

# DYSCO: Dynamic Attention-Scaling Decoding for Long-Context LMs

Xi Ye<sup>\*1</sup> Wuwei Zhang<sup>\*1</sup> Fangcong Yin<sup>2</sup> Howard Yen<sup>1</sup> Danqi Chen<sup>1</sup>

## Abstract

Understanding and reasoning over long contexts is a crucial capability for language models (LMs). Although recent models support increasingly long context windows, their accuracy often deteriorates as input length grows. In practice, models often struggle to keep attention aligned with the most relevant context throughout decoding. In this work, we propose DYSCO, a novel decoding algorithm for improving long-context reasoning. DYSCO leverages *retrieval heads*—a subset of attention heads specialized for long-context retrieval—to identify task-relevant tokens at each decoding step and explicitly up-weight them. By doing so, DYSCO dynamically adjusts attention during generation to better utilize relevant context. The method is training-free and can be applied directly to any off-the-shelf LMs. Across multiple instruction-tuned and reasoning models, DYSCO consistently improves performance on challenging long-context reasoning benchmarks, yielding relative gains of up to 25% on MRCR and LONGBENCHV2 at 128K context length with modest additional compute. Further analysis highlights the importance of both dynamic attention rescaling and retrieval-head-guided selection for the effectiveness of the method, while providing interpretability insights into decoding-time attention behavior. Our code is available at <https://github.com/princeton-qli/DySCO>.

## 1. Introduction

Recent advances in language models (LMs) have enabled processing of extremely long context windows, unlocking applications such as repository-level code understanding and long-document question answering. Driven by improvements in data curation and transformer architectures, mod-

<sup>\*</sup> Equal contribution. <sup>1</sup>Princeton Language and Intelligence, Princeton University <sup>2</sup>Department of Computer Science, New York University. Correspondence to: Xi Ye <xi.ye@princeton.edu>, Wuwei Zhang <wuwei.zhang@cs.princeton.edu>.

Preprint. February 26, 2026.




Figure 1. Top: An illustrative Path Traversal task (simplified). Solving the task requires dynamically locating relevant context during decoding. Bottom: Accuracy as a function of context length for models with and without DYSCO. Despite the total context being only 16K tokens, both models exhibit severe performance degradation as context length increases.

ern LMs now support context lengths of 128K tokens and beyond (Gemini Team, 2025; Yang et al., 2025; Kimi Team, 2025; OpenAI, 2025; Anthropic, 2026). However, model performance often degrades significantly as input length increases, even on simple tasks, commonly known as “context rot” (Hong et al., 2025; Goldman et al., 2024). As illustrated in Figure 1, even on a simple PATH TRAVERSAL task (Ye et al., 2025), models such as Qwen3-8B and Llama-3.1-8B see accuracy drop from around 60% at 4K tokens to below 20% at 16K tokens, despite supporting context lengths of up to 128K tokens.

We attribute this degradation to a key challenge in how LMs utilize long and information-dense contexts during generation: effective long-context reasoning requires models to continuously focus attention on the most task-relevant parts of the context as the generation state evolves. In practice, however, vanilla decoding often fails to exhibit this behavior over long contexts. For example, in the PATH TRAVERSAL task shown in Figure 1, LMs must iteratively identify the next edge from the context based on the current node. Our analysis (§2.2) shows that attention is often insufficiently focused on the relevant context at each generation step, leading to errors even when the required information is present. In this work, we propose a novel decoding algorithm, DYSCO (Dynamic Attention-Scaling DeCODing), which dynamically adjusts attention on the fly during generation. DYSCO




Figure 2. Overview of DYSCO algorithm. At each decoding step, DYSCO consists of three stages: (1) **Aggregation**: We run a partial forward pass over the input sequence to obtain attentions of retrieval heads, such as QRHEAD, and use them to assign relevance scores to context tokens; (2) **Selection**: We use the relevance scores to select the important tokens; (3) **Rescaling**: We up-weight the important tokens by intervening attention logits of all attention heads and run a full forward pass to sample the next token.

is lightweight, training-free, and directly applicable to off-the-shelf language models. DYSCO operates exclusively at the decoding stage, after the long-context prefilling phase that accounts for the majority of computation. As a result, it introduces only modest additional overhead—for example, approximately 4% extra FLOPs when generating 8K tokens from 128K-token inputs.

The key idea of DYSCO is to identify relevant tokens at each decoding step using *retrieval heads* (Wu et al., 2025a; Zhang et al., 2025c), and then upweight attention to these tokens during generation. Retrieval heads are a specialized subset (1-2%) of attention heads that are responsible for long-context retrieval: compared to other heads, they assign higher and more stable attention to context that is critical for next-token prediction. Notably, we find that retrieval heads remain consistently focused on relevant tokens even when overall model performance degrades with increasing context length (§2.2). After prefilling, DYSCO operates in three stages at each decoding step (Figure 2). 1) *aggregation*: we run a partial forward pass (§3.1) to aggregate attention scores from retrieval heads; 2) *selection*: we identify a small set of context tokens with the highest aggregated attention scores; 3) *rescaling*: we upweight attention to the selected tokens by intervening on the attention logits of *all* heads and run a full forward pass to generate the next token.

DYSCO introduces a new paradigm for *attention shaping* to improve long-context reasoning. Prior work on attention scaling focuses on long-context extension by uniformly scaling all attention logits by a constant factor (Peng et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2026; Nakanishi, 2025); in contrast, DYSCO performs *dynamic, token-selective* scaling at each decoding

step, yielding substantial gains even within the model’s native context window. More broadly, most prior long-context inference methods, such as KV-cache eviction and compression (Xiao et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024a; Bhaskar et al., 2025), prioritize efficiency and may degrade reasoning performance, while DYSCO explicitly trades a small amount of additional compute for improved accuracy. Unlike higher-level scaffolding approaches such as agentic pipelines or external context-management systems (Zhang et al., 2025a; Yu et al., 2025; Wu et al., 2025b), DYSCO operates directly at the attention level, is independent of any specific scaffold, and can be naturally combined with such systems.

We evaluate DYSCO across a diverse set of models, including both instruction-tuned and reasoning LMs, on a wide range of long-context tasks. DYSCO consistently improves performance on challenging long-context reasoning benchmarks. Notably, for Qwen3-8B, DYSCO delivers up to 25% relative improvements on MRCR and LONG-BENCHV2 compared to YaRN alone, with  $\leq 4\%$  additional FLOPs. Further analysis highlights the importance of both dynamic scaling and the use of retrieval heads for identifying important tokens.

We summarize our contributions:

- We explore a new axis for long-context inference methods: improving accuracy rather than efficiency.
- We propose DYSCO, a training-free decoding algorithm that yields strong gains on long-context reasoning tasks.
- We provide mechanistic insights into how retrieval heads can help mitigate long-context failures.

## 2. Background and Motivation

We first set up the preliminaries of retrieval heads (Wu et al., 2025a; Zhang et al., 2025c), an important building block of our approach (§2.1). We then study PATH TRAVERSAL, a synthetic task designed to stress-test basic reasoning over long and information-dense context, and use it to reveal the connection between retrieval heads and long-context reasoning capabilities (§2.2).

### 2.1. Preliminaries: Retrieval Heads

We consider an autoregressive transformer LM  $\mathcal{M}$  (Vaswani et al., 2017) that generates the next token  $x_{t+1}$  conditioned on the prefix  $x_{\leq t}$ , which includes both the input context and previously generated tokens. Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{h_i\}$  denote the set of attention heads in  $\mathcal{M}$ . At decoding step  $t$ , each head  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  produces an attention distribution:  $\alpha_t^{(h)} \in \mathbb{R}^t$ , where  $\alpha_{t,i}^{(h)}$  is the attention mass for token  $x_i \in x_{\leq t}$ .

**Retrieval Heads.** Wu et al. (2025a) discovered a universal set of attention heads that exhibit copy-like behavior during decoding, which they term *retrieval heads*. Concretely, when generating token  $x_t$ , a retrieval head  $h$  concentrates its attention on a prior occurrence of the same token in the context. That is,  $\alpha_{t,i}^{(h)}$  is high for some  $i < t$  such that  $x_i = x_t$ . These heads are typically sparse (less than 5% of all heads) and provide a mechanistic explanation for how language models perform explicit token lookup and copy-paste from long context.

**Query-Focused Retrieval Heads (QRHEAD).** Retrieval heads can be identified using different criteria: Wu et al. (2025a) rely on copy-paste behavior measured in synthetic retrieval tasks (e.g., Needle-in-a-Haystack). Zhang et al. (2025c) proposed QRHEAD, an improved approach that instead aggregates query-context attention mass across examples from realistic long-context tasks (e.g., long-form question answering). This yields a more semantic and task-aligned selection, which Zhang et al. (2025c) show to be more effective for long-context reasoning. We therefore adopt QRHEAD in this work; see Appendix A for details.

### 2.2. Retrieval Heads Stay Focused on Relevant Context

**Diagnostic task: PATH TRAVERSAL.** Long-context reasoning requires dynamically attending to relevant information at each decoding step, conditioned not only on the inputs but also on the intermediate state encoded in  $x_{\leq t}$ . To analyze this capability in a controlled setting, we use a synthetic task, PATH TRAVERSAL (Ye et al., 2025).

The input to PATH TRAVERSAL consists of a long list of graph edges  $E = \{\langle v_i, v_j \rangle\}$  between nodes. The task is to find a path  $\mathcal{T} = (\langle v_{\text{start}}, v_1 \rangle \dots, \langle v_{t-1}, v_{\text{target}} \rangle)$  connecting




Figure 3. **Left:** Performance of Qwen3-8B on PATH TRAVERSAL as context length increases. **Middle:** Fractions that the gold edge appear among the top-5% edges ranked by attention score (sum of attention over all tokens in the span) from QRHEAD versus random heads. **Right:** Attention mass assigned to gold edges by QRHEAD and random heads. Despite severe performance degradation and a reduction in attention mass on gold edges, QRHEAD consistently allocates substantially higher attention to the gold edges.

a given start node to a target node. Crucially, the graph is designed in a way that each node along the gold path has *exactly one* outgoing edge. As a result, solving the task reduces to repeatedly identifying the next correct outgoing node and chaining them together. This constraint is *explicitly stated* in the prompt, ensuring a deterministic reasoning procedure. By varying the number of nodes, we can control the input length and construct tasks with different context sizes. See Appendix E for a full prompt example.

**Severe performance degradation on PATH TRAVERSAL.** Specifically, we generate instances with approximately 4, 8, 16, and 32K tokens, corresponding to roughly 250, 500, 1000, and 2000 edges, respectively. LMs are required to find a path of 5 nodes (four edges). As shown in Figure 3 (left), although the task is structurally simple, model performance degrades rapidly as context length increases to 32K, well below the claimed context size, with step-level accuracy (correctness of each  $\langle v_{t-1}, v_t \rangle$  along the path) dropping from near-perfect to approximately 20%. PATH TRAVERSAL thus isolates a central challenge of long-context reasoning: the need for repeated dynamic key-context lookup during decoding.

**Behavior of retrieval heads.** We further analyze the behavior of retrieval heads, which provides insight into LMs’ failure modes on long-context reasoning tasks. We partition the context into edge-level spans and compute the sum of attention mass assigned by QRHEAD to each span at every decoding step. Our analysis focuses on two metrics: 1) the fraction of decoding steps for which the gold edge (i.e., the next edge on the correct path) appears among the top 5% most-attended spans (Gold Edge in Top 5%). 2) the total attention mass assigned to the gold edge at each decoding step (Gold Attention). For this analysis (Figure 3, middle and right), we only consider the second step (edge) among the four steps to explicitly study the attention dynamics occurring in the middle of the reasoning process.

We additionally include randomly selected attention heads as a baseline for comparison. As shown in Figure 3 (middle), **retrieval head remain consistently aligned with the gold edge**. Even as single-step prediction accuracy drops from over 90% to approximately 20% with increasing context length, retrieval head continue to rank the gold edge among the top 5% at most steps. At the same time, **we observe a sharp decline in absolute attention to the gold edge**, closely mirroring the overall performance degradation (see Figure 3 right). In contrast, random attention heads exhibit a much steeper drop in relative attention and much lower attention mass on gold spans.

**Steering overall attention with retrieval heads.** Our analysis find that QRHEAD consistently rank gold edges among the top-attended context spans and preserve strong *relative* retrieval signals (Figure 3, middle). At the same time, as context length increases, the *absolute* attention mass assigned to gold spans decreases across all heads (including both QRHEAD and other heads) and task performance deteriorates (Figure 3, right). This suggests that while QRHEAD continue to correctly identify relevant context, their signal becomes diluted within the overall attention distribution. Motivated by this observation, we investigate whether the relatively stable retrieval behavior of QRHEAD can be leveraged at decoding time to steer overall attention to better preserve focus on relevant context during generation.

### 3. DYSCO: Dynamic Attention Scaling

**Overview** We propose a novel decoding algorithm, DYSCO, that improves long-context reasoning at inference time. The core idea of DYSCO is to dynamically *up-weight* tokens in the context based on the attention distribution of retrieval heads during decoding. As shown in Figure 2, each decoding step of DYSCO contains three stages: 1) **Aggregation**: we run a partial forward pass over the input sequence to obtain the attention scores of QRHEAD and use them to compute context relevance scores for the current generation step; 2) **Selection**: we select the most important tokens based on the relevance scores; 3) **Rescaling**: we run a full forward pass in which we up-weight the attention logits of selected tokens across *all* attention heads.

DYSCO only modifies the decoding procedure and requires no training. It relies solely on attention scores produced by the model itself. These properties make DYSCO highly flexible: it can be directly applied to off-the-shelf LMs without any architectural changes, and it is compatible with arbitrary inputs and tasks without task-specific preprocessing.

#### 3.1. The DYSCO Algorithm

Algorithm (1) details the full procedure of DYSCO. Given an LM  $\mathcal{M}$  with attention heads  $\mathcal{H}$  and a set of retrieval heads

---

#### Algorithm 1 DYSCO

---

**Input:** LM  $\mathcal{M}$  with attention heads  $\mathcal{H}$ , QRHEAD heads  $\mathcal{H}^*$ , input  $\mathbf{x}_{\leq T}$ , rescale strength  $\beta$ , momentum  $\gamma$ , cumulative probability threshold  $p$  (for token selection).

**Output:** Generated sequence  $\mathbf{x}_{\leq T'}$

```

1:  $t \leftarrow T$ 
2:  $\mathbf{r}_T \leftarrow \mathbf{r}_{\text{init}}$  // Initialize Relevance
3: while not finished do
4:    $t \leftarrow t + 1$ 
5:   (Aggregation) Run a partial forward pass to obtain attention logits from  $\mathcal{H}^*$ :  $\mathbf{a}_t^{(h)}$  for  $h \in \mathcal{H}^*$ .
6:    $\mathbf{r}_t \leftarrow \frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}^*|} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}^*} \text{Softmax}(\mathbf{a}_t^{(h)})$ 
7:    $\mathbf{r}_t \leftarrow \gamma \cdot \mathbf{r}_{t-1} + (1 - \gamma) \cdot \mathbf{r}_t$  // Apply Momentum
8:   (Selection)  $\mathbf{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SelectTop}(\mathbf{x}_{\leq t}, \mathbf{r}_t, p)$  // Select top- $p$  tokens
9:    $\mathbf{v}[i] \leftarrow \begin{cases} \log(\beta) & x_i \in \mathbf{x}^* \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$  // Intervention
10:  (Rescaling)  $\tilde{\alpha}_t^{(h)} \leftarrow \text{Softmax}(\mathbf{a}_t^{(h)} + \mathbf{v})$  for  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ 
11:   $\ell_t \leftarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{x}_{\leq t} \mid \tilde{\alpha}_t)$  // Rescaled Forward
12:   $x_{t+1} \sim \text{Softmax}(\ell_t)$  // Sampling next token
13: end while

```

---

(QRHEAD)  $\mathcal{H}^* \subset \mathcal{H}$ , we decode from an input sequence  $\mathbf{x}_{\leq T}$ . At each decoding step  $t \geq T + 1$ , DYSCO maintains context relevance scores  $\mathbf{r} = r_1, \dots, r_t$  where each  $r_i$  denotes the relevance of each token  $x_i$  in the prefix  $\mathbf{x}_{\leq t}$  for the current generation step. For clarity of presentation, we assume the relevance scores are properly initialized (line 2), and defer the details of initialization after we introduce the aggregation step. DYSCO produces the next token in the following three stages:

**Aggregation.** We first perform a *partial* forward pass at decoding step  $t$ , and extract the attention distributions of the selected QRHEAD heads. The forward pass is partial as we skip the forward pass over higher layers given that retrieval heads are primarily located in the middle layers (see §3.2 for details). We then compute a *relevance score* over tokens in the prefix by *averaging* the attention scores across these heads:  $\mathbf{r}_t = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}^*|} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}^*} \alpha_t^{(h)}$ . where  $\alpha_t^{(h)}$  is the attention distribution after softmax at step  $t$ . To incorporate information from previous decoding steps, we maintain a moving average of the relevance scores with momentum  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$ :

$$\mathbf{r}_t \leftarrow \gamma \cdot \mathbf{r}_{t-1} + (1 - \gamma) \cdot \mathbf{r}_t.$$

Empirically, this momentum-based smoothing stabilizes the relevance estimates and makes DYSCO more robust to hyperparameter variations.

We note that at the first decoding step, we need to obtain an initial relevance score. We use a short warm-up window of length  $T_w$ , relying on the last  $T_w$  tokens of the input prompt to initialize the relevance distribution. Specifically, for the

first decoding step  $T$ , we compute:

$$\mathbf{r}_T = \text{Normalize} \left( \sum_{d=0}^{T_w-1} \gamma^d \cdot \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{T-d}^{\mathcal{H}^*} \right),$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{T-d}^{\mathcal{H}^*} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{H}^*|} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{H}^*} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{T-d}^{(h)}$  is the averaged attention of QRHEAD at time  $T-d$ , and  $\text{norm}(\cdot)$  rescales the scores to form a valid distribution. We *do not* tune  $\gamma$  or  $T_w$ , and fix them to  $\gamma = 0.75$  and  $T_w = 16$  in all experiments.

**Selection.** At each decoding step  $t$ , we use the relevance distribution to estimate the importance of context tokens in the prefix  $\mathbf{x}_{\leq t}$ . Based on this distribution, we select a subset of relevant tokens  $\mathbf{x}^* \in \mathbf{x}_{\leq t}$ . Our selection strategy follows nucleus (top- $p$ ) sampling (Holtzman et al., 2020) used for LM decoding, but is applied over relevance scores rather than next-token probabilities. Specifically, we rank tokens in  $\mathbf{x}_{\leq t}$  by their relevance scores and retain the smallest set of tokens whose cumulative relevance mass exceeds a threshold  $p$ , while additionally enforcing a maximum of  $K$  (8192) tokens to avoid overly large number of selected tokens.

In practice, we find performance to be robust to moderate variations in parameters. In all experiments, we determine these parameters using a validation set of fully synthetic tasks, and directly use the resulting parameters on diverse downstream datasets without further tuning (§4.1). Concretely, we set top- $p$  to be 0.95 or 0.975.

**Rescaling.** Given the selected relevant tokens  $\mathbf{x}^*$ , we intervene on the attention computation to up-weight the selected tokens by modifying the attention logits. Concretely, for each layer and each attention head, we compute an intervention vector of bias terms added to the attention logits. The bias is  $\log \beta$  for the selected tokens, and 0 otherwise, where  $\beta > 1$  is the rescale strength factor:

$$\mathbf{v}[i] = \log(\beta) \text{ if } x_i \in \mathbf{x}^*, \text{ else } 0$$

Then, we add the intervention vector to the attention logits  $\mathbf{a}_t^{(h)}$  of *all* attention heads  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  before the softmax operation, and obtain rescaled attention distributions  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t^{(h)}$ . We apply the intervention to all heads to propagate the relevance signal identified by QRHEAD throughout the model’s attention computation.

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\alpha}}_t^{(h)} = \text{Softmax} \left( \mathbf{a}_t^{(h)} + \mathbf{v} \right) \text{ for } h \in \mathcal{H}$$

This intervention effectively rescales the pre-softmax attention logits by  $\beta$ . Finally, we perform a full forward pass with the modified attention logits across all layers, and the next token  $x_{t+1}$  is sampled or selected from the output distribution produced by this rescaled forward pass. Importantly,

this procedure operates entirely at inference time and does not require any modification to model parameters.

### 3.2. Efficient Implementation

**Early stopping of attention aggregation.** We describe our design that makes DYSCO more efficient. Prior work shows that attention heads responsible for retrieval and reasoning tend to concentrate in the middle layers of transformer models (Wu et al., 2025a; Zhao et al., 2025). For instance, for Qwen3-8B (36 layers), QRHEAD are distributed between 17–20 layers. Hence, we early-stop attention aggregation during the partial forward pass and only collect QRHEAD attention up to the middle layers of the model, rather than across all layers. With early stopping, this adds approximately 60% extra computation *per decoding step*.

**Overhead analysis.** As mentioned above, the attention aggregation stage introduces an additional *partial* forward pass during decoding, but no additional cost during prefilling. As a result, the total FLOP overhead of DYSCO remains small for long-context workloads, where computation is dominated by the quadratic-cost prefilling pass over the input context. To make this concrete, consider a setting with a 128K-token input context. Following Hoffmann et al. (2022), our estimate of the FLOPs is that generating 4K and 8K output tokens accounts for roughly 3.2% and 6.4% of the prefilling FLOPs, respectively. When using DYSCO, the additional partial decoding pass increases the total computation by only about 2.0% and 3.8% relative to prefilling for these two settings.

## 4. Experiments

We test the effectiveness of DYSCO on a diverse array of long-context reasoning tasks.

### 4.1. Models and Baselines

**Models.** We experiment with multiple open-weight LMs from two families, including **Qwen3-4B, 8B, and 32B** of Qwen3 family (Yang et al., 2025), and **Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct** (Llama-3 Team, 2024). Qwen3-8B and Qwen3-4B support thinking mode that uses long CoT (Guo et al., 2025), and Llama-3-8B-Instruct can use chain-of-thought (CoT) prompting (Wei et al., 2022).

**Head selection.** We directly use the set of QR-HEAD (Zhang et al., 2025c) from the official implementation across all experiments. We note that these heads are detected on Natural Questions (Kwiatkowski et al.) and applied directly across all tasks evaluated in this paper, demonstrating strong cross-task transfer.

Table 1. Performance across different context lengths with Uniform Attention Scaling (UNIATTNS) and DYSCO.

PATH TRAVERSAL	4K	8K	16K	32K
Qwen3-32B	80	67	32	21
+ UNIATTNS	<b>82</b>	68	40	19
+ DYSCO	79	<b>71</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>33</b>
Qwen3-8B	57	45	19	1
+ UNIATTNS	59	46	25	2
+ DYSCO	<b>65</b>	<b>51</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>2</b>

**Baselines.** Our approach operates on the decoding process for improving long-context reasoning. We compare against baselines that directly operate on decoding, including:

- 1) **Vanilla**, standard decoding without alteration.
- 2) **YaRN** (Peng et al., 2024), which is designed for context extension by modifying rotary position embeddings (Su et al., 2024) at inference time. YaRN primarily targets extending the usable context window of pretrained models, rather than improving long-context reasoning accuracy.
- 3) **Uniform Attention Scaling (UNIATTNS)**. Prior work has shown that sharpening attention distributions can improve long-context extrapolation (Peng et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2026; Nakanishi, 2025). Concretely, this is achieved by applying a temperature  $\tau \in (0, 1]$  to rescale attention logits, i.e.,  $\mathbf{a}' = \mathbf{a}/\tau$ , where  $\mathbf{a}$  denotes the original attention logits. Following this line of work, we adopt length-dependent attention temperature scaling, using different values of  $\tau$  for different input lengths. While prior approaches typically scale attention with a factor that grows linearly with  $\log n$ , we instead tune  $\tau$  separately for each context length. Importantly, UNIATTNS rescales attention logits *uniformly* across all tokens, whereas DYSCO selectively up-weights step-relevant tokens at each decoding step.

Both UNIATTNS and DYSCO can be combined with YaRN.

**Setting parameters for DYSCO and UNIATTNS.** DYSCO and UNIATTNS both require setting additional parameters for controlling the decoding. We use MRCCR (Vodrahalli et al., 2024) (Multi-Round Coreference Resolution) as a development dataset for deciding hyperparameters. We use MRCCR as it is a fully synthetically generated dataset, which makes it less biased for a particular real-world dataset. For each model, we pick one set of parameters for length span (0,64K) and one set of parameters for length span (64K, 128K) using performance on MRCCR, and we directly apply the *same set of parameters* on other datasets. We include more details in Appendix B.

#### 4.2. Diagnostic Test on PATH TRAVERSAL

We first use PATH TRAVERSAL to evaluate whether reshaping attention with DYSCO or UNIATTNS helps LMs main-

tain focus on relevant context at each decoding step. We do not include YaRN in this setting, as the maximum input length (32K) is well within the supported context window of the evaluated models. Results are reported in Table 1. As shown, DYSCO yields consistent performance improvements across context lengths. UNIATTNS also leads to measurable gains for Qwen3-8B at 4K and 32K input lengths, though the improvements are smaller and less consistent. These results suggest that attention sharpening alone can yield modest improvements, whereas explicitly up-weighting important tokens identified by QRHEAD leads to substantially larger gains.

#### 4.3. Long Context Reasoning Tasks (with CoT)

We now evaluate DYSCO on the primary focus of this paper, improving multi-step CoT reasoning over long context.

**Datasets.** We use 3 datasets with natural texts and enable LMs to use CoT as follows:

- 1) **MRCCR** (Vodrahalli et al., 2024) requires LMs to retrieve a conversation following a query from a list of highly similar conversations. The task is introduced to test LMs’ direct recall. To allow LMs to use CoT, we activate thinking mode (4096-token budget) for Qwen3 models. We do not evaluate Llama models in this setting, as they do not support long CoT (direct recall results are reported in §4.4).
- 2) **LONGBENCHV2** (Bai et al., 2025) requires multi-step reasoning over realistic long contexts spanning diverse domains. We use a subset of data points with input length ranging from 0-128K (264 data points). For Qwen models, we enable thinking mode with a maximum of 10,240 tokens to accommodate the difficulty; for Llama models, we apply CoT prompting from Bai et al. (2025).
- 3) **CLIPPER** (Pham et al., 2025) evaluates claim verification over full-length books. The model is given a complete book (90–128K tokens) along with a claim to verify, requiring multi-step reasoning over evidence distributed throughout the text. We include this dataset because it provides a native CoT prompting template, which is well-suited for evaluating instruction-tuned models (Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct). We follow the original experimental setup and response template from Pham et al. (2025).

**Settings.** We use YaRN (factor 4.0) for Qwen3 models to extend their context window from 32K to 128K, following the recommendation in Yang et al. (2025). For 128K-context experiments, both UNIATTNS and DYSCO are applied on top of YaRN. We use the recommended generation configurations for Qwen models. For Llama models with explicit CoT prompting, we use greedy decoding.




Figure 4. Performance on MRCR, LONGBENCHV2, and CLIPPER. DYSCO substantially outperforms vanilla decoding, and UNIATTNS. YaRN is applied to Qwen models at 128K context length, but not to Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct, which natively supports 128K.

**Results.** As shown in Figure 4, **DYSCO improves CoT reasoning under long-context settings** across model families, benchmarks, and context lengths. At 128K context length, DYSCO (with YaRN) improves Qwen3-8B by **3.5** absolute points (22% relative) on MRCR, **8.2** points (29%) on LONGBENCHV2, and **2.5** points (10%) on CLIPPER compared to YaRN alone.

While UNIATTNS yields gains in some cases (e.g., a 7.2% improvement for Qwen3-8B on LONGBENCHV2 at 64K), these improvements are not consistent across datasets or context lengths. In contrast, DYSCO provides larger and more stable gains, particularly at longer context lengths, and consistently outperforms UNIATTNS across all benchmarks.

#### 4.4. Long Context Recall Tasks

We next evaluate DYSCO on long-context recall tasks, which primarily follow a needle-in-the-haystack setup (Kamradt, 2023). For these tasks, models directly produce the final answer without using CoT (we turn off thinking for Qwen models).

**Datasets.** We evaluate MRCR under its intended direct-answering setup (directly outputting the final response). Additionally, we consider the following tasks: HotpotQA (Yang et al., 2018), QA and multiple-choice tasks from InfBench (Zhang et al., 2024a). For Hotpot and Inf-

Table 2. Performance on long-context recall tasks with 128K input length. We apply YaRN for Qwen models.

	MRCR 128K	HOTPOT 128K	INFMC 128K	INFQA 128K	Avg 128K
<b>Qwen3-4B + YaRN</b>	16.7	37	50	27.1	32.7
+ UNIATTNS	18.4	34	39	24.1	28.9
+ DYSCO	<b>19.0</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>31.3</b>	<b>35.8</b>
<b>Qwen3-8B + YaRN</b>	16.7	<b>61</b>	61	<b>39.6</b>	44.6
+ UNIATTNS	17.5	58	63	39.7	44.6
+ DYSCO	<b>19.5</b>	58	<b>65</b>	39.2	<b>45.4</b>
<b>Qwen3-32B + YaRN</b>	24.4	51	<b>79</b>	<b>48.7</b>	50.8
+ UNIATTNS	24.2	51	78	48.6	50.5
+ DYSCO	<b>25.3</b>	<b>53</b>	77	<b>48.7</b>	<b>51.0</b>
<b>Llama-3.1-8B-Inst.</b>	17.2	46	61	37.1	40.3
+ UNIATTNS	<b>18.9</b>	51	56	35.7	40.4
+ DYSCO	18.6	<b>52</b>	<b>62</b>	<b>38.4</b>	<b>42.8</b>

Bench, we use the processed versions released in HELMET (Yen et al., 2025), and evaluate all tasks with a context length setting of 128K tokens.

**Results.** Table 2 reports performance on these long-context recall tasks. As shown, DYSCO is also able to improve model accuracy in long-context recall. For example, with Llama-3.1-8B-Instruct, DYSCO increases HotpotQA accuracy from 46 to 52, and InfQA accuracy from 37.1 to 38.4. While UNIATTNS yields modest gains on MRCR, it also degrades performance in several other cases. Notably, these recall tasks require only very short outputs




Figure 5. Comparison between DYSCO, RAG (Stella), LongLLMLingua, and vanilla decoding. For RAG and LongLLMLingua, we report the results after reducing the context to different length (4K, 8K, and 16K tokens).

(typically tens of tokens), and DYSCO introduces negligible additional overhead compared to vanilla decoding.

#### 4.5. Comparison with RAG and Prompt Compression

We compare our decoding-time approach with methods that reduce the effective context length via external scaffolding. Specifically, we consider two representative classes of approaches: (1) Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG) to select the most relevant portions of the input context given a query; and (2) Prompt compression methods, which explicitly rewrite or prune the input prompt to fit within a shorter token budget. For RAG, we use Stella (Zhang et al., 2025b) as the dense retriever. For prompt compression, we evaluate LongLLMLingua (Jiang et al., 2024b), which uses an auxiliary LM to compress long prompts while preserving task-relevant information.

Unlike these methods, which require auxiliary components, DYSCO operates purely at decoding time on the original input. We evaluate RAG and LongLLMLingua on LONGBENCHV2, reducing the effective context length to {4, 8, 16}K tokens, and compare against our DYSCO. Full details are provided in Appendix D.

**Results.** Figure 5 summarizes the results. Overall, DYSCO outperforms both RAG and LongLingua on Qwen3-8B (Think), and achieves performance comparable to these baselines on Llama-3.1-8B. As both models have undergone substantial post-training and already exhibit strong long-context capabilities, neither RAG nor LongLingua yields consistent improvements on LONGBENCHV2 when the input context length is within 64K tokens. In contrast, DYSCO continues to improve performance in this




Figure 6. Performance of Qwen3-8B on MRCR and LONGBENCHV2 at 64K and 128K under four decoding settings: direct answer vs. Think, with vanilla decoding or DYSCO. DYSCO yields larger gains when combined with CoT.

regime. When the context length increases to 128K tokens, RAG-based systems begin to outperform vanilla decoding. However, they still lag behind DYSCO on Qwen3-8B.

## 5. Analysis & Ablations

### 5.1. Impact of CoT Reasoning and Context Length

DYSCO dynamically focuses on key context as generation progresses, making it naturally compatible with CoT, where different reasoning steps rely on different parts of the context. We analyze the interaction between DYSCO and CoT across varying context lengths. We evaluate DYSCO and vanilla decoding with and without CoT on MRCR and LONGBENCHV2 at 64K and 128K context lengths, under four decoding settings (direct answer vs. CoT, each with vanilla decoding or DYSCO). We report the results for Qwen3-8B.

**Interplay between DYSCO and CoT reasoning.** Figure 6 summarizes the results. Overall, **DYSCO yields larger benefits when combined with CoT reasoning.** On MRCR, which primarily evaluates long-context recall, DYSCO improves performance in both the direct-answer and CoT settings, with larger gains when CoT is enabled. On LONGBENCHV2, which emphasizes multi-step reasoning over long contexts, DYSCO substantially improves performance in the CoT setting, while causing only minor degradation when CoT is disabled. We hypothesize that this occurs because LONGBENCHV2 is inherently reasoning-intensive, and disabling CoT limits the model’s ability to capitalize on improved attention to relevant context.

**Impact of context length.** We find that the interaction between CoT reasoning and decoding strategy depends on in-

Table 3. Ablations of DYSCO on head selection and dynamic rescaling with Qwen3-8B. DYSCO consistently outperforms its variants with random attention heads and with static scaling.

Task	MRCR <sup>64K</sup>		LongBV2 <sup>64K</sup>		Path <sup>16K</sup>		InfMC <sup>128K</sup>	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Vanilla	24.8	24.8	43.4	19	61			
DYSCO	<b>27.3</b>	<b>26.3</b>	<b>51.2</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>66</b>			
w/ RandomHead	26.6	24.2	47.0	20	63			
w/ StaticScaling	22.5	23.1	47.5	23	64			

put length. Under vanilla decoding, CoT outperforms direct answering at 64K context length, but not at longer context lengths (128K). However, DYSCO reverses this trend at 128K: **when combined with CoT reasoning, DYSCO substantially improves performance at longer input lengths**, where direct-answer decoding is comparatively weaker. By dynamically rescaling attention, DYSCO restores effective long-context reasoning at 128K input length.

## 5.2. Ablations

**Ablation: Importance of head selection.** We compare DYSCO instantiated with QRHEAD against a variant that uses randomly selected heads. Both variants use 16 heads. Table 3 reports results on multiple datasets with Qwen3-8B. DYSCO with random heads can outperform vanilla decoding on some tasks, since random heads may still capture weak retrieval signals (§2.2). Using QRHEAD consistently yields the best results across all settings, highlighting the advantage of more reliable relevance signals.

**Ablation: Importance of dynamic rescaling.** We further ablate the role of dynamic rescaling by comparing DYSCO with a static rescaling variant. Static rescaling applies attention reweighting to a *fixed* set of context tokens, selected during an initial warm-up stage, and reuses this set throughout decoding. Conceptually, static rescaling can be viewed as an adaptation of KV-cache eviction methods that avoids explicit context pruning, as it relies on similar criteria for identifying important tokens (e.g., SnapKV).

As shown in Table 3, static rescaling yields improvements on recall tasks (e.g., InfMC), but it consistently underperforms dynamic rescaling. This gap highlights the importance of dynamic rescaling in DYSCO, as the set of relevant tokens can shift substantially over the course of generation.

**Hyperparameter robustness.** We examine the robustness of DYSCO to variations of its hyperparameters. DYSCO shows consistent performance across reasonable choices of hyperparameters. Full results are provided in Appendix C.

## 6. Related Work

**Improving long-context LMs.** The need for better support of long context has motivated extensive research across

multiple dimensions, including architectural designs (Gu & Dao, 2024; Lieber et al., 2024; Peng et al., 2023; Xiao et al., 2023; Bertsch et al., 2023; Jin et al., 2024; Yen et al., 2024), data engineering strategies (Xiong et al., 2023; An et al., 2024; Gao et al., 2024b; Hu et al., 2024; Fu et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2025a; Gao et al., 2025; Bai et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2025b), context window extension techniques (Peng et al., 2024; Chen et al., 2024b; 2023; Zhu et al., 2024), evaluation benchmarks (Yen et al., 2025; Ye et al., 2025; Bai et al., 2023; 2025; Liu et al., 2024; Wu et al., 2024; Hsieh et al., 2024; Wang et al., 2024), and analyses of long-context behavior and failure modes (Wu et al., 2025a; Zhao et al., 2025; Goldman et al., 2024; Liu et al., 2023; Gao et al., 2024a; Yin et al., 2024). Most existing approaches improve long-context performance by modifying model parameters, architectures, or training data, whereas our work improves the decoding procedure.

**Long-context inference techniques.** One line of work studies inference-time techniques for long context, primarily focusing on improving efficiency. Representative approaches include streaming inference (Xiao et al., 2024; Zhang et al., 2023), KV cache eviction or compression (Xu et al., 2024; Li et al., 2024a; Cai et al., 2024; Corallo & Papotti, 2024; Kim et al., 2024), and sparse attention mechanisms (Xu et al., 2025; Jiang et al., 2024a; Xiao et al., 2025). These methods trade off accuracy for computational or memory efficiency. In contrast, DYSCO is explicitly designed to improve *accuracy* under long context. Recent work has also explored inference-time accuracy improvements by training on input context at test time (Bansal et al., 2025; Chen et al., 2025c), whereas our approach requires no additional training and can be directly applied to off-the-shelf models. Most closely related to our approach, prior work has used attention scaling (Peng et al., 2024; Nakanishi, 2025; Chen et al., 2026; Puvvada et al., 2025), which applies a global rescaling factor to all attention logits to reshape the overall distribution. In contrast, DYSCO performs selective rescaling and up-weights attention to task-relevant tokens, enabling more targeted and effective improvements in long-context reasoning.

**Scaffolding and external systems for long context.** Another line of work builds external scaffolding around LMs. This includes RAG (Zhao et al., 2024b; Li et al., 2024b), prompt compression modules (Jiang et al., 2024b; Wu et al., 2025b), recursive or multi-stage LM calls (Zhang et al., 2025a), memory systems (Chen et al., 2024a), and agentic frameworks (Zhang et al., 2024b; Zhao et al., 2024a). DYSCO directly improves the LM’s internal attention behavior during decoding, without introducing additional scaffolding, and can be integrated with any scaffolding.

## 7. Conclusion

In this work, we have presented DYSCO, a training-free decoding algorithm that improves long-context reasoning. DYSCO leverages retrieval heads to dynamically identify relevant context tokens at each decoding step and intervenes on attention logits to up-weight their attention across heads. Across multiple instruct and reasoning LMs, DYSCO consistently improves accuracy on challenging long-context benchmarks while incurring modest extra FLOPs. Our analyses further indicate that long-context failures are associated with degraded focus on relevant context, and that dynamic attention scaling can mitigate this issue. Overall, DYSCO provides an inference-time approach for improving long-context accuracy and offers insight into the mechanisms underlying long-context failures in LMs.

## Acknowledgments

This work is gratefully supported by an NSF CAREER award (IIS-2239290). Howard Yen is supported by the William A. Dippel' 50 \* 55 Graduate Fellowship.

## References

- An, S., Ma, Z., Lin, Z., Zheng, N., and Lou, J.-G. Make Your LLM Fully Utilize the Context. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.16811*, 2024.
- Anthropic. Claude 4.6 Opus, 2026. URL <https://www.anthropic.com/news/claude-opus-4-6>.
- Bai, Y., Lv, X., Zhang, J., Lyu, H., Tang, J., Huang, Z., Du, Z., Liu, X., Zeng, A., Hou, L., Dong, Y., Tang, J., and Li, J. LongBench: A Bilingual, Multitask Benchmark for Long Context Understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.14508*, 2023.
- Bai, Y., Zhang, J., Lv, X., Zheng, L., Zhu, S., Hou, L., Dong, Y., Tang, J., and Li, J. Longwriter: Unleashing 10,000+ word generation from long context LLMs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.07055*, 2024.
- Bai, Y., Tu, S., Zhang, J., Peng, H., Wang, X., Lv, X., Cao, S., Xu, J., Hou, L., Dong, Y., Tang, J., and Li, J. LongBench v2: Towards deeper understanding and reasoning on realistic long-context multitasks. In *Proceedings of the 63rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, Vienna, Austria, July 2025. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Bansal, R., Zhang, A., Tiwari, R., Madaan, L., Duvvuri, S. S., Khatri, D., Brandfonbrener, D., Alvarez-Melis, D., Bhargava, P., Kale, M., and Jelassi, S. Let's (not) just put things in context: Test-time training for long-context llms. *ArXiv*, abs/2512.13898, 2025.
- Bertsch, A., Alon, U., Neubig, G., and Gormley, M. R. Unlimiformer: Long-range transformers with unlimited length input. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 2023.
- Bhaskar, A., Wettig, A., Gao, T., Dong, Y., and Chen, D. Cache me if you can: How many KV's do you need for effective long-context LMs?, 2025.
- Cai, Z., Zhang, Y., Gao, B., Liu, Y., Liu, T., Lu, K., Xiong, W., Dong, Y., Chang, B., Hu, J., and Xiao, W. PyramidKV: Dynamic KV cache compression based on pyramidal information funneling, 2024.
- Chen, G., Li, X., Shieh, M., and Bing, L. LongPO: Long context self-evolution of large language models through short-to-long preference optimization. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025a.
- Chen, H., Pasunuru, R., Weston, J. E., and Celikyilmaz, A. Walking down the memory maze: Beyond context limit through interactive reading, 2024a.
- Chen, J., Wu, J., Xu, Y., and Zhang, J. LADM: Long-context training data selection with attention-based dependency measurement for LLMs. In Che, W., Nabende, J., Shutova, E., and Pilehvar, M. T. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 63rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, July 2025b.
- Chen, S., Wong, S., Chen, L., and Tian, Y. Extending context window of large language models via positional interpolation, 2023.
- Chen, S., Lin, Z., Polyanskiy, Y., and Rigollet, P. Critical attention scaling in long-context transformers. In *The Fourteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2026.
- Chen, Y., Qian, S., Tang, H., Lai, X., Liu, Z., Han, S., and Jia, J. LongLoRA: Efficient fine-tuning of long-context large language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024b.
- Chen, Z., Romanou, A., Weiss, G., and Bosselut, A. Perk: Long-context reasoning as parameter-efficient test-time learning. *ArXiv*, abs/2507.06415, 2025c.
- Corallo, G. and Papotti, P. FINCH: Prompt-guided key-value cache compression for large language models. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:1517–1532, 2024. doi: 10.1162/tacl.a-00716.

- Fu, Y., Panda, R., Niu, X., Yue, X., Hajishirzi, H., Kim, Y., and Peng, H. Data engineering for scaling language models to 128K context. In *Proceedings of the 41st International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 21–27 Jul 2024. URL <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v235/fu24d.html>.
- Gao, C., W, X., Lin, Z., Zhang, D., and Hu, S. NExtlong: Toward effective long-context training without long documents. In *Forty-second International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2025.
- Gao, M., Lu, T., Yu, K., Byerly, A., and Khashabi, D. Insights into LLM long-context failures: When transformers know but don’t tell. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2024*, November 2024a.
- Gao, T., Wettig, A., Yen, H., and Chen, D. How to train long-context language models (effectively). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2410.02660*, 2024b.
- Gemini Team. Gemini 2.5: Pushing the frontier with advanced reasoning, multimodality, long context, and next generation agentic capabilities. *ArXiv*, abs/2507.06261, 2025.
- Goldman, O., Jacovi, A., Slobodkin, A., Maimon, A., Dagan, I., and Tsarfaty, R. Is It Really Long Context if All You Need Is Retrieval? Towards Genuinely Difficult Long Context NLP. In Al-Onaizan, Y., Bansal, M., and Chen, Y.-N. (eds.), *Proceedings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, November 2024. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2024.emnlp-main.924>.
- Gu, A. and Dao, T. Mamba: Linear-time sequence modeling with selective state spaces. In *First Conference on Language Modeling*, 2024. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=tEYskw1VY2>.
- Guo, D., Yang, D., Zhang, H., Song, J., Zhang, R., Xu, R., Zhu, Q., Ma, S., Wang, P., Bi, X., et al. Deepseek-r1: Incentivizing reasoning capability in llms via reinforcement learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.12948*, 2025.
- Hoffmann, J., Borgeaud, S., Mensch, A., Buchatskaya, E., Cai, T., Rutherford, E., de Las Casas, D., Hendricks, L. A., Welbl, J., Clark, A., Hennigan, T., Noland, E., Millican, K., van den Driessche, G., Damoc, B., Guy, A., Osindero, S., Simonyan, K., Elsen, E., Rae, J. W., Vinyals, O., and Sifre, L. Training compute-optimal large language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2203.15556, 2022.
- Holtzman, A., Buys, J., Du, L., Forbes, M., and Choi, Y. The curious case of neural text degeneration. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2020. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=rygGQyrFvH>.
- Hong, K., Troynikov, A., and Huber, J. Context rot: How increasing input tokens impacts llm performance. Technical report, Chroma, July 2025. URL <https://research.trychroma.com/context-rot>.
- Hsieh, C.-P., Sun, S., Kriman, S., Acharya, S., Rekish, D., Jia, F., and Ginsburg, B. RULER: What’s the real context size of your long-context language models? In *First Conference on Language Modeling*, 2024. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=kIoBbc76Sy>.
- Hu, Z., Liu, Y., Zhao, J., Wang, S., Wang, Y., Shen, W., Gu, Q., Luu, A. T., Ng, S.-K., Jiang, Z., et al. LongRecipe: Recipe for Efficient Long Context Generalization in Large Language Models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.00509*, 2024.
- Jiang, H., Li, Y., Zhang, C., Wu, Q., Luo, X., Ahn, S., Han, Z., Abdi, A. H., Li, D., Lin, C.-Y., Yang, Y., and Qiu, L. MInference 1.0: Accelerating pre-filling for long-context LLMs via dynamic sparse attention. In *Proceedings of the 38th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2024)*, 2024a.
- Jiang, H., Wu, Q., , Luo, X., Li, D., Lin, C.-Y., Yang, Y., and Qiu, L. LongLLMLingua: Accelerating and enhancing LLMs in long context scenarios via prompt compression. In Ku, L.-W., Martins, A., and Srikumar, V. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 62nd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pp. 1658–1677, Bangkok, Thailand, August 2024b. Association for Computational Linguistics. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2024.acl-long.91>.
- Jin, H., Han, X., Yang, J., Jiang, Z., Liu, Z., Chang, C.-Y., Chen, H., and Hu, X. LLM Maybe LongLM: Self-Extend LLM Context Window Without Tuning. In *Forty-first International Conference on Machine Learning*, 2024.
- Kamradt, G. Needle In A Haystack - pressure testing LLMs, 2023. URL [https://github.com/gkamradt/LLMTest\\_NeedleInAHaystack/tree/main](https://github.com/gkamradt/LLMTest_NeedleInAHaystack/tree/main).
- Kim, M., Shim, K., Choi, J., and Chang, S. InfiniPot: Infinite context processing on memory-constrained LLMs. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, November 2024.
- Kimi Team. Kimi k2: Open agentic intelligence. 2025.
- Kwiatkowski, T., Palomaki, J., Redfield, O., Collins, M., Parikh, A., Alberti, C., Epstein, D., Polosukhin, I., Devlin, J., Lee, K., Toutanova, K., Jones, L., Kelcey, M., Chang, M.-W., Dai, A. M., Uszkoreit, J., Le, Q., and

- Petrov, S. Natural questions: A benchmark for question answering research. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Li, Y., Huang, Y., Yang, B., Venkitesh, B., Locatelli, A., Ye, H., Cai, T., Lewis, P., and Chen, D. SnapKV: LLM knows what you are looking for before generation. In *The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024a.
- Li, Z., Li, C., Zhang, M., Mei, Q., and Bendersky, M. Retrieval augmented generation or long-context LLMs? a comprehensive study and hybrid approach. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: Industry Track*, November 2024b.
- Lieber, O., Lenz, B., Bata, H., Cohen, G., Osin, J., Dalmedigos, I., Safahi, E., Meirum, S. H., Belinkov, Y., Shalev-Shwartz, S., Abend, O., Alon, R., Asida, T., Bergman, A., Glozman, R., Gokhman, M., Manevich, A., Ratner, N., Rozen, N., Shwartz, E., Zusman, M., and Shoham, Y. Jamba: A hybrid transformer-mamba language model. *ArXiv*, abs/2403.19887, 2024. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:268793596>.
- Liu, N. F., Lin, K., Hewitt, J., Paranjape, A., Bevilacqua, M., Petroni, F., and Liang, P. Lost in the middle: How language models use long contexts. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 12:157–173, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:259360665>.
- Liu, X., Dong, P., Hu, X., and Chu, X. LongGenBench: Long-context generation benchmark. In *Findings of the Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP Findings)*. Association for Computational Linguistics, November 2024. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2024.findings-emnlp.48>.
- Llama-3 Team. The Llama 3 herd of models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.21783*, 2024.
- Nakanishi, K. M. Scalable-softmax is superior for attention. *ArXiv*, abs/2501.19399, 2025.
- OpenAI. Openai gpt-5.2, 2025. URL <https://developers.openai.com/api/docs/models/gpt-5.2>.
- Peng, B., Alcaide, E., Anthony, Q., Albalak, A., Arcadinho, S., Biderman, S., Cao, H., Cheng, X., Chung, M., Derczynski, L., Du, X., Grella, M., Gv, K., He, X., Hou, H., Kazienko, P., Kocon, J., Kong, J., Koptyra, B., Lau, H., Lin, J., Mantri, K. S. I., Mom, F., Saito, A., Song, G., Tang, X., Wind, J., Woźniak, S., Zhang, Z., Zhou, Q., Zhu, J., and Zhu, R.-J. RWKV: Reinventing RNNs for the transformer era. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pp. 14048–14077, 2023.
- Peng, B., Quesnelle, J., Fan, H., and Shippole, E. YaRN: Efficient context window extension of large language models. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- Pham, C. M., Chang, Y., and Iyyer, M. CLIPPER: Compression enables long-context synthetic data generation. In *Second Conference on Language Modeling*, 2025.
- Puvvada, K. C., Ladhak, F., Akle Serano, S., Hsieh, C.-P., Acharya, S., Majumdar, S., Jia, F., Kriman, S., Sun, S., Rekesh, D., and Ginsburg, B. SWAN: An efficient and scalable approach for long-context language modeling. In *Proceedings of the 2025 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. Association for Computational Linguistics, 2025.
- Su, J., Ahmed, M., Lu, Y., Pan, S., Bo, W., and Liu, Y. Roformer: Enhanced transformer with rotary position embedding. *Neurocomputing*, 568:127063, 2024.
- Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, L. u., and Polosukhin, I. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, volume 30. Curran Associates, Inc., 2017. URL [https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper\\_files/paper/2017/file/3f5ee243547dee91fbd053c1c4a845aa-Paper.pdf](https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper_files/paper/2017/file/3f5ee243547dee91fbd053c1c4a845aa-Paper.pdf).
- Vodrahalli, K., Ontanon, S., Tripuraneni, N., Xu, K., Jain, S., Shivanna, R., frey Hui, J., Dikkala, N., Kazemi, M., Fatemi, B., Anil, R., Dyer, E., Shakeri, S., Vij, R., Mehta, H., Ramasesh, V. V., Le, Q., hsin Chi, E. H., Lu, Y., Firat, O., Lazaridou, A., Lespiau, J.-B., Attaluri, N., and Olszewska, K. Michelangelo: Long context evaluations beyond haystacks via latent structure queries. *ArXiv*, abs/2409.12640, 2024.
- Wang, C., Ning, R., Pan, B., Wu, T., Guo, Q., Deng, C., Bao, G., Hu, X., Zhang, Z., Wang, Q., and Zhang, Y. NovelQA: Benchmarking Question Answering on Documents Exceeding 200K Tokens, 2024. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.12766>.
- Wei, J., Wang, X., Schuurmans, D., Bosma, M., Chi, E., Le, Q., and Zhou, D. Chain of thought prompting elicits reasoning in large language models. *ArXiv*, abs/2201.11903, 2022.

- Wu, W., Wang, Y., Xiao, G., Peng, H., and Fu, Y. Retrieval head mechanistically explains long-context factuality. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025a. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=EytBpUGB1Z>.
- Wu, X., Li, K., Zhao, Y., Zhang, L., Ou, L., Yin, H., Zhang, Z., Jiang, Y., Xie, P., Huang, F., Cheng, M., Wang, S., Cheng, H., and Zhou, J. Re-sum: Unlocking long-horizon search intelligence via context summarization. *ArXiv*, abs/2509.13313, 2025b. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:281325930>.
- Wu, Y., Hee, M. S., Hu, Z., and Lee, R. K.-W. LongGenBench: Benchmarking Long-Form Generation in Long Context LLMs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2409.02076*, 2024.
- Xiao, G., Tian, Y., Chen, B., Han, S., and Lewis, M. Efficient streaming language models with attention sinks. *ArXiv*, abs/2309.17453, 2023. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:263310483>.
- Xiao, G., Tian, Y., Chen, B., Han, S., and Lewis, M. Efficient streaming language models with attention sinks. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=NG7sS51zVF>.
- Xiao, G., Tang, J., Zuo, J., junxian guo, Yang, S., Tang, H., Fu, Y., and Han, S. Duoattention: Efficient long-context LLM inference with retrieval and streaming heads. In *The Thirteenth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2025. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=cFu7ze7xUm>.
- Xiong, W., Liu, J., Molybog, I., Zhang, H., Bhargava, P., Hou, R., Martin, L., Rungta, R., Sankararaman, K. A., Oguz, B., Khabsa, M., Fang, H., Mehdad, Y., Narang, S., Malik, K., Fan, A., Bhosale, S., Edunov, S., Lewis, M., Wang, S., and Ma, H. Effective long-context scaling of foundation models, 2023.
- Xu, F., Goyal, T., and Choi, E. Recycled attention: Efficient inference for long-context language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2411.05787*, 2024.
- Xu, G.-H., Ding, J., Ding, H., Xu, Z., and Zhang, K. FTP: Efficient prefilling for long-context LLM inference via FFN token pruning, 2025.
- Yang, A., Li, A., Yang, B., Zhang, B., Hui, B., Zheng, B., Yu, B., Gao, C., Huang, C., Lv, C., Zheng, C., Liu, D., Zhou, F., Huang, F., Hu, F., Ge, H., Wei, H., Lin, H., Tang, J., Yang, J., Tu, J., Zhang, J., Yang, J., Yang, J., Zhou, J., Zhou, J., Lin, J., Dang, K., Bao, K., Yang, K., Yu, L., Deng, L.-C., Li, M., Xue, M., Li, M., Zhang, P., Wang, P., Zhu, Q., Men, R., Gao, R., Liu, S.-Q., Luo, S., Li, T., Tang, T., Yin, W., Ren, X., Wang, X., Zhang, X., Ren, X., Fan, Y., Su, Y., Zhang, Y.-C., Zhang, Y., Wan, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, Z., Cui, Z., Zhang, Z., Zhou, Z., and Qiu, Z. Qwen3 technical report. *ArXiv*, abs/2505.09388, 2025. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:278602855>.
- Yang, Z., Qi, P., Zhang, S., Bengio, Y., Cohen, W. W., Salakhutdinov, R., and Manning, C. D. HotpotQA: A dataset for diverse, explainable multi-hop question answering. In *Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, 2018.
- Ye, X., Yin, F., He, Y., Zhang, J., Yen, H., Gao, T., Durrett, G., and Chen, D. Longproc: Benchmarking long-context language models on long procedural generation. In *arXiv*, 2025.
- Yen, H., Gao, T., and Chen, D. Long-context language modeling with parallel context encoding. In *Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics (ACL)*, pp. 2588–2610, 2024.
- Yen, H., Gao, T., Hou, M., Ding, K., Fleischer, D., Izsak, P., Wasserblat, M., and Chen, D. Helmet: How to evaluate long-context language models effectively and thoroughly, 2025.
- Yin, F., Ye, X., and Durrett, G. Lofit: Localized fine-tuning on LLM representations. In *The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=dfiXFbECSZ>.
- Yu, H., Chen, T., Feng, J., Chen, J., Dai, W., Yu, Q., Zhang, Y.-Q., Ma, W.-Y., Liu, J., Wang, M., and Zhou, H. Memagent: Reshaping long-context llm with multi-conv rl-based memory agent. *ArXiv*, abs/2507.02259, 2025. URL <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:280047896>.
- Zhang, A. L., Kraska, T., and Khattab, O. Recursive language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2512.24601*, 2025a.
- Zhang, D., Li, J., Zeng, Z., and Wang, F. Jasper and stella: distillation of sota embedding models, 2025b. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.19048>.
- Zhang, W., Yin, F., Yen, H., Chen, D., and Ye, X. Query-focused retrieval heads improve long-context reasoning and re-ranking. In Christodoulopoulos, C., Chakraborty, T., Rose, C., and Peng, V. (eds.), *Proceedings of the 2025 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pp. 23791–23805, Suzhou, China, November 2025c. Association for Computational Linguistics. ISBN

979-8-89176-332-6. doi: 10.18653/v1/2025.emnlp-main.1214. URL <https://aclanthology.org/2025.emnlp-main.1214/>.

Zhang, X., Chen, Y., Hu, S., Xu, Z., Chen, J., Hao, M. K., Han, X., Thai, Z. L., Wang, S., Liu, Z., and Sun, M.  $\infty$ bench: Extending long context evaluation beyond 100k tokens, 2024a. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.13718>.

Zhang, Y., Sun, R., Chen, Y., Pfister, T., Zhang, R., and Arik, S. O. Chain of agents: Large language models collaborating on long-context tasks. In *The Thirty-eighth Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2024b. URL <https://openreview.net/forum?id=LuCLf4BJsr>.

Zhang, Z., Sheng, Y., Zhou, T., Chen, T., Zheng, L., Cai, R., Song, Z., Tian, Y., Re, C., Barrett, C., Wang, Z., and Chen, B. H2O: Heavy-hitter oracle for efficient generative inference of large language models. In *Thirty-seventh Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*, 2023.

Zhao, J., Zu, C., Hao, X., Lu, Y., He, W., Ding, Y., Gui, T., Zhang, Q., and Huang, X. LONGAGENT: Achieving question answering for 128k-token-long documents through multi-agent collaboration. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, November 2024a.

Zhao, Q., Wang, R., Cen, Y., Zha, D., Tan, S., Dong, Y., and Tang, J. LongRAG: A dual-perspective retrieval-augmented generation paradigm for long-context question answering. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, November 2024b.

Zhao, X., Yin, F., and Durrett, G. Understanding synthetic context extension via retrieval heads. In *Proceedings of ICML*, 2025.

Zhu, D., Yang, N., Wang, L., Song, Y., Wu, W., Wei, F., and Li, S. PoSE: Efficient context window extension of LLMs via positional skip-wise training. In *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.

### A. Additional Details on Query-Focused Retrieval Heads (QRHEAD).

Original retrieval heads are identified through strict copy behavior, which overlooks more general semantic retrieval. To address this limitation, Zhang et al. (2025c) propose Query-Focused Retrieval Heads (QRHeads), which generalize retrieval behavior to query-conditioned context lookup.

Specifically, consider a long-context QA setting in which the input consists of a query  $q$  and a large context containing one gold document  $d^*$  (the “needle”) along with many distractor documents. Zhang et al. (2025c) define a query-context retrieval score (QRScore) for each attention head  $h$  as:

$$\text{QRScore}(h) = \sum_{i \in q} \sum_{j \in d^*} \alpha_{i,j}^{(h)},$$

where  $\alpha_{i,j}^{(h)}$  denotes the attention weight from query token  $i$  to context token  $j$  under head  $h$ . Heads are ranked by their QRScore, and the top- $K$  heads (typically 1–2% of all attention heads) are selected as QRHeads.

Unlike original retrieval heads, QRHeads capture semantic context lookup rather than exact token copying. Prior work shows that attention from QRHeads is more effective for retrieving relevant information from long contexts across tasks and domains (Zhang et al., 2025c).

### B. Parameter Selection for DYSCO and UNIATTNS

Our method performs inference-time attention scaling to improve long-context accuracy. Both DYSCO and the baseline UNIATTNS introduce a small number of inference-time hyperparameters. Here, we describe 1) how these parameters are selected, and 2) the robustness of DYSCO to reasonable variations around the chosen defaults.

#### B.1. Choosing Parameters for DYSCO

We select hyperparameters using MRCR, a fully synthetic long-context recall benchmark. We choose MRCR for parameter tuning for two main reasons: 1) it is synthetically generated and therefore does not risk data contamination with real-world evaluation benchmarks, and 2) its context length is configurable, allowing controlled analysis across different lengths. Importantly, for each model, we fix a single set of hyper-parameters and apply it uniformly across all downstream tasks.

For Qwen models, we distinguish between two context-length settings. For inputs up to 64K tokens, we use the native context window without extrapolation. For 64–128K tokens, we use YaRN-based extrapolation, which globally changes the attention computation. As a result, we select parameters separately for the 0–64K and 64–128K settings, using MRCR-64K and MRCR-128K, respectively. Within each length span, a single parameter configuration is shared across all tasks.

**Hyperparameters.** We perform a small grid search on MRCR over the following values:

$$p \in \{0.95, 0.975\}, \quad K \in \{4096, 8192\}, \quad \beta \in \{2.0, 2.5, 3.0\}.$$

When multiple configurations yield comparable performance, we prefer less aggressive settings—specifically, larger  $p$ , smaller  $\beta$ , and smaller  $K$ —as they intervene more conservatively on the attention distribution.

The parameter choices used throughout the paper are summarized below:

Model / Length	$p$	$K$	$\beta$
Qwen3-4B (0–64K)	0.975	4096	2.0
Qwen3-4B + YaRN (64–128K)	0.95	8192	2.5
Qwen3-8B (0–64K)	0.975	4096	2.0
Qwen3-8B + YaRN (64–128K)	0.975	8192	2.5
Qwen3-32B (0–64K)	0.975	4096	2.0
Qwen3-32B + YaRN (64–128K)	0.95	8192	2.5
LLaMA-3.1-8B (0–128K)	0.975	4096	2.0

We observe that models within the same family exhibit consistent optimal configurations. For example, all Qwen models without extrapolation favor ( $K = 4096, \beta = 2.0$ ), while Qwen models with YaRN consistently favor ( $K = 8192, \beta = 2.5$ ).

## B.2. Choosing Parameters for UNIATTNS

We select the temperature parameter  $\tau$  for UNIATTNS using the same MRCR-based protocol. We search over  $\tau \in \{0.975, 0.95, 0.9, 0.85\}$ . Due to differences in attention sharpness across model families and scales, the optimal  $\tau$  varies slightly:

$$\tau = 0.95 \text{ for Qwen3-4B, } \tau = 0.975 \text{ for Qwen3-8B, } \tau = 0.975 \text{ for Qwen3-32B, } \tau = 0.9 \text{ for LLaMA.}$$

These values are fixed across all tasks and context lengths for each model.

## C. Robustness to Hyperparameter Variations

Table 4. Evaluation of hyperparameter robustness of DYSCO with Qwen3-8B. DYSCO is robust to modest variations in the rescale strength  $\beta$ , selection constraint  $K$ , and selection threshold  $p$ .

	$p$	$K$	$\beta$	MRCR	LONGBENCHV2
Vanilla	–	–	–	16.1	28.6
<b>Default</b>	0.975	8192	2.5	19.6	36.8
<i>Vary p</i>					
0.95	0.95	8192	2.5	18.4	31.7
<i>Varying K</i>					
2048	0.975	2048	2.5	<b>19.6</b>	<b>38.0</b>
4096	0.975	4096	2.5	19.0	32.7
<i>Varying <math>\beta</math></i>					
3.0	0.975	8192	3.0	18.5	36.8
3.5	0.975	8192	3.5	18.1	31.7

We evaluate the robustness of DYSCO to hyperparameter variations on MRCR-128K and LONGBENCHV2-128K using Qwen3-8B. We vary one hyperparameter at a time while fixing the others to the default configuration.

Table 4 shows that DYSCO **consistently outperforms vanilla decoding across all tested settings**. While more aggressive configurations (e.g.,  $\beta = 3.5$ ) lead to smaller gains, they still outperform the vanilla baseline.

Importantly, we observe a **strong correspondence between performance on MRCR and LONGBENCHV2**: hyperparameters that perform well on the synthetic MRCR benchmark also tend to yield strong improvements on LONGBENCHV2. This trend indicates that the effects of hyperparameter choices are consistent across tasks, and that parameters selected on a synthetic long-context benchmark generalize well to more realistic long-context reasoning tasks.

## D. Detailed Setup for Retrieval-Augmented Generation and Prompt Compression

In §4.5, we compare our decoding-time approach with methods that reduce the effective context length via external scaffolding. Specifically, we consider two representative classes of approaches: (1) Retrieval-Augmented Generation (RAG), which employs a dense retriever to select the most relevant portions of the input context given a query; and (2) Prompt compression methods, which explicitly rewrite or prune the input prompt to fit within a shorter token budget. In particular, we evaluate LongLLMLingua (Jiang et al., 2024b), which uses an auxiliary LM to compress long prompts while attempting to preserve task-relevant information.

Both RAG and prompt compression methods are less flexible than DYSCO. They require additional system components (e.g., external retrievers or compression models) and rely on explicit partitioning of the input into instruction, context, and query segments. In contrast, DYSCO operates purely at decoding time on the original input sequence, without modifying the prompt or introducing any external scaffolding.

We evaluate DYSCO, RAG, and LongLLMLingua on the challenging long-context reasoning benchmark LONGBENCHV2. We do not include benchmarks such as MRCR and InfBench, which are specifically designed to stress-test long-context processing and are largely solvable by RAG-style designs.

**Setup.** For RAG, we adopt a strong dense retriever, Stella-1.5B-V5 (Zhang et al., 2025b). Following Bai et al. (2025), we apply a chunk-and-concatenate strategy to reduce the context length. Specifically, we first partition the original long context into chunks of 1024 tokens. Given a query, Stella V5 retrieves the top-4, 8, 16 most relevant chunks, which are then concatenated in their original order, resulting in shortened contexts of 4K, 8K, and 16K tokens. For LongLLMLingua, we use the official implementation released by Jiang et al. (2024b). We partition the input prompt into context and question components, set the target compressed length to 4K, 8K, 16K tokens, and feed the compressed prompt to the model for decoding.

## E. Prompt Example for Path Traversal Task

### Prompt E.1: Prompt for the Path Traversal Task

[TASK]

In a completely hypothetical world, there are a number of cities. Each city has a one-way connection to only one other city via a specific transit method (bus, train, plane, or ferry). Your task is to provide a route from a city to another city. You should follow the specific instruction provided later and output the route following the format provided in the instruction.

[IMPORTANT NOTES]

- All connections are one-way. If city A is connected to city B, you can travel from A to B, but not the other way around.
- Because each city is connected to only one other city, so there's only one possible route. To find the route, you can simply start from the starting city, identify the next city it's connected to, and repeat the process until you reach the destination city.
- Please follow the exact format specified below when outputting the route.

[OUTPUT FORMAT]

Please mark the route with <Route> and </Route> tags. The route should be in the following format, where one line is one step of the route:

```
<Route>
From <CITY_NAME>, take a <TRANSIT_METHOD> to <CITY_NAME>.
...
From <CITY_NAME>, take a <TRANSIT_METHOD> to <CITY_NAME>.
</Route>
```

[EXAMPLE]

In a hypothetical world, there are a number of cities. Each city has a one-way connection to only one other city via a specific transit method. The details of the cities are as follows:

Fort Worth is a lively city. You can travel from Fort Worth to Manchester by ferry.  
 Leeds is a lively city. You can travel from Leeds to London by bus.  
 Manchester is a lively city. You can travel from Manchester to Indianapolis by plane.  
 Houston is a lively city. You can travel from Houston to London by ferry.  
 Charlotte is a lively city. You can travel from Charlotte to Charlotte by bus.  
 London is a lively city. You can travel from London to San Antonio by train.  
 San Antonio is a lively city. You can travel from San Antonio to Kitchener by train.  
 Seattle is a lively city. You can travel from Seattle to London by train.  
 Indianapolis is a lively city. You can travel from Indianapolis to Houston by ferry.

Now find the route from Manchester to Kitchener based on the information above.

```
<Route>
From Manchester, take a plane to Indianapolis.
From Indianapolis, take a ferry to Houston.
From Houston, take a ferry to London.
From London, take a train to San Antonio.
From San Antonio, take a train to Kitchener.
</Route>
```

[PROBLEM]

problem context

Now find the route from `src city` to `dst city` based on the information above. Some reminders:

- All connections are one-way. You can solve the problem by iteratively finding the next city to travel to until you reach the destination city.

- Follow the specific format for the route output. Mark the route with <Route> and </Route> tags.