigma)}(U_1, U_2) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} U_2 \varphi_x dx, \quad \forall ((\varphi, \tau), (U_1, U_2)) \in TG^m. \quad (5.4)$$

Thus, by (5.4), the kernel of  $\Phi^*\alpha$  at the point  $(\varphi, \tau)$ , called the contact distribution  $\xi_{\dot{H}^1}$ , is given by

$$(\xi_{\dot{H}^1})_{(\varphi, \tau)} := \ker (\Phi^*\alpha)_{(\varphi, \tau)} = \left\{ (U_1, U_2) \in T_{(\varphi, \tau)}G^m : \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} U_2 \varphi_x dx = 0 \right\}. \quad (5.5)$$

Thus, by (5.5), the  $\mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}$ -orthogonal projection of  $TG^m$  onto  $\xi_{\dot{H}^1}$  at the point  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$ , where the dependence on the point is omitted for simplicity, is expressed as:

$$(\pi_{\xi_{\dot{H}^1}})_{(\varphi, \tau)} : T_{(\varphi, \tau)}G^m \rightarrow (\xi_{\dot{H}^1})_{(\varphi, \tau)}, \quad (U_1, U_2) \mapsto \left( U_1, U_2 - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} U_2 \varphi_x dx \right). \quad (5.6)$$

**Remark 5.4.** *At this point, it is important to note that, since the Madelung transform is a magnetomorphism by Theorem 4.1, and since the contact distribution  $\xi$  on  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  has codimension one in  $T\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$  (as shown in Theorem 3.7), the distribution  $\xi_{\dot{H}^1}$ , defined in (5.5), also has codimension one in  $TG^m$ .*

Furthermore, the map  $J_{\dot{H}^1} : \xi_{\dot{H}^1} \rightarrow \xi_{\dot{H}^1}$  is a well-defined bundle endomorphism, which at  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$  is defined as:

$$(J_{\dot{H}^1})_{(\varphi, \tau)} : (\xi_{\dot{H}^1})_{(\varphi, \tau)} \longrightarrow (\xi_{\dot{H}^1})_{(\varphi, \tau)}, \quad (U_1, U_2) \mapsto \left( \left( y \mapsto - \int_0^y U_2 \varphi_x dx \right), \frac{U_1 x}{\varphi_x} \right). \quad (5.7)$$

It is another exercise in logic that  $J_{\dot{H}^1}$  is the unique almost complex structure on  $\xi_{\dot{H}^1}$  that is compatible with  $\Phi^*d\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}$ , i.e.,

$$(J_{\dot{H}^1})^2 = -\text{id}_{\xi_{\dot{H}^1}} \quad \text{and} \quad (\Phi^*d\alpha)|_{\xi_{\dot{H}^1}}(\cdot, J_{\dot{H}^1}\cdot) = \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}|_{\xi_{\dot{H}^1}}(\cdot, \cdot),$$

where the details of the computation are left to the reader. By using (5.3), (5.6), Equation (5.7), and the fact that  $Y^{\dot{H}^1}$  is right-invariant (since both  $\mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}$  and  $\Phi^*d\alpha$  are), we derive the following lemma:

**Lemma 5.5.** *The Lorentz force  $Y^{\dot{H}^1}$  of the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  at the point  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is given by*

$$Y_{(\varphi, \tau)}^{\dot{H}^1} : T_{(\varphi, \tau)} G^m \rightarrow T_{(\varphi, \tau)} G^m, \quad (U_1, U_2) \mapsto \left( \left( y \mapsto - \int_0^y \left( U_2 - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} U_2 \varphi_x dx \right) \varphi_x dx \right), \frac{U_{1x}}{\varphi_x} \right).$$

Furthermore,  $Y^{\dot{H}^1}$  is right-invariant with respect to the right action of  $G^m$ , i.e.,

$$Y_{(\text{id}, 0)}^{\dot{H}^1}((u, \rho)) = Y_{(\varphi, \tau)}^{\dot{H}^1}((u \circ \varphi, \rho \circ \varphi))$$

for all  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$  and all  $(u, \rho) \in T_{(\text{id}, 0)} G^m$ .

**5.3. Derivation of the magnetic geodesic equation.** The left-hand side of the magnetic geodesic equation (2.2) is the Levi-Civita connection evaluated along a curve. For the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ , this is given by [28, Cor 3.3] as:

$$(\nabla_{(u, \rho)}(u, \rho))_{(\text{id}, 0)} = \begin{pmatrix} u_t + uu_x \\ \rho_t + u\rho_x \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}\partial_x(u_x^2 + \rho^2) \\ -\rho u_x \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.8)$$

where  $A^{-1}$  is the inverse of the so-called inertia operator  $A$  (see [8, 37] for general language), given by:

$$A^{-1}f(x) = - \int_0^x \int_0^y f(z) dz dy + x \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^y f(z) dz dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}^1. \quad (5.9)$$

By the right invariance of  $\mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}$ , the Levi-Civita connection of  $(G^m, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1})$  is also right invariant. Thus, using (5.8), the covariant derivative along  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$  applied to  $(\varphi_t, \tau_t) \in T_{(\varphi, \tau)} G^m$  reads as:

$$\nabla_{(\varphi_t, \tau_t)}(\varphi_t, \tau_t) = \begin{pmatrix} (u_t + uu_x) \circ \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^{\varphi(\cdot)} (u_x^2(y) + \rho^2(y)) dy - \varphi(\cdot) \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (u_x^2(y) + \rho^2(y)) dy \right) \\ (\rho_t + u\rho_x + u_x\rho) \circ \varphi \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Theorem 5.5, and the fact that, by Theorem 4.1, the Madelung transform maps conserved quantities to conserved quantities as a magnetomorphism, we obtain the following result:

**Proposition 5.6.** *The magnetic geodesic equation of  $(G^m, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  at the point  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$  is given by:*

$$\begin{pmatrix} (u_t + uu_x) \circ \varphi - \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^{\varphi(\cdot)} (u_x^2(y) + \rho^2(y)) dy - \varphi(\cdot) (c^2 - s\delta) \right) - s \int_0^{\varphi(\cdot)} \rho(y) dy \\ (\rho_t + (u\rho)_x - s u_x \rho) \circ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

where  $c^2$ , the  $\dot{H}^1$ -energy of the system, is a conserved quantity (integral of motion) given by:

$$c^2 = \mathfrak{G}_{(\varphi, \tau)}^{\dot{H}^1}((\varphi_t, \tau_t), (\varphi_t, \tau_t)) = \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (u_x^2 + \rho^2) dx.$$

Additionally, the contact angle  $\delta = \cos(\psi)$  is another conserved quantity, given by:

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho dx.$$

**5.4. Proof of Theorem 5.1.** If we evaluate  $Y^{\dot{H}^1}$  in Theorem 5.5 at  $(\text{id}, 0)$  and insert it with (5.8) into (2.2), we obtain that if  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is a magnetic geodesic in  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ , then  $(u, \rho) := (\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \tau_t \circ \varphi^{-1})$  solves:

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t + uu_x \\ \rho_t + u\rho_x \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}\partial_x(u_x^2 + \rho^2) \\ -\rho u_x \end{pmatrix} =_s \begin{pmatrix} (y \mapsto -\int_0^y (\rho - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho dx) dx) \\ u_x \end{pmatrix}. \quad (5.10)$$

By differentiating the first row of (5.10) with respect to  $x$ , we get:

$$u_{tx} + u_x^2 + uu_{xx} + \partial_x \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}\partial_x(u_x^2 + \rho^2) = -s \left( \rho - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho dx \right), \quad (5.11)$$

where one can show with a standard computation (left to the reader) that:

$$\frac{1}{2}\partial_x A^{-1}\partial_x(u_x^2 + \rho^2) = -\frac{1}{2}(u_x^2(x) + \rho^2(x)) + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1}(u_x^2 + \rho^2) dx.$$

Using Theorem 5.6 and (5.11), we find that:

$$u_{tx} + \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 + uu_{xx} - \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 = -(s\rho + 2(c^2 - \delta s)),$$

where  $c^2$  is the conserved  $\dot{H}^1$ -energy and  $\delta$  is the conserved contact angle.

Substituting this back into (5.10), we end up with the magnetic two-component Hunter saxton system:

$$\begin{cases} u_{tx} &= -\frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - u \cdot u_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - (s\rho + 2(c^2 - \delta s)), \\ \rho_t &= -(u\rho)_x + su_x. \end{cases}$$

This concludes the proof of Theorem 5.1.

**5.5. Infinitely many conserved quantities of the (M2HS).** As a first illustration of the Hamiltonian, respectively geometric, nature of (M2HS), we aim to prove, by means of the Madelung transform, that the (M2HS) possesses infinitely many conserved quantities.

**Corollary 5.7.** *The magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  possesses infinitely many conserved quantities, and thus so does (M2HS).*

*Proof.* The fact that the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  possesses infinitely many conserved quantities follows directly from Theorem 3.13 in combination with Theorem 4.1. Thus, by Theorem 5.1, the system (M2HS) also possesses infinitely many conserved quantities.  $\square$

## 6. GEOMETRY OF BLOW-UPS AND GLOBAL WEAK SOLUTIONS

In this section, we exploit the Hamiltonian structure of the system, in the sense of infinite-dimensional geometry, to obtain analytical insights into the singularity formation of (M2HS). From the Hamiltonian perspective, there is a natural way to extend solutions of (M2HS) beyond blow-up in a weak sense. This approach ultimately leads to global weak solutions of (M2HS).

We begin with an overview of the section. In Section 6.1, we establish precise blow-up criteria for (M2HS). These criteria allow one to determine from the initial data whether a solution develops singularities; see Theorem 6.3. The analysis is based on the geometric interpretation of blow-up phenomena given in Theorem 6.1.

In Section 6.2, we show how, after relaxing the ambient space, one can use geometric arguments to obtain global existence of a weak flow; see Theorem 6.7 for the precise definition and Theorem 6.8. By a duality argument similar to Theorem 5.1, this yields the existence of global weak solutions of (M2HS) in the sense of Theorem 6.9; see Theorem 6.10.




FIGURE 2. This is an illustration of Theorem 6.1. The main idea is that M2HS exhibits a blowup if and only if the corresponding magnetic geodesic  $\Phi(\varphi, \tau)$  in  $U$ , shown as the green curve, intersects the boundary  $\partial U$ . (Illustration by Ana Chavez Caliz.)

Throughout this section, the arguments are primarily geometric; the more involved analytical details are deferred to [31, §4]. For the reader's convenience, the corresponding statements are recalled at the beginning of the relevant discussions.

**6.1. Geometric criteria for blow-ups.** First, we provide the  $C^0$ -blowup of the first spatial derivative of  $u$  with a geometric interpretation by extending similar results on the Hunter-Saxton equation and the two-component Hunter-Saxton system in [26, 28] to our setting.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $(u, \rho)$  be a solution of Equation (M2HS), satisfying the prerequisites of Theorem 5.1. Then there exists a  $T > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \|u_x(t, \cdot)\|_{C^0} = \infty$$

*if and only if, for  $(\varphi, \tau) \in G^m$ , defined as in Theorem 5.1, the following holds:*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \Phi(\varphi(t), \tau(t)) \in \partial \mathcal{U}^{m-1}.$$

**Remark 6.2** (Geometric insight).

*The geometric idea behind Theorem 5.1 is intuitive, as we now illustrate. The green curve in Figure 2 represents the image  $\Phi(\varphi, \tau)$  of the Madelung transform of the magnetic geodesic  $(\varphi, \tau)$ . The curve  $\Phi(\varphi, \tau)$  lies in  $\mathcal{U}^{m-1}$ , and by Theorem 4.1, is a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{U}^{m-1}, \mathfrak{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . In Figure 2, the green curve,  $\Phi(\varphi, \tau)$ , intersects the boundary  $\partial \mathcal{U}^{m-1}$  if and only if the corresponding solution  $(u, \rho)$  of Equation (M2HS) exhibits a blowup in the sense of Theorem 5.1. This geometric criterion provides a clear and intuitive way to connect the blowup behavior of  $(u, \rho)$  with the behavior of the corresponding magnetic geodesics  $\Phi(\varphi, \tau)$  in  $(\mathcal{U}^{m-1}, \mathfrak{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\varphi, \tau)$  be as defined in Theorem 6.1. By the definition of the Madelung transform (see (4.4)) and the boundary condition on  $\partial \mathcal{U}^{m-1}$ , there exists a  $T > 0$  and an  $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \sqrt{\varphi_x(t, x_0)} e^{i\tau(t, x_0)/2} = 0.$$

Since the exponential map is nowhere vanishing, it follows that  $\lim_{t \nearrow T} \sqrt{\varphi_x(t, x_0)} = 0$ , and hence  $\lim_{t \nearrow T} \varphi_x(t, x_0) = 0$ . From the relation  $\partial_t \ln(\varphi_x) = u_x \circ \varphi$ , and applying the Fundamental Theorem

of Calculus, we deduce that

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} |(u \circ \varphi)(t, x_0)| = \infty.$$

Since each step in the reasoning is reversible, this completes the proof.  $\square$

So in other words, Theorem 6.1 allows us to reformulate the problem of a possible blow-up of the (M2HS) as a problem in terms of magnetic geodesics in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathfrak{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . Due to the dynamical reduction theorem Theorem 3.11, this can be tackled with methods from [4]. As a result of this, we can determine from the initial data of a solution of (M2HS) whether this solution has a blow-up:

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $(u, \rho)$  be a solution of Equation (M2HS), satisfying the prerequisites of Theorem 5.1, with initial data  $(u_0, \rho_0) \in T_{(\text{id}, 0)}G^m$  so that  $\mathfrak{G}_{(\text{id}, 0)}^{\dot{H}^1}((u_0, \rho_0), (u_0, \rho_0)) = 1$ . Then  $(u, \rho)$  has a blow-up in the sense of Theorem 6.1 if and only if there exists an  $x_0$  such that  $\rho_0(x_0) = s$ .*

**Remarks 6.4.**

**Special case  $s = 0$ :** *By choosing  $s = 0$ , we recover a similar statement in the case of the (2HS) from [28, Cor. 3.5].*

**Solution formula:** *This can be independently proven using a general solution formula for (M2HS), which was developed by the author in [31, §3].*

Before proving Theorem 6.3, it is useful to reformulate the problem by describing magnetic geodesics of  $(G^m, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  with energy  $k$  as unit speed magnetic geodesics for the family of systems  $(G, \mathfrak{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, s\Phi^*d\alpha)$ , where  $s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}}$  represents the strength of the magnetic geodesic. Moreover, by Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 6.1, the proof of Theorem 6.3 is reduced to proving the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.5.** *Let  $\gamma$  be a unit speed magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{U}^{m-1}, \mathfrak{G}^{L^2}, s d\alpha)$  with initial values  $\gamma(0) = \Phi(\text{id}, 0)$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = D\Phi(u_0, \rho_0)$ , with  $(u_0, \rho_0) \in T_{(\text{id}, 0)}G^m$ . Then there exists a  $T > 0$  such that*

$$\lim_{t \nearrow T} \gamma(t) \in \partial\mathcal{U}^{m-1}$$

*if and only if there exists an  $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $\rho(x_0) = s$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma$  be as described in Theorem 6.5. Then,  $\gamma$  is also a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathfrak{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . Consequently, by Theorem 3.11, it is also a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathbb{S}^3, g, d\alpha)$ . According to [4, Thm. 1.9, Eq. 3.3, Rmk. 3.1], the geodesic  $\gamma(t)$  takes the explicit form:

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} (\theta_2 \gamma(0) + i \dot{\gamma}(0)) e^{i\theta_1 t} + \frac{1}{\theta_1 - \theta_2} (\theta_1 \gamma(0) + i \dot{\gamma}(0)) e^{i\theta_2 t}, \quad (6.1)$$

where the parameters  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are defined as

$$\theta_{1/2} = \frac{s}{2} \pm |C_s(\psi)| = \frac{s \pm \sqrt{s^2 - 4(1 - s\delta)}}{2}. \quad (6.2)$$

By explicitly using the initial values  $\gamma(0)$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0)$  as provided in Theorem 6.5, (5.2), and (6.1), it follows that there exists a time  $T > 0$  such that  $\gamma(T) \in \partial\mathcal{U}^{m-1}$  if and only if:

$$0 = \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left( \theta_2 + \frac{i}{2} (u_x(x_0) + i\rho(x_0)) \right) e^{i\theta_1 T} + \frac{1}{\theta_1 - \theta_2} \left( \theta_1 + \frac{i}{2} (u_x(x_0) + i\rho(x_0)) \right) e^{i\theta_2 T}. \quad (6.3)$$

By applying Euler's formula to the complex exponential functions in (6.3) and comparing the real and imaginary parts, we obtain that (6.3) holds true if and only if:

$$\theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho(x_0) = \cos((\theta_1 - \theta_2)T)(\theta_2 + \rho(x_0)) - \sin((\theta_1 - \theta_2)T)\frac{1}{2}u_x(x_0), \quad (6.4)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}u_x(x_0) = \sin((\theta_1 - \theta_2)T) \left( \theta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho(x_0) \right) + \cos((\theta_1 - \theta_2)T) \frac{1}{2}u_x(x_0). \quad (6.5)$$

Now there are two cases: either  $1 - \cos((\theta_1 - \theta_2)T) = 0$  or  $1 - \cos((\theta_1 - \theta_2)T) \neq 0$ . The first case cannot occur, since it implies  $(\theta_1 - \theta_2)T \in \frac{2\pi}{\theta_1 - \theta_2}\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\sin((\theta_1 - \theta_2)T) = 0$ . This allows us to rewrite (6.4) and (6.5) as:

$$\theta_1 + \rho(x_0) = \theta_2 + \rho(x_0) \implies 0 = \theta_1 - \theta_2 = \sqrt{s^2 + 4(1 - s\delta)},$$

which implies, following the computations in [4, §3], that  $\gamma$  is a Reeb orbit in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \alpha)$ . However, this is not the case as  $i\dot{\gamma}(0) \neq \dot{\gamma}(0)$ .

In the second case, we can solve (6.5) for  $\frac{1}{2}u_x(x_0)$ , substitute this expression into (6.4), and obtain, using (6.2):

$$\theta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\rho(x_0) = -\theta_2 - \frac{1}{2}\rho(x_0) \implies \rho(x_0) = s.$$

The reverse direction of the proof follows exactly the same lines as the forward direction and is left as an exercise for the interested reader. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 6.2. The Existence of Global Weak Solutions of (M2HS) through the Geometric Lens.

At this point, the reader might be wondering about the fact that the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma$  in Theorem 6.5, visualized as the green curve in Figure 2, does not exhibit a blow-up. This raises the question: what happens to the corresponding solution of (M2HS) if we continue the magnetic geodesic—the green curve in Figure 2—beyond the boundary  $\partial U^{m-1}$ ?

Before answering this question, we note that in this paper, we focus on the geometric constructions associated with this situation. The analytical details regarding weak solutions will be provided in [31].

We begin by introducing the natural completion  $\mathcal{M}_{H^1}$  of  $G^m$  through the lens of geometry, which we intuitively think of as the inverse of the Madelung transform applied to  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . This is defined as in [38]:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1} := M_{H^1} \times L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}), \quad (6.6)$$

where the group multiplication is defined as on  $G^m$ , and  $M_{H^1}$  is defined as:

$$M_{H^1} := \{f \in H^1([0, 1], [0, 1]) : f(0) = 0, f(1) = 1, f \text{ is nondecreasing}\}.$$

**Remark 6.6.** *The space  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  is the natural completion of  $G^m$ . As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 6.1, a solution  $(u, \rho)$  has a blow-up if and only if, for the corresponding magnetic geodesic  $(\varphi, \tau)$  in  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$ , there exists a  $T > 0$  and an  $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}^1$  such that  $\lim_{t \nearrow T} \varphi_x(x_0, t) = 0$ . In other words,  $\lim_{t \nearrow T} \varphi(\cdot, t)$  is no longer an element of  $\text{Diff}_0(\mathbb{S}^1)$ , with  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , but is still an element in  $M_{H^1}$ .*

Thus, we can naturally extend the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  to  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$ . With this extension in mind, we define the notion of a weak magnetic geodesic as follows:

**Definition 6.7** ([31, Def. 4.4]). A curve  $(\varphi, \tau)$  with initial data  $(u_0, \rho_0) \in T_{(\text{id}, 0)}\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  is called a *weak magnetic geodesic* of  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) The curve  $(\varphi, \tau)$  remains in  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ; that is,

$$[x \mapsto (\varphi(t, x), \tau(t, x))] \in \mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1} \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$

- (2) The time derivative  $(\varphi_t, \tau_t)$  lies in the tangent space  $T\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ ; that is,

$$[x \mapsto (\varphi_t(t, x), \tau_t(t, x))] \in T_{(\varphi(t, \cdot), \tau(t, \cdot))}\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1} \quad \forall t \in [0, \infty).$$




FIGURE 3. Illustration of the proof of Theorem 6.8. The key idea is that, using Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 6.1, it suffices to extend the green curve  $(\Phi(\varphi), \Phi(\tau))$  beyond the boundary. As  $(\Phi(\varphi), \Phi(\tau))$  is, by Theorem 4.1 and [4, Prop. 6.4], a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ , and therefore also in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ , the corresponding magnetic geodesic, represented by the blue curve, exists globally by Theorem 3.11. This allows the extension of the green curve beyond  $\partial\mathcal{U}$ . (Illustration by Ana Chavez Caliz.)

- (3) The kinetic energy is constant almost everywhere in time; that is, for almost every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$\langle (\varphi_t(t), \tau_t(t)), (\varphi_t(t), \tau_t(t)) \rangle_{\dot{H}^1, (\varphi, \tau)} = \langle (\varphi_t(0), \tau_t(0)), (\varphi_t(0), \tau_t(0)) \rangle_{\dot{H}^1, (\text{id}, 0)}.$$

Moreover, the contact angle is conserved almost everywhere; that is, for almost every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$\delta := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \tau_t(t, x) dx.$$

- (4) For almost every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , the curve  $(\varphi, \tau)$  satisfies the magnetic geodesic equation as given in Theorem 5.6, corresponding to the magnetic system  $(G^m, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ .

We further call  $(\varphi, \tau)$  a *unit speed weak magnetic geodesic* if the initial data satisfies

$$\langle (u_0, \rho_0), (u_0, \rho_0) \rangle_{\dot{H}^1} = 1.$$

**Theorem 6.8** ([31, Thm. 4.5]). *Assume  $(u_0, \rho_0) \in T_{(\text{id}, 0)} \mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  satisfies  $\langle (u_0, \rho_0), (u_0, \rho_0) \rangle_{\dot{H}^1} = 1$  and define  $\theta_{1/2}$  by*

$$\theta_{1/2} = \frac{s \pm \sqrt{s^2 + 4(1 - s\delta)}}{2}.$$

For  $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1$  set

$$\varphi(t, x) = \int_0^x \left| \frac{1}{\theta_2 - \theta_1} \left[ \theta_2 e^{i\theta_1 t} - \theta_1 e^{i\theta_2 t} + \frac{i}{2} (u_{0,x}(y) + i\rho_0(y)) (e^{i\theta_1 t} - e^{i\theta_2 t}) \right] \right|^2 dy, \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$\tau(t, x) = \rho_0(x) \int_0^t \chi_{\{\varphi_x(s, \cdot) > 0\}} \frac{1}{\varphi_x(s, x)} ds. \quad (6.8)$$

Then  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is a global unit speed weak magnetic geodesic on  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* We present a geometrically inspired proof strategy, with the detailed analytical arguments outlined in [31, §4.4]. Let  $(\varphi, \tau)$  be a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$ . By Theorem 4.1 and [4, Prop. 6.4], the curve  $(\Phi(\varphi), \Phi(\tau))$  is a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ , which we denote by  $\gamma$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{U}$ , the curve  $\gamma$  is also a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.11, the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma$  exists globally in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ .

Using Theorem 6.1, it suffices to extend  $(\Phi(\varphi), \Phi(\tau))$  beyond the boundary  $\partial\mathcal{U}$ . This extension is achieved by following the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma$ , which allows  $(\Phi(\varphi), \Phi(\tau))$  to continue beyond  $\partial\mathcal{U}$  within  $\mathcal{U}$ . As a result,  $(\Phi(\varphi), \Phi(\tau))$  exists globally. By applying the inverse of the Madelung transform, we conclude that the corresponding magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  also exists globally, as illustrated in Figure 3.  $\square$

In the spirit of Theorem 5.1, we aim to use Theorem 6.8 to establish global weak solutions of (M2HS). To achieve this, we extend the previous work on the geodesic case in [26], [38], introducing the notion of weak solutions for (M2HS) as follows:

**Definition 6.9** ([31, Def. 4.8.]). A pair  $(u, \rho): [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called a *global conservative weak solution* of (M2HS) with initial data  $(u_0, \rho_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$  if and only if the following hold:

- (1) For all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , we have  $x \mapsto u(t, x) \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$ .
- (2) The map  $u: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous, and the initial conditions are satisfied pointwise and almost everywhere, respectively:

$$u(0, x) = u_0(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{S}^1, \quad \rho(0, x) = \rho_0(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{S}^1.$$

- (3) The maps  $t \mapsto u_x(t, \cdot)$  and  $t \mapsto \rho(t, \cdot)$  belong to  $L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}))$ .
- (4) The map  $t \mapsto u(t, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous from  $[0, \infty)$  into  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$ , and for almost every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ , the pair  $(u(t, \cdot), \rho(t, \cdot))$  satisfies the equation in Theorem 5.6 evaluated at  $(\text{id}, 0)$ ; that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_t + uu_x \\ \rho_t + u\rho_x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}\partial_x(u_x^2 + \rho^2) - s \cdot \int_0^x (\rho - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho dx) dx \\ -(\rho u)_x + su_x \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}).$$

Through the lens of Hamiltonian dynamics, the next theorem follows directly from Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.10. However, this requires rigorous analytical work, which has been carried out in [31, §4.5] by the author in a more general setting.

**Theorem 6.10** ([31, Thm. 4.12.]). *Let  $(\varphi, \tau)$  be a weak unit speed magnetic geodesic in  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  with initial data  $(u_0, \rho_0) \in H^1(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})$ .*

*Then the pair defined by*

$$\begin{pmatrix} u(t, \varphi(t, x)) \\ \rho(t, \varphi(t, x)) \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_t(t, x) \\ \tau_t(t, x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1, \quad (6.9)$$

*is a global conservative weak solution of (M2HS) with initial data  $(u_0, \rho_0)$ .*

*Furthermore, the energy is an integral of motion almost everywhere in time; that is, for almost every  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,*

$$\langle (u(t), \rho(t)), (u(t), \rho(t)) \rangle_{\dot{H}^1} = \langle (u_0, \rho_0), (u_0, \rho_0) \rangle_{\dot{H}^1} = 1. \quad (6.10)$$

*In addition, the contact angle is conserved almost everywhere; that is, for almost all  $t \in [0, \infty)$ ,*

$$\delta = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \rho_0(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \tau_t(t, x) dx.$$

**Remarks 6.11.**

**Special case  $s = 0$ :** By choosing  $s = 0$  in Theorem 5.6, we recover the existence of global weak solutions for the two-component Hunter-Saxton system. This corresponds to the main result presented in [38].

**Special case  $\rho \equiv s$ :** By setting  $\rho = s$  in Theorem 5.6, we recover the existence of global weak solutions for the Hunter-Saxton equation. This result is the main focus of [27].

**Fixing the kinetic energy:** Fixing the  $\dot{H}^1$ -energy of a magnetic geodesic in  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, s \cdot \Phi^* d\alpha)$  to

$$\mathcal{G}_{(\text{id}, 0)}^{\dot{H}^1}((u_0, \rho_0), (u_0, \rho_0)) = 1,$$

corresponds to considering unit-speed magnetic geodesics in  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, s \cdot d\alpha)$ . This equivalence arises due to the normalization factor in the exponent of (4.4).

## 7. MAÑÉ'S CRITICAL VALUE AND THE HOPF–RINOW THEOREM FOR THE (M2HS)

The goal of this section is to extend Theorem 3.15 to the magnetic system  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$  and, based on this extension, to establish a Hopf–Rinow type theorem for solutions of (M2HS). This constitutes one of the main results of the present work:

**Theorem 7.1.** *Mañé's critical value for the system is given by:*

$$c(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \|\alpha\|_\infty^2 = \frac{1}{8}.$$

Let  $q_0 := (\varphi_0, \tau_0)$  and  $q_1 := (\varphi_1, \tau_1)$  be two points on  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$ , and denote by  $\langle \Phi(q_0), \Phi(q_1) \rangle_{L^2}$  the  $L^2$ -Hermitian product of their images under the Madelung transform.

For every  $k > 0$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1)$  denote the set of magnetic geodesics with energy  $k$  connecting  $q_0$  and  $q_1$ . The following cases hold:

- (1) If  $k > \frac{1}{8}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (2) If  $k = \frac{1}{8}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $\langle \Phi(q_0), \Phi(q_1) \rangle_{L^2} \neq 0$ .
- (3) If  $k < \frac{1}{8}$ , the following subcases apply:
  - (a) If  $|\langle \Phi(q_0), \Phi(q_1) \rangle_{L^2}| > \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$ .
  - (b) If  $|\langle \Phi(q_0), \Phi(q_1) \rangle_{L^2}| = \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , there exist  $a_k, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $b_k > 0$  such that  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) \neq \emptyset$  if and only if

$$\langle \Phi(q_0), \Phi(q_1) \rangle_{L^2} = e^{i(a_k + mb_k)} \sqrt{1 - 8k},$$

for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (c) If  $|\langle \Phi(q_0), \Phi(q_1) \rangle_{L^2}| < \sqrt{1 - 8k}$ , then  $\mathcal{G}_k(q_0, q_1) = \emptyset$ .

Before proving Theorem 7.1, we outline the essential ingredients of the argument, following the strategy of Theorem 3.15. The technically involved analysis is carried out in Section A.

*Proof.* The computation of Mañé's critical value for the system follows the same reasoning as in Theorem 3.14; the details are left to the reader.

Part (1) follows directly from the fact that the inverse of the Madelung transform  $\Phi$  is surjective from  $\mathcal{U}$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$  and from Theorem 3.15.

To prove Parts (2) and (3), we first establish the following:

- (I) If  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is a weak magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ , then

$$\gamma := \sqrt{\varphi_x} e^{i\tau/2}$$

is a magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . In particular,  $\gamma$  is  $C^2$  in time as a map from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ .

- (II) The quantity  $\mathcal{E}_\gamma^{L^2}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})$  is conserved along the flow.

Statement (I) is proven in Theorem A.1. The part (2) now follows directly from (I) and (II) in combination with Part (2) of Theorem 3.15.

Finally, Part (3) follows from (I) and (II) together with Part (3) of Theorem 3.15. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## APPENDIX A. WEAK MAGNETIC GEODESICS ARE MAPPED TO MAGNETIC GEODESICS OF $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $(\varphi, \tau)$  be a unit speed weak magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  of strenght  $s$  with initial values  $(\varphi(0), \tau(0)) = (\text{id}, 0)$  and  $(\varphi_t(0), \tau_t(0)) = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\rho})$ . Then map*

$$\gamma : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty : t \mapsto \sqrt{\varphi_x} e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}$$

*is a unit speed magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  of strength  $s$  with initial values  $\gamma(0) = \mathbf{1}$  and  $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{u} + i\tilde{\rho})$ .*

The proof consists of two steps. We first prove that  $\eta$  solves the magnetic geodesic equation of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  almost everywhere, and then use a bootstrapping argument to upgrade this to a classical solution.

For the first step, the following lemma provides a useful characterization of absolutely continuous functions and is crucial in the first step of the proof of Theorem A.1.

**Lemma A.2** ([36, Chapter 3, Lemma 1.1]). *Let  $X$  be a Hilbert space, and let  $u, g \in L^1([0, T], X)$  for some  $T > 0$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *The function  $u$  is almost everywhere equal to a primitive of  $g$ , that is, there exists  $F \in X$  such that*

$$u(t) = F + \int_0^t g(s) ds \quad \text{for almost every } t \in [0, T].$$

- (2) *For each test function  $\eta \in C_c^\infty((0, T), X)$  it holds that*

$$\int_0^T u(t)\eta_t(t) dt = - \int_0^T g(t)\eta(t) dt.$$

*If either (1) or (2) holds, then  $u$  is almost everywhere equal to an absolutely continuous function  $v : [0, T] \longrightarrow X$ .*

**A.1. Step 1:  $\eta$  is almost everywhere a magnetic geodesic of  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ .** In view of Theorem A.2, it suffices to prove the following:

**Lemma A.3.** *Let  $(\varphi, \tau)$  be a unit speed weak magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^*d\alpha)$  of strength  $s$  with initial values  $(\varphi(0), \tau(0)) = (\text{id}, 0)$  and  $(\varphi_t(0), \tau_t(0)) = (\tilde{u}, \tilde{\rho})$ . Denote by  $(u, \rho)$  the weak solution of (M2HS) in the sense of theorem 6.9, defined through  $(u \circ \varphi, \rho \circ \varphi) = (\varphi_t, \tau_t)$ . Then the map*

$$\eta : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \quad t \mapsto \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x \circ \varphi + i\rho \circ \varphi) e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}} ds$$

*is absolutely continuous and solves the magnetic geodesic equation of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ , that is, (3.4), for almost every  $t > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We begin by checking that the curve  $t \mapsto \eta(t)$  is a well-defined curve in  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . Since  $t \mapsto (\varphi(t, \cdot), \tau(t, \cdot))$  is a curve in  $\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}$ , the derivative  $\varphi_x(t, \cdot)$  exists for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$  and satisfies  $\varphi_x(t, \cdot) \geq 0$  for all  $t$ . Furthermore, since  $(u, \rho)$  is, by Theorem 6.10, a weak solution of (M2HS), it follows from (3) in Theorem 6.9 that the maps  $t \mapsto u_x(t, \cdot)$  and  $t \mapsto \rho(t, \cdot)$  belong to

$$L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{R})).$$

Hence  $\eta(t)$  is well defined. Moreover, using the transformation rule for absolutely continuous functions and Fubini's theorem, we obtain for almost every  $t$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\eta(t, x)|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left| \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x \circ \varphi + i\rho \circ \varphi) e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}} ds \right|^2 dx \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{(\text{id}, 0)}^{\dot{H}^1}((u, \rho), (u, \rho)) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have shown that  $t \mapsto \eta(t)$  defines (almost everywhere) a curve in  $\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$ . Thus, by Theorem A.2, the map  $t \mapsto \eta(t)$  is absolutely continuous with derivative given by

$$\frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x \circ \varphi + i\rho \circ \varphi) e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}}$$

for almost every  $t > 0$ .

We now prove that the curve  $t \mapsto \eta(t)$  is, for almost every  $t > 0$ , a solution of the magnetic geodesic equation of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ , that is,  $\eta$  is for a.e.  $t > 0$  a solution of (3.4). By 2 in Theorem A.2, it suffices to prove the following claim.

**Claim 1.** The curve  $t \mapsto \eta(t)$  satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left[ \int_0^\infty \dot{\eta}(t, x) \theta_t(t) dt + \int_0^\infty (is \dot{\eta}(t, x) - (1 - s\delta)\eta(t, x)) \theta(t) dt \right] \Theta(x) dx = 0$$

for all  $\theta \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty))$  and all  $\Theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ .

For the moment, assume that Claim 1 is true. Then

$$\int_0^\infty \dot{\eta}(t, x) \theta_t(t) dt = - \int_0^\infty (is \dot{\eta}(t, x) - (1 - s\delta)\eta(t, x)) \theta(t) dt$$

for all  $\theta \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty))$ . Thus, by Theorem A.2, the map

$$\eta_t : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty$$

is absolutely continuous and the identity

$$\eta_t(t) = \eta_t(0) + \int_0^t (is \dot{\eta}(s) - (1 - s\delta)\eta(s)) ds$$

holds in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . In particular, for almost every  $t > 0$  we have

$$\eta_{tt}(t) = is \dot{\eta}(t) - (1 - s\delta)\eta(t)$$

in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ . Hence  $t \mapsto \eta(t)$  is, for almost every  $t > 0$ , a solution of (3.4), i.e. it satisfies the magnetic geodesic equation on  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$  almost everywhere.  $\square$

So it remains to prove claim 1.

*Proof of claim 1.* In order to prove claim 1, we first show that the map  $t \mapsto \eta_t$  is absolutely continuous. By theorem A.2, it suffices to prove the following claim.

**Claim 2.** The curve

$$t \mapsto \eta_t(t) = \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}}$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}} \theta_t(t) dt \Theta(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} (u_x^2 - \rho^2) + u_{xt} + uu_{xx} + i(u\rho)_{x\rho t} \right) \circ \varphi e^{i\frac{\tau}{2}} \theta(t) dt \Theta(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\theta \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C}))$  and all  $\Theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ .

*Proof of claim 2.* Since  $\sqrt{\varphi_x}$ ,  $u_x$ , and  $\rho$  belong to

$$L^\infty([0, \infty), L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})),$$

we may apply Fubini's theorem to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \theta_t(t) dt \Theta(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \Theta(x) dx \theta_t(t) dt.$$

Integrating by parts in  $t$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \theta_t(t) dt \Theta(x) dx \\ &= - \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \Theta(x) \right) dx \theta(t) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Since  $\Theta$  is independent of  $t$ , computing the time derivative in (A.1) using the chain rule yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \theta_t(t) dt \Theta(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} (u_x^2 - \rho^2) + u_{xt} + uu_{xx} + i(u\rho)_{x\rho t} \right) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \theta(t) dt \Theta(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Claim 2.  $\square$

Using Claim 2 together with Theorem A.2, we infer that the map

$$\eta_t : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$$

is absolutely continuous and that the identity

$$\eta_t(t) = \eta_t(0) + \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} (u_x^2 - \rho^2) + u_{xt} + uu_{xx} + i(u\rho)_{x\rho t} \right) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} ds$$

holds in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ .

Note that  $(\varphi, \tau)$  is a weak magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathcal{M}_{\dot{H}^1}, \mathcal{G}^{\dot{H}^1}, \Phi^* d\alpha)$ . Hence, for almost every  $t > 0$ , it solves by (4) of Theorem 6.7 the equation (5.10). Therefore, for almost every  $t > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbb{S}^1$ , it satisfies (M2HS), i.e.

$$\begin{cases} u_{tx} = -\frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - uu_{xx} + \frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - (s\rho + 2(c^2 - s\delta)), \\ \rho_t = -(\rho u)_x + su_x. \end{cases}$$

Substituting this into the previous identity yields

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_t(t) &= \eta_t(0) + \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (is(u_x + i\rho) - 2(1 - s\delta)) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} d\tilde{t} \\ &= \eta_t(0) + is \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} d\tilde{t} - \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (2(1 - s\delta)) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} d\tilde{t} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ .

By an argument similar to the proof of Claim 2, one can also show that the curve

$$\eta : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \quad t \mapsto \sqrt{\varphi_x} e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}}$$

satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \theta(t) dt \Theta(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \int_0^\infty \sqrt{\varphi_x} e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} \theta_t(t) dt \Theta(x) dx \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for all  $\theta \in C_c^\infty((0, \infty))$  and all  $\Theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^1)$ .

Therefore, by (A.3) and Theorem A.2, the identity

$$\eta(t) = \eta(0) + \int_0^t \frac{\sqrt{\varphi_x}}{2} (u_x + i\rho) \circ \varphi e^{\frac{i\tau}{2}} d\tilde{t} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

holds in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ .

Combining (A.4) and (A.2), we immediately obtain

$$\dot{\eta} = is\dot{\eta} - (1 - s\delta)\eta \quad (\text{A.5})$$

for almost every  $t > 0$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1, \mathbb{C})$ . This finishes the proof of Claim 1.  $\square$

**A.2. Step 2: Bootstrapping.** Since  $\eta$  is by (A.4) and Theorem A.2 of Sobolev class  $H^1$  in time and satisfies (A.5) almost everywhere, we conclude that  $\eta$  is of Sobolev class  $H^2$  in time.

Thus, by the Sobolev embedding theorem combined with an analogous bootstrapping argument, we obtain that  $\eta \in C^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty)$  in time. In particular,  $\eta$  is a magnetic geodesic of  $(\mathbb{S}_{L^2}^\infty, \mathcal{G}^{L^2}, d\alpha)$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem A.1.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Abbondandolo, G. Benedetti, and O. Edtmair. Symplectic capacities of domains close to the ball and Banach-Mazur geodesics in the space of contact forms. *Duke Math. J.*, 174(8):1567–1646, 2025.
- [2] A. Abbondandolo, L. Macarini, M. Mazzucchelli, and G. P. Paternain. Infinitely many periodic orbits of exact magnetic flows on surfaces for almost every subcritical energy level. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(2):551–579, 2017.
- [3] A. Abbondandolo and P. Majer. A non-squeezing theorem for convex symplectic images of the Hilbert ball. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 54(2):1469–1506, 2015.
- [4] P. Albers, G. Benedetti, and L. Maier. The Hopf-Rinow theorem and the Mañé critical value for magnetic geodesics on odd-dimensional spheres. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 214:Paper No. 105521, 21, 2025.
- [5] J. C. Álvarez Paiva and F. Balacheff. Contact geometry and isosystolic inequalities. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 24(2):648–669, 2014.
- [6] V. I. Arnold. Some remarks on flows of line elements and frames. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 138:255–257, 1961.
- [7] V. I. Arnold. Sur la géométrie différentielle des groupes de Lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l’hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 16:319–361, 1966.
- [8] V. I. Arnold and B. A. Khesin. *Topological methods in hydrodynamics*, volume 125 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [9] L. Asselle and G. Benedetti. The Lusternik-Fet theorem for autonomous Tonelli Hamiltonian systems on twisted cotangent bundles. *J. Topol. Anal.*, 8(3):545–570, 2016.
- [10] C. J. Atkin. The Hopf-Rinow Theorem is false in infinite Dimensions. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 7(3):261–266, 11 1975.
- [11] M. Bauer, P. Harms, and P. W. Michor. Regularity and completeness of half-Lie groups. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, Jan. 2025.
- [12] G. Benedetti and J. Kang. On a systolic inequality for closed magnetic geodesics on surfaces. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 20(1):99–134, 2022.
- [13] J. Bimmermann and L. Maier. Magnetic billiards and the Hofer-Zehnder capacity of disk tangent bundles of lens spaces. *Math. Ann.*, 392(4):5209–5233, 2025.
- [14] G. Contreras. The Palais-Smale condition on contact type energy levels for convex Lagrangian systems. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 27(3):321–395, 2006.
- [15] G. Contreras, R. Iturriaga, G. P. Paternain, and M. Paternain. Lagrangian graphs, minimizing measures and Mañé’s critical values. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 8(5):788–809, 1998.
- [16] D. G. Ebin and J. Marsden. Groups of diffeomorphisms and the motion of an incompressible fluid. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 92:102–163, 1970.
- [17] I. Ekeland. The Hopf-Rinow theorem in infinite dimension. *J. Differential Geom.*, 13(2):287–301, 1978.
- [18] H. Geiges. *An introduction to contact topology*, volume 109. Cambridge University Press, 2008.

- [19] V. L. Ginzburg. On closed trajectories of a charge in a magnetic field. An application of symplectic geometry. In *Contact and symplectic geometry (Cambridge, 1994)*, volume 8 of *Publ. Newton Inst.*, pages 131–148. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [20] B. Khesin and G. Misiolek. Euler equations on homogeneous spaces and Virasoro orbits. *Adv. Math.*, 176(1):116–144, 2003.
- [21] B. Khesin, G. Misiolek, and K. Modin. Geometric hydrodynamics via Madelung transform. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 115(24):6165–6170, 2018.
- [22] B. Khesin, G. Misiolek, and K. Modin. Geometry of the Madelung transform. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 234(2):549–573, 2019.
- [23] B. Khesin, G. Misiolek, and K. Modin. Geometric hydrodynamics and infinite-dimensional Newton’s equations. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 58(3):377–442, 2021.
- [24] A. Kriegl and P. W. Michor. *The convenient setting of global analysis*, volume 53 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [25] S. Lang. *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, volume 191 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [26] J. Lenells. The Hunter-Saxton equation describes the geodesic flow on a sphere. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 57(10):2049–2064, 2007.
- [27] J. Lenells. Weak geodesic flow and global solutions of the Hunter-Saxton equation. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 18(4):643–656, 2007.
- [28] J. Lenells. Spheres, Kähler geometry and the Hunter-Saxton system. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.*, 469(2154):20120726, 19, 2013.
- [29] R. Mañé. Lagrangian flows: the dynamics of globally minimizing orbits. In *International Conference on Dynamical Systems (Montevideo, 1995)*, volume 362 of *Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser.*, pages 120–131. Longman, Harlow, 1996.
- [30] L. Maier. On geometric hydrodynamics and infinite dimensional magnetic systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.00544*, 2025.
- [31] L. Maier. On Global Weak Solutions for the Magnetic Two-Component Hunter-Saxton System. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2601.22088*, 2026.
- [32] L. Maier and F. Ruscelli. On Mañé’s Critical Value for Tonelli Lagrangians on Half Lie-Groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.13428*, 2025.
- [33] L. Maier and F. Ruscelli. The Hopf–Rinow Theorem and Mañé’s Critical Value for Magnetic Geodesics on Half Lie-Groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2510.19323*, 2025.
- [34] W. J. Merry. Closed orbits of a charge in a weakly exact magnetic field. *Pacific J. Math.*, 247(1):189–212, 2010.
- [35] P. Petersen. *Riemannian geometry*, volume 171 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, third edition, 2016.
- [36] R. Temam. *Navier-Stokes Equations*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1984.
- [37] C. Vizman. Geodesic equations on diffeomorphism groups. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 4:Paper 030, 22, 2008.
- [38] M. Wunsch. Weak geodesic flow on a semidirect product and global solutions to the periodic Hunter-Saxton system. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 74(15):4951–4960, 2011.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF HEIDELBERG, IM NEUENHEIMER FELD  
205, D-69120 HEIDELBERG, GERMANY

*Email address:* `lmaier@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de`