

Homogeneous potentials, Lagrange's identity and Poisson geometry

A. V. Tsiganov

International Laboratory for Mirror Symmetry

National Research University Higher School of Economics, Moscow, Russia

Beijing Institute of Mathematical Sciences and Applications, Beijing, China

email: andrey.tsiganov@gmail.com

Abstract

The Lagrange identity expresses the second derivative of the moment of inertia of a system of material points through kinetic energy and homogeneous potential energy, from which follows the Jacobi well-known result on the instability of a system of gravitating bodies. In this work, it is proven that if a Hamiltonian system satisfies the Lagrange identity, then it possesses additional tensor invariants that are not expressed through the basic invariants existing for all Hamiltonian systems. A new class of Hamiltonian systems with inhomogeneous potentials is considered, which also possess similar additional tensor invariants.

1 Introduction

Let us consider the Hamiltonian system of differential equations

$$\frac{dq_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}, \quad \frac{dp_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (1.1)$$

where $q = \{q_1, \dots, q_n\}$ are coordinates in configuration space \mathbb{R}^n , $p = \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ are the corresponding momenta in phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, and $H = H(q, p)$ is the Hamiltonfunction.

If the Hamilton function

$$H = T(p) + V(q) = \frac{1}{2m_1}p_1^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{2m_n}p_n^2 + V(q), \quad m_i > 0, \quad (1.2)$$

is the sum of kinetic energy $T(p)$ and a smooth homogeneous function $V(q)$ of degree k , then Lagrange's identity holds

$$\frac{d^2 J}{dt^2} = 4T - 2kV = 4H - 2(k+2)V, \quad J = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i q_i^2. \quad (1.3)$$

Jacobi used this identity considering the stability of the motion of systems of n interacting particles with masses m_1, \dots, m_n arising in celestial mechanics [1], see also [2]. Various generalizations and applications of Lagrange's identity are discussed in the works of V.V. Kozlov [3, 4, 5].

The necessary conditions for the integrability of equations (1.1) in the class of meromorphic functions are discussed in the works [6, 7]. Below we consider Hamiltonian systems of general position, i.e., potentials that are not subject to any conditions other than the condition of homogeneity

$$V(\lambda q_1, \dots, \lambda q_n) = \lambda^k V(q_1, \dots, q_n).$$

or, according to the Euler theorem for smooth homogeneous functions, the condition

$$Z = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} V(q_1, \dots, q_n) - kV(q_1, \dots, q_n) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

which is equivalent to Lagrange's identity (1.3) in the context of Hamiltonian mechanics.

Equations (1.1) can be interpreted geometrically in terms of the vector field X on the phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$, which in local coordinates $x = (q, p)$ has the form

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{p_i}{m_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \right). \quad (1.5)$$

Using the vector field X , Hamilton's original equations (1.1) are rewritten in an invariant geometric form

$$\dot{x} = X, \quad \text{where} \quad X = PdH \quad \text{or} \quad \iota_X \omega = -dH, \quad (1.6)$$

which contains the following basic tensor invariants: the Hamilton function H , the Poisson bivector P , and the symplectic form ω , which in local coordinates have the form

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dp_i \wedge dq_i. \quad (1.7)$$

The corresponding Poisson bracket on the phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$ has a canonical form

$$\{q_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}, \quad \{q_i, q_j\} = \{p_i, p_j\} = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

In this note we prove that if potential $V(q)$ is a smooth homogeneous function of degree k , then for the Hamiltonian system (1.1,1.6) not only Lagrange's identity (1.3) holds, but also exist an invariant Poisson bivector \hat{P} and an invariant symplectic form $\hat{\omega} = \hat{P}^{-1}$, which cannot be obtained by differentiation and tensor operations from the basic tensor invariants X, H, P and ω .

1.1 Basic tensor invariants of Hamiltonian systems

Recall that smooth tensor field $T(x)$ is called a tensor invariant of system of ordinary differential equations (1.6) if

$$\mathcal{L}_X T(x) = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Here, \mathcal{L}_X is the Lie derivative of the tensor field $T(x)$ along the vector field X , which determines the rate of change of the tensor field $T(x)$ during deformation of the phase space, defined by the phase flow of the system (1.6).

The vector field X is a tensor invariant due to the antisymmetry of the Lie brackets $[\cdot, \cdot]$

$$\mathcal{L}_X X = [X, X] = 0.$$

Substituting the Hamiltonian vector field $X = PdH$ into this equation, we obtain $X = PdH$

$$\mathcal{L}_X X = \mathcal{L}_X (PdH) = (\mathcal{L}_X P) dH + Pd(\mathcal{L}_X H) = 0. \quad (1.9)$$

Both terms in this identity are equal to zero due to the definition of the Poisson bivector P , which is a skew-symmetric tensor field of valence $(2, 0)$ (bivector)

$$\mathcal{L}_X H = (dH, PdH) = 0,$$

which is invariant of the phase flow

$$\mathcal{L}_X P = \mathcal{L}_{PdH} P = \llbracket P, P \rrbracket dH = 0 \quad (1.10)$$

by virtue of the Jacobi identity

$$\llbracket P, P \rrbracket = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

which we write using the Schouten brackets $\llbracket \cdot, \cdot \rrbracket$.

It is obvious that Jacobi's identity (1.11) is a sufficient but not necessary condition for satisfying the invariance equation (1.10). For example, the bivector

$$P' = (a_1 H + a_2) P, \quad a_{1,2} \in \mathbb{R},$$

does not satisfy Jacobi's identity, but nevertheless is a tensor invariant for arbitrary values of the parameters $a_{1,2}$.

The invariance of the symplectic form is a consequence of condition $d\omega = 0$ and Hamilton's equations (1.6), since

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega = d(\iota_X\omega) + \iota_X(d\omega) = d(-dH) = 0,$$

which leads to the preservation of the $2n$ volume form

$$\Omega = \wedge^n \omega = (-1)^{n(n+1)/2} n! dq_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dq_n \wedge dp_1 \cdots \wedge dp_n, \quad \mathcal{L}_X\Omega = 0.$$

According to Cartan's formula, the Lie derivative \mathcal{L}_X acting on the differential k -form φ is the anti-commutator of the inner product with the outer derivative

$$\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = \iota_X d\varphi + d\iota_X\varphi. \quad (1.12)$$

Here, $\iota_X\varphi$ is the inner product of the vector X and the form φ , that is, the $(k-1)$ -form obtained from the form φ after substituting the vector field X for the first argument.

According to Poincaré [8] and Cartan [9], the differential form φ is an absolute integral invariant if both terms in (1.12) are simultaneously equal to zero.

$$\iota_X d\varphi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d\iota_X\varphi = 0.$$

These conditions are sufficient but not necessary for satisfying the invariance condition $\mathcal{L}_X\varphi = 0$ with the Lie derivative given by (1.12) and, therefore, in works [8, 9], conditional integral invariants were introduced in addition to absolute invariants, see also discussion in [10, 11].

Absolute and conditional tensor invariants for some integrable and non-integrable systems have been studied in [12, 13, 14, 15]. In the next section, we consider a generalization of the results obtained in [15] to the case of arbitrary dimension of the phase space $T^*\mathbb{R}^n$.

1.2 Homogeneous vector fields

Let us consider smooth vector field

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

whose elements $X_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ are homogeneous functions of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n with homogeneity index α

$$X_i(\lambda x_1, \dots, \lambda x_n) = \lambda^\alpha X_i(x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

According to Euler's theorem on differentiable homogeneous functions, the Lie bracket between the vector field X and the Euler vector field E is equal to

$$[E, X] = (1 - \alpha)X, \quad E = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}.$$

It follows, therefore, that the bivector

$$P_e = X \wedge E \quad (1.13)$$

is a tensor invariant of the phase flow defined by the vector field X

$$\mathcal{L}_X P_e = [X, X] \wedge E + X \wedge [X, E] = 0.$$

which satisfies to the Jacobi condition

$$\llbracket P_e, P_e \rrbracket = 2X \wedge [E, X] \wedge E = 0.$$

Suppose that the function $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a homogeneous first integral of the vector field X with homogeneity index κ , i.e.

$$X(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E(F) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = \kappa F.$$

In this case we have

$$P_e dF = -X(F) E + E(F) X = \kappa F X,$$

that allows us to represent a homogeneous vector field X in formal Hamiltonian form

$$X = P_e dH, \quad H = \kappa^{-1} \ln |F|.$$

Here, P_e is the second-rank Poisson bivector (1.13), and H is the Hamiltonian.

According to [18], we call the vector field $X = P_e dH$ a formal Hamiltonian field because the Poisson bivector P_e (1.13) does not possess $m = n - 2$ uniquely determined and independent Casimir functions C_1, \dots, C_m such that $P_e dC_i = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, m$.

2 Homogeneous potentials

In the general case, the vector field X (1.5) arising in Hamiltonian mechanics is not homogeneous. However, if the potential $V(q)$ is a smooth homogeneous function, then the Hamiltonian $H = T + V$ (1.2) consists of two homogeneous functions T and V , which allows us to construct an analogue of the invariant Poisson bivector (1.13).

Indeed, let us define an Euler-type vector field

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, \quad (2.1)$$

for which the sum of two homogeneous functions $H = T + V$ (1.2) will be a Darboux invariant [17]

$$\mathcal{L}_Y H \equiv Y(H) = kH$$

provided that the condition $Z = 0$ (1.4) holds. The corresponding vector field $X = PdH$ (1.5) will also be a Darboux invariant of the vector field Y

$$\mathcal{L}_Y X \equiv [Y, X] = \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1 \right) X + PdZ, \quad \text{where} \quad Z = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Analogous to the bivector $P_e = X \wedge E$ (1.13) considered in the previous section, the bivector

$$P' = X \wedge Y, \quad (2.3)$$

is invariant with respect to the flow of the vector field X

$$\mathcal{L}_X P' = [X, X] \wedge Y + X \wedge [X, Y] = 0$$

and satisfies the Jacobi condition

$$[[P', P']] = 2X \wedge [Y, X] \wedge Y = 0.$$

which is a direct consequence of equation (2.2), valid only when the Lagrange identity (1.3) holds.

We have thus proven the following statement.

Proposition 1 *If the Hamiltonian function $H = T(p) + V(q)$ (1.2) is a Darboux invariant of the vector field Y (2.1)*

$$Y(H) = kH \quad \text{at} \quad H \neq 0,$$

then the tensor field P' (2.3) is a Poisson bivector invariant with respect to the phase flow generated by the Hamiltonian vector field X (1.5).

In terms of the variables q and p , this Poisson bivector takes the following form

$$P' = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{p_i q_j}{m_i} - \frac{p_j q_i}{m_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{2m_i} p_i p_j + q_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \\ + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{k}{2} \left(p_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_j} - p_j \frac{\partial V}{\partial q_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}.$$

For $k \neq -2$, the invariant Poisson bivector P' (2.3) does not compatible with the Poisson bivector P (1.7) since

$$[[P, P']] \neq 0.$$

Recall that the Poisson bivectors P and P' are compatible if any linear combination $(aP + bP')$ with constant coefficients a and b is a Poisson tensor, that is, the Jacobi identity holds

$$[[aP + bP', aP + bP']] = 2ab[[P, P']] = 0, \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In the case of the Jacobi potential field for $k = -2$, the compatibility condition holds: $[[P, P']] = 0$, and in this case there is another scalar invariant

$$F = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n (q_i p_j - q_j p_i)^2 + 2V \sum_{i=1}^n q_i^2, \quad \mathcal{L}_X F = 0,$$

see the definition of the Jacobi potential field and the corresponding discussion in [5].

The Poisson bivector P' (2.3) is degenerate for $n \geq 2$, since P' has rank 2, and satisfies the relation

$$P' dH = kHX.$$

In the general case, the Poisson bivector P' (2.3) does not compatible with the Poisson bivector P (1.7). Nevertheless, there exists a unique linear combination of these invariant bivectors with coefficients depending on the Hamiltonian function H and the degree of homogeneity of the potential k , which is an invariant Poisson tensor.

Proposition 2 *If $V(q)$ is a homogeneous function of degree $k \neq \pm 2$, then on the open subset defined by the inequality $H \neq 0$, the tensor field of valence $(2, 0)$*

$$\hat{P} = \left(1 - \frac{k}{2} \right) HP + P', \quad \text{rank} \hat{P} = n, \quad (2.4)$$

is a non-degenerate Poisson bivector. This allows us to define on this open subset a tensor field of valence $(0, 2)$

$$\hat{\omega} = \hat{P}^{-1}$$

which is a symplectic form invariant with respect to the phase flow generated by the Hamiltonian vector field X (1.5).

The proof consists of directly verifying the non-degeneracy, invariance, and the Jacobi identity

$$\mathcal{L}_X \hat{P} = 0, \quad [[\hat{P}, \hat{P}]] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_X \hat{\omega} = 0, \quad d\hat{\omega} = 0$$

which are equivalent to the condition $Z = 0$ (1.4).

According to Lie-Darboux theorem, there exists a coordinate transformation $\phi : (q, p) \rightarrow (\hat{q}, \hat{p})$ such that

$$\hat{P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{q}_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{p}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{\omega} = \sum_{i=1}^n d\hat{p}_i \wedge d\hat{q}_i$$

at least locally. This transformation can be regarded as an analogue of the Baecklund transformations for integrable Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potentials [19].

For all three invariant Poisson bivectors P , P' , and \hat{P} , the Hamiltonian flows generated by the Hamiltonian function H with potential $V(q)$ (1.4) are proportional to each other

$$P'dH = kHX \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P}dH = \frac{k+2}{2}HX$$

where $X = PdH$.

We note that the cases $k = \pm 2$ are special cases within the application of Galois group theory to Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potentials [20].

3 Nonhomogeneous potentials of a special kind

Let us consider the Hamilton function

$$H = \frac{1}{2m_1}p_1^2 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2m_n}p_n^2 + U(q)$$

where the potential $U(q)$ satisfies the relation

$$\bar{Z} = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \bar{Z} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} U(q_1, \dots, q_n) - kU(q_1, \dots, q_n). \quad (3.1)$$

In this case, the equivalent of Lagrange's identity (1.3) is the expression

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i q_i = kU. \quad (3.2)$$

The well-known particular solution of Euler's equation (1.4) has the following form

$$V(q_1, \dots, q_n) = q_1^k f\left(\frac{q_2}{q_1}, \dots, \frac{q_n}{q_1}\right)$$

where f is an arbitrary smooth function of projective coordinates. Similar particular solution of equation (3.1), has the form

$$U(q_1, \dots, q_n) = e^{\frac{k}{a_1} q_1} g\left(q_2 - \frac{a_2}{a_1} q_1, \dots, q_n - \frac{a_n}{a_1} q_1\right),$$

where g is an arbitrary smooth function. A special case of Hamiltonian systems with potential $U(q)$ are some of the Toda lattices, see [15].

For the vector field

$$\bar{Y} = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \frac{k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, \quad (3.3)$$

our Hamiltonian $H = T + U$ is the Darboux invariant at $\bar{Z} = 0$ since

$$\bar{Y}(T + U) = k(T + U) + Pd\bar{Z}.$$

The corresponding vector field

$$\bar{X} = PdH = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{m_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \right) - \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \right)$$

is also a Darboux invariant for the vector field \bar{Y} (3.3)

$$[\bar{Y}, \bar{X}] = \left(\frac{k}{2} - 1 \right) \bar{X} \quad \text{at} \quad \bar{Z} = 0.$$

From this, the following statement immediately follows.

Proposition 3 *Second-rank bivector*

$$\bar{P}' = \bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}$$

is the invariant Poisson bivector for the phase flow of the vector field \bar{X} if the function $U(q)$ satisfies equation (3.1), that is, if

$$\bar{Y}(H) = kH \quad \text{at} \quad H \neq 0.$$

The proof consists of substituting the Lie bracket between the vector fields \bar{X} and \bar{Y} into the equations

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{X}} \bar{P}' = [\bar{X}, \bar{X}] \wedge \bar{Y} + \bar{X} \wedge [\bar{X}, \bar{Y}] = 0.$$

and

$$[[\bar{P}', \bar{P}']] = 2\bar{X} \wedge [\bar{Y}, \bar{X}] \wedge \bar{Y} = 0.$$

In terms of the variables q and p , this Poisson bivector takes the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}' = & \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{a_j p_i}{m_i} - \frac{a_i p_j}{m_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{k}{2m_i} p_i p_j + a_i \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_j} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{k}{2} \left(p_i \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_j} - p_j \frac{\partial U}{\partial q_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The Poisson bivector \bar{P}' (3.4) is degenerate for $n \geq 2$, since \bar{P}' has rank 2, and satisfies the relation

$$P' dH = kHX.$$

In the general case, the Poisson bivector \bar{P}' (3.4) is not commutative with the Poisson bivector P (1.7). Nevertheless, as in the case of homogeneous potentials, there exists a unique linear combination of these invariant bivectors with coefficients depending on the Hamiltonian H and the parameter k , which is the invariant Poisson tensor.

Proposition 4 *If the potential $U(q)$ satisfies the relation (3.1) for $k \neq 0$, then on the open subset defined by the inequality $H \neq 0$, the tensor field of valence (2, 0)*

$$\bar{P} = -\frac{k}{2}HP + \bar{P}', \quad \text{rank} \bar{P} = n, \quad (3.5)$$

is a non-degenerate invariant Poisson bivector, and the (0, 2)-valence tensor field

$$\bar{\omega} = \bar{P}^{-1}$$

is a symplectic form invariant under the phase flow generated by the Hamiltonian vector field \bar{X} .

The proof consists of a direct verification.

According to the Lie-Darboux theorem, there exists a canonical transformation of variables $\phi : (q, p) \rightarrow (\bar{q}, \bar{p})$ such that

$$\bar{P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{q}_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\omega} = \sum_{i=1}^n d\bar{p}_i \wedge d\bar{q}_i.$$

This transformation can be viewed a counterpart of the Bäcklund transformations for integrable Hamiltonian systems.

For all three Poisson invariant vectors P , \bar{P}' , and \bar{P} , the Hamiltonian fluxes generated by the Hamiltonian function H with potential $U(q)$ (3.1) are proportional to

$$\bar{P}' dH = kH\bar{X} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{P} dH = \frac{k}{2}H\bar{X}$$

where $\bar{X} = PdH$, i.e., they can be reduced to each other by a time substitution.

4 Conclusion

According to [21, 22], integrable Hamiltonian systems possess a family of tensor invariants of valence $(2, 0)$ and $(0, 2)$, which cannot be obtained from the basic tensor invariants using various tensor operations; see examples in [12, 13, 14, 15].

This paper proves the existence of additional tensor invariants for non-integrable Hamiltonian systems, provided that the Hamiltonian function H is a Darboux invariant with respect to the Euler type vector fields, which is equivalent to the condition that the Lagrangian identity or its analogue holds.

The existence of such tensor invariants for non-integrable systems raises a number of questions about the properties of these invariant geometric structures, about the qualitative behavior of trajectories that preserve these geometric invariants, about what distinguishes these non-integrable systems from the rest, about the use of these invariants for numerical integration, and so on. We do not yet know the answers to these questions.

The author thanks A.J. Maciejewski and an anonymous reviewer for their extensive comments on the first version of this work, which allowed for its significant improvement

The article was prepared within the framework of the project "International academic cooperation" HSE University.

References

- [1] C.G.J. Jacobi, *Vorlesungen über Analytische Mechanik*, Berlin 1847/48. Dokumente zur Geschichte der Mathematik 8. Vieweg, Wiesbaden, 1996.
- [2] A. Albouy, H. Cabral and A. Santos, Some problems on the classical n -body problem, *Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astr.*, **113** (2012), 369-375.
- [3] V.V.Kozlov, Lagrange's Identity and Its Generalizations, *Regul. Chaot. Dyn.*, **13**: 2 (2008), 71-80.
- [4] V.V. Kozlov, Solvable Algebras and Integrable Systems, *Regul. Chaot. Dyn.*, **29**:5 (2024), 717-727.
- [5] V.V. Kozlov, The Lagrange Identity and Dynamics in a Potential Jacobi Field, *Regul. Chaot. Dyn.*, 30:6 (2025), 887-907.
- [6] A.J. Maciejewski, M. Przybylska, Partial integrability of Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous potential, *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, **15**:4 (2010), 551-563.
- [7] J. Llibre, X.Zhang, On the integrability of the Hamiltonian systems with homogeneous polynomial potentials, *Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences*, **3**:2 (2018), 527-536.
- [8] H. Poincaré, *Les Méthodes Nouvelles de la Mécanique Céleste*, Tom III, Dover Pub. Inc., N.Y., 1892.
- [9] E. Cartan, *Leçons sur les invariants intégraux*, Hermann, Paris, 1922, 210 pp.
- [10] V. V. Kozlov, Tensor invariants and integration of differential equations, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, **74**:1 (2019), 111-140.
- [11] V. V. Kozlov, Generalized Completely Integrable Systems, *Theor. Appl. Mech.*, **52** (2025), 1-7.
- [12] A.V. Tsiganov, On tensor invariants for integrable cases of Euler, Lagrange and Kovalevskaya rigid body motion, *Izv. Math.*, **89**:2 (2025), 372-398.
- [13] A.V. Tsiganov, On tensor invariants of the Clebsch system, *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, **30**:4 (2025) 742-764.
- [14] A.V. Tsiganov, On novel Hamiltonian description of the nonholonomic Suslov problem, *Regul. Chaotic Dyn.*, 31(2026), in press.

- [15] A. V. Tsiganov, Experimental study of tensor invariants of Hamiltonian systems, *Theor. Appl. Mech.*, **52**:2 (2025), 297-316.
- [16] A. V. Tsiganov, On formal Hamiltonian description of homogeneous vector fields, *Russ. Math. Surv.*, 82:2 (2026), in press.
- [17] G. Darboux, De l'emploi des solutions particulières algébriques dans l'intégration des systèmes d'équations différentielles algébriques, *Comptes rendus de l'Académie des Sciences*, 86 (1878), 1012-1014.
- [18] I.A. Bizyaev, V.V. Kozlov, Homogeneous systems with quadratic integrals, Lie-Poisson quasi-brackets, and Kovalevskaya's method, *Sbornik: Math.*, 206:12 (2015), 1682-1706.
- [19] A. V. Tsiganov, On auto and hetero Bäcklund transformations for the Hénon-Heiles systems, *Phys. Lett. A*, **379** (2015), 2903-2907.
- [20] J. J. Morales-Ruiz, J.-P. Ramis, A note on the non-integrability of some Hamiltonian systems with a homogeneous potential, *Methods Appl. Anal.*, **8**:1 (2001), 113-120.
- [21] O.I. Bogoyavlenskij, Theory of tensor invariants of integrable Hamiltonian systems. I. Incompatible Poisson structures, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **180**, (1996), 529-586.
- [22] O.I. Bogoyavlenskij, Theory of tensor invariants of integrable Hamiltonian systems. II. Theorem on symmetries and its applications, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **184** (1991), 301-365.